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## ABSTRACT

The general objective was to obtain data sufficient to characterize the fallout, interpret the aerial and oceanographic survey results, and check fallout-model theory for Shots Cherokee, Zuni, Flathead, Navajo, and Tewa during Operation Redwing. Detailed measurements of fallout buildup were planned. Measurements of the radiation characteristics and physical, chemical, and radiochemical properties of individual solid and slurry particles and total cloud and fallout samples were also planned, along with determinations of the surface densities of activity and environmental components in the fallout at each major station.

Standardized instruments and instrument arrays were used at a variety of stations which included three ships, two barges, three rafts, thirteen to seventeen deep-anchored skiffs, and four islands at Bikini Atoll. Total and incremental fallout collectors and gamma time-intensity recorders were featured in the field instrumentation. Special laboratory facilities for earlytime studies were established aboard one ship. A number of buried trays with related survey markers were located in a cleared area at one of the island stations. Instrument failures were few, and a large amount of data was obtained.

This report summarizes the times and rates of arrival, times of peak and cessation, massarrival rates, particle-size variation with time, ocean-penetration rates, solid- and slurryparticle characteristics, activity and fraction of device deposited per unit area, surface densities of chemical components, radionuclide compositions with corrections for fractionation and induced activities, and photon and air-ionization decay rates. A number of pertinent correlations are also presented: predicted and observed fallout patterns are compared, sampling bias is analyzed, gross-product decay is discussed in relation to the $t^{-1.2}$ rule, fraction-of-device calculations based on chemical and radiochemical analyses are given, the relationship of filmdosimeter dose to gamma time-intensity integral is considered, a comparison is made between effects computed from radiochemistry and gamma spectrometry, air-sampling measurements are interpreted, and the fallout effects are studied in relation to variations in the ratio of fission yield to total yield.

Some of the more-important general conclusions are summarized below:
The air burst of Shot Cherokee produced no fallout of military significance.
Fallout-pattern locations and times of arrival were adequately predicted by model theory.
Activity-arrival-rate curves for water-surface and land-surface shots were similar, and were well correlated in time with local-field ionization rates.

Particle-size distributions from land-surface shots varied continuously with time at each station, with the concentration and average size appearing to peak near time-of-peak radiation rate; the diameters of barge-shot fallout droplets, on the other hand, remained remarkably constant in diameter at the ship stations.

Gross physical and chemical characteristics of the solid fallout particles proved much the same as those for Shot Mike during Operation Ivy and Shot Bravo during Operation Castle. New information was obtained, however, relating the radiochemical and physical characteristics of individual particles. Activity was found to vary roughly as the square of the diameter for irregular particles, and as some power greater than the cube of the diameter for spheroidal particles.

Fallout from barge shots consisted of slurry droplets, which were composed of water, sea salts, and radioactive solid particles. The latter were spherical, generally less than 1 micron in diameter, and consisted mainly of oxides of calcium and iron. At the ship locations, the solid particles contained most of the activity associated with the slurry droplets; close in, however, most of the activity was in soluble form.

Bulk rate of penetration of fallout in the ocean was, under several restrictions, similar for both solid and slurry particles. Estimates are given of the amount of activity which may have
been lost below the thermocline for the fast-settling fraction of solid-particle fallout.
Fractionation of radionuclides from Shot Zuni was severe while that from Shot Tewa was moderate; Shots Flathead and Navajo were nearly unfractionated. Tables are provided, incorporating fractionation corrections where necessary, which allow the ready calculation of infinitefield ionization rates, and the contribution of individual induced activities to the total ionization rate.

Best estimates are given of the amount of activity deposited per unit area at all sampling stations. Estimates of accuracy are included for the major stations.

## FOREWORD

This report presents the final resuits of one of the projects participating in the military-effect programs of Operation Redwing. Overall information about this and the other military-effect projects can be obtained from WT-1344, the "Summary Report of the Commander, Task Unit 3." This technical summary includes: (1) tables listing each detonation with its yield, type, environment, meteorological conditions, etc.; (2) maps showing shot locations; (3) discussions of results by programs; (4) summaries of objectives, procedures, results, etc., for all projects; and (5) a listing of project reports for the military-effect programs.
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## Chapter I <br> INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 OBJECTIVES

The general objective was to collect and correlate the data needed to characterize the fallout, mterpret the observed surface-radiation contours, and check the models used to make predictions, for Shots Cherokee, Zuni, Flathead, Navajo, and Tewa during Operation Redwing.

The specific objectives of the project were: (1) to determine the time of arrival, rate of arrival, and cessation of fallout, as well as the variation in particle-size distribution and gammaradiation field intensity with time, at several points close to and distant from ground zero; (2) to collect undisturbed samples of fallout from appropriate land-and water-surface detonations for the purpose of describing certain physical properties of the particles and droplets, including their shape, size, density and associated radioactivity; measuring; the activity and mass deposited per unit area; establishing the chemical and radiochemical composition of the fallout material; and determining the sizes of particles and droplets arriving at given times at several important points in the fallout area; (3) to make early-time studies of selected particles and samples in order to establish their radioactive-decay rates and gamma-energy spectra; (4) to measure the rate of penetration of activity in the ocean during fallout, the variation of activity with depth during and after fallout, and the variation of the gamma-radiation field with time a short distance above the water surface; and (5) to obtain supplementary radiation-contour data at short and intermediate distances from ground zero by total-fallout collections and time-ofarrival measurements.

It was not an objective of the project to obtain data sufficient for the determination of complete fallout contours. Instead, emphasis was placed on: (1) complete and controlled documentation of the fallout event at certain key points throughout the pattern, also intended to serve as correlation points with the surveys of other projects; (2) precise measurements of timedependent phenomena, which could be utilized to establish which of the conflicting assumptions of various fallout prediction theories were correct; (3) analysis of the fallout material for the primary purpose of obtaining a better understanding of the contaminant produced by water-surface detonations; and (4) gross documentation of the fallout at a large number of points in and near the lagoon.

### 1.2 BACKGROUND

A few collections of fallout from tower shots were made in open pans during Operation Greenhouse (Reference 1). More extensive measurements were made for the surface and underground shots of Operation Jangle (Reference 2). Specialized collectors were designed to sample incrementally with time and to exclude extraneous material by sampling only during the fallout period. The studies during Operation Jangle indicated that fallout could be of military importance in areas beyond the zones of severe blast and thermal damage (Reference 3).

During Operation Ivy, a limited effort was made to determine the important fallout areas for a device of megaton yield (Reference 4). Because of operational difficulties, no information on
fallout in the downwind direction was obtained. Contours were established in the upwind and crosswind directions by collections on raft stations located in the lagoon.

Elaborate plans to measure the fallout in all directions around the shot point were made for Operation Castle (Reference 5). These plans involved the use of collectors mounted on freefloating buoys placed in four concentric circles around the shot point shortly before detonation. Raft stations were also used in the lagoon and land stations were located on a number of the islands. Because of poor predictability of detonation times and operational difficulties caused by high seas, only fragmentary data was obtained from these stations.

The measurement of activity levels on several neighboring atolls that were unexpectedly contaminated by debris from Shot 1 of Operation Castle provided the most useful data concerning the magnitude of the fallout areas from multimegaton weapons (Reference 6). Later in the operation, aerial and oceanographic surveys of the ocean areas were conducted and water samples were collected (References 7 and 8 ). These measurements, made with crude equipment constructed in the forward area, were used to calculate approximate fallout contours. The aerialsurvey data and the activity levels of the water samples served to check the contours derived from the oceanographic survey for Shot 5. No oceanographic survey was made on Shot 6 ; however, the contours for this shot were constructed from aerial-survey and water-sample data.

In spite of the uncertainty of the contours calculated for these shots, the possitility of determining the relative concentration of radioactivity in the ocean following a water-surface detonation was demonstrated. During Operation Wigwam (Reference 9), the aerial and oceanographic survey methods were again successfully tested.

During Operation Castle, the question arose of just how efficiently the fallout was sampled by the instruments used on that and previous operations. Studies were made at Operation Teapot (Reference 10) to estimate this efficiency for various types of collectors located at different heights above the ground. The results demonstrated the difficulties of obtaining reliable samples and defined certain factors affecting collector efficiency. These factors were then applied in the design of the collectors and stations for Operation Redwing.

### 1.3 THEORY

1.3.1 General Requirements. Estimates of the area contaminated by Shot 1 during Operation Castle indicated that several thousand square miles had received significant levels of fallout (References 5, 11 and 12), but these estimates were based on very-meager data. It was considered essential, therefore, to achieve adequate documentation during Operation Redwing. Participation in a joint program designed to obtain the necessary data (Reference 13) was one of the responsibilities of this project.

The program included aerial and oceanographic surveys, as well as lagoon and island surveys, whose mission was to make surface-radiation readings over large areas and collect surface-water samples (References 14, 15 and 16). Such readings and samples cannot be used directly, however, to provide a description of the contaminated material or radiation-contour values. Corrections must be made for the characteristics of the radiation and the settling and dissolving of the fallout in the ocean. It was these corrections which were of primary interest to this project.
1.3.2 Data Requirements. Regardless of whether deposition occurs on a land or water surface, much the same basic information is required for fallout characterization, contour construction, and model evaluation, specifically: (1) fallout buildup data, including time of arrival, rate of arrival, time of cessation, and particle-size variation with time; (2) fallout composition data, including the physical characteristics, chemical components, fission content, and radionuclide composition of representative particles and samples; (3) fallout radiation data, including photon emission rate and ionizing power as a function of time; and (4) total fallout data, including the number of fissions and amount of mass deposited per unit area, as well as the total gammaionization dose delivered to some late time.
1.3.3 Special Problems and Solutions. Models can be checked most readily by means of fallout-buildup data, because this depends only on the aerodynamic properties of the particles, their initial distribution in the cloud, and intervening meteorological conditions. The construction of land-equivalent radiation contours, on the other hand, requires characterization of the composition and radiations of the fallout in addition to information on the total amount deposited.
1.3.4 Radionuclide Composition and Radiation Characteristics. In the present case, for example, exploratory attempts to resolve beta-decay curves into major components falled, because at the latest times measured, the gross activity was generally still not decaying in accordance with the computed fission-product disintegration rate. It was known that, at certain times, induced activities in the actinides alone could upset the decay constant attributed to fission products, and that the salting agents present in some of the devices could be expected to influence the gross decay rate to a greater or lesser extent depending on the amounts, half lives, and decay schemes of the activated products. The extent to which the properties of the actual fission products resembled those of thermally fissioned $U^{235}$ and fast fission of $U^{233}$ was not known, nor were the effects of radionuclide fractionation. In order to establish the photon-emission characteristics of the source, a reliable method of calculating the gamma-ray properties of a defined quantity and distribution of nuclear-detonation products had to be developed. Without such infor:mation, measurements of gamma-ionization rate and sample activity, made at a variety of times, could not be compared, nor the results applied in biological-hazard studies.

Fission-product, induced-product, and fractionation corrections can be made on the basis of radiochemical analyses of samples for important nuclides. This leads to an average radionuclide composition from which the emission rate and energy distribution of gamma photons can be computed for various times. A photon-decay curve can then be prepared for any counter with known response characteristics and, by calculating ionization rates at the same times, a corresponding ionization-decay curve. These curves can in turn be compared with experimental curves to check the basic composition and used to reduce counter and survey-meter readings.
1.3.5 Sampling Bias. Because the presence of the collection system itself usually distorts the local air stream, corrections for sample bias are also required before the total fallout deposited at a point may be determined. To make such corrections, the sampling arrays at all stations must be geometrically identical, so that their collections may be compared when corrected for wind velocity, and an independent and absolute measure of the total fallout deposited at one or more of the stations must be obtained. The latter is often difficult, if not impossible, to do and for this reason it is desirable to express radiological effects, such as dose rate, in terms of a reference fission density. Insertion of the best estimate of the actual fission density then leads to the computed infinite-plane ionization rate for that case.

In principle, on the deck of a ship large enough to simulate an infinite plane, the same falloutradiation measurements can be made as on a land mass. In actual fact, however, there are important differences: an additional deposition bias exists because of the distortion of the airflow around the ship; the collecting surfaces on the ship are less retentive than a land plane, and their geometric configuration is different; a partial washdown must be used if the ship is manned, and this requires headway into the surface wind in order to maintain position and avoid sample contamination in the unwashed area. For these reasons, the bias problem is even more severe aboard ship than on land.

The preceding considerations were applied in the development of the present experiment and will be reflected in the treatment of the data. All major sampling stations were constructed alike and included an instrument for measuring wind velocity. The buried-tray array surroundIng the major station on Site How was intended to provide one calibration point, and it was hoped that another could be derived from the water-sampling measurements. In the analysis which follows, fractionation corrections will be made and radiological quantities expressed in terms of $10^{4}$ fissions wherever possible. Relative-bias corrections will be included for each major station, and an attempt will also be made to assess absolute bias for these stations.
1.3.6 Overall Approach. It should be emphasized that, at the time this project was conceived, the need for controlled and correlated sets of fallout data for megaton bursts was critical. Because of the lack of experimental criteria, theoretical concepts could be neither proved nor disproved, and progress was blocked by disagreements over fundamental parameters. The distribution of particle sizes and radioactivity within the source cloud, the meteorological factors which determined the behavior of the particles falling through the atmosphere, the relationship of activity to particle size, and the decay and spectral characteristics of the fallout radiations: all were in doubt. Even the physical and chemical nature of the particulate from water-surface bursts was problematical, and all existing model theory was based on land-surface detonations. Corrections necessitated by collection bias and radionuclide fractionation were considered refinements.

The objectives stated in Section 1.1 were formulated primarily to provide such sets of data. However, the need to generalize the results so that they could be applied to other combinations of detonation conditions was also recognized, and it was felt that studies relating to basic radiological variables should receive particular emphasis. Only when it becomes possible to solve new sttuations by inserting the proper values of such detonation parameters as the yield of the device and the composition of environmental materials in generalized mathematical relationships will it become possible to truly predict fallout and combat its effects.

## Chopter 2

PROCEDURE

### 2.1 SHOT PARTICIPATION

This project participated in Shots Cherokee, Zuni, Flathead, Navajo and Tewa. Shot data is given in Table 2.1.

### 2.2 INSTRUMENTATION

The instrumentation featured standardized arrays of sampling instruments located at a variety of stations and similar sets of counting equipment located in several different laboratories. Barge, raft, island, skiff, and ship stations were used, and all instruments were designed to document fallout from air, land, or water bursts.

The standardized arrays were of two general types: major and minor. The overall purpose of both was to establish a basis for relative measurements. Major arrays were located on the ships, barges, and Site How; minor arrays were located on the rafts, skiffs, and Sites How, George, William, and Charlie. All major array collectors are identified by letter and number in Section A.1, Appendix A.

Special sampling facilities were provided on two ships and Site How.
The instrument arrays located at each station are listed in Table 2.2.
2.2.1 Major Sampling Array. The platforms which supported the major arrays were 15 or 20 feet in diameter and 3 feet 8 inches deep. Horizontal windshields were used to create uniform airflow conditions over the surfaces of the collecting instruments (Figures 2.1 and 2.2). All platforms were mounted on towers or king posts of ships to elevate them into the free air stream (Figure 2.3).

Each array included one gamma time-intensity recorder (TIR), one to three incremental collectors (IC), four open-close total collectors (OCC), two always-open total collectors, Type $1\left(A O C_{1}\right)$, one recording anemometer (RA), and one trigger-control unit (Mark I or Mark II).

The TIR, an autorecyclic gamma ionization dosimeter, is shown dissambled in Figure 2.4. It consisted of several similar units each of which contained an ionization chamber, an integrating range capacitor, associated electrometer and recyclic relay circuitry, and a power amplifier, fed to a 20 -pen Esterline-Angus operational recorder. Information was stored as a line pulse on a moving paper tape, each line corresponding to the basic unit of absorbed radiation for that channel. In operation, the integrating capacitor in parallel with the ionization chamber was charged negatively. In a radiation field, the voltage across this capacitor became more positive with ionization until a point was reached where the electrometer circuit was no longer nonconducting. The resultant current flow tripped the power amplifier which energized a recycling relay, actuated the recorder, and recharged the chamber to its original voltage. Approximately $1 / 4$ inch of polyethylene was used to exclude beta rays, such that increments of gamma ionization dose from 1 mr to 10 r were recorded with respect to time. Dose rate could then be obtained from the spacing of increments, and total dose from the number of increments. This instrument provided data on the time of arrival, rate of arrival, peak and cessation of fallout, and decay of the radiation field.

The IC, shown with the side covers removed in Figure 2.5, contained 55 to 60 trays with sensitive collecting surfaces 3.2 inch in diameter. The trays were carried to exposure position by a pair of interconnected gravity-spring-operated vertical elevators. Each tray was exposed
at the top of the ascending elevator for an equal increment of time, varying from 2 to 15 minutes for different instruments; after exposure it was pushed horizontally across to the descending elevator by means of a pneumatic piston. For land-surface shots, grease-coated cellulose acetate disks were used as collecting surfaces; for water-surface shots these were interspersed with disks carrying chloride-sensitive films. This instrument also furnished data on the time of arrival, rate of arrival, peak and cessation of fallout and, in addition, provided samples for measurements of single-particle properties, particle-size distribution, and radiation characteristics.

The OCC, shown with the top cover removed in Figure 2.6, contained a square aluminum tray about 2 inches deep and 2.60 square feet in area. Each tray was lined with a thin sheet of polyethylene to facilitate sample removal and filled with a fiberglass honeycomb insert to improve collection and retention efficiency without hindering subsequent analyses. The collector was equipped with a sliding lid, to prevent samples from being altered by environmental conditions before or after collection, and designed in such a way that the top of the collecting tray was raised about $1 / 2$ inch above the top of the instrument when the lid was opened. Upon recovery, each tray was sealed with a separate aluminum cover $1 / 4$ inch thick which was left in place until the time of laboratory analysis. The samples collected by this instrument were used for chemical and radiochemical measurements of total fallout and for determinations of activity deposited per unit area.

The AOC 1 was an OCC tray assembly which was continuously exposed from the time of placement until recovery. it was provided as a backup for the OCC, and the samples were intended to serve the same purposes.

The RA was a stock instrument (AN/UMQ-5B, RD108/UMQ-5) capable of recording wind speed and direction as a function of time.

The Mark I and II trigger-control units were central panels designed to control the operation of the instruments in the major sampling array. The Mark I utilized ship power and provided for manual control of OCC's and automatic control of IC's. The Mark II had its own power and was completely automatic. A manually operated direct-circuit trigger was used for the ship installations and a combination of radio, light, pressure and radiation triggers was used on the barges and Site How.

In addition to the instruments described above, an experimental high-volume filter unit (HVF), or incremental air sampler, was located on each of the ship platforms. It consisted of eight heads, each with a separate closure, and a single blower. The heads contained dimethylterephalate (DMT) filters, 3 inches in diameter, and were oriented vertically upward. Air was drawn through them at the rate of about 10 cubic feet per minute as they were opened sequentially through the control unit. The instrument was designed to obtain gross aerosol samples under conditions of low concentration and permit the recovery of particles without alteration resulting from sublimation of the DMT.

Sets of instruments consisting of one incremental and one total-fallout collector belonging to Project 2.65 and one gamma dose recorder belonging to Project 2.2 were also placed on the ship platforms and either on or near the barge and Site How platforms. These were provided to make eventual cross-correlation of data possible.
2.2.2 Minor Sampling Array. The minor array (Figure 2.7) was mounted in two ways. On the skiffs, a telescoping mast and the space within the skiff were used for the instruments. On the rafts and islands, a portable structure served both as a tower and shield against blast and thermal effects. However, all arrays included the same instruments: one time-of-arrival detector (TOAD), one film-pack dosimeter (ESL), and one always-open total collector, Type 2 ( $\mathrm{AOC}_{2}$ ).

The TOAD consisted of an ionization-chamber radiation trigger and an 8-day chronometric clock started by the trigger. With this instrument, the time of arrival was determined by subtracting the clock reading from the total period elapsed between detonation and the time when the instrument was read.

The ESL was a standard Evans Signal Laboratory film pack used to estimate the gross gam-
m ionization dose.
The $\mathrm{AOC}_{2}$ consisted of a 7 -inch-diameter funnel, a $1 / 2$-inch-diameter tube, and a 2 -gailon bottle, all of polyethylene, with a thin layer of fiberglass honeycomb in the mouth of the funnel. Collected samples were used to determine the activity deposited per unit area.
2.2.3 Special Sampling Facilities. The YAG 40 carried a shielded laboratory (Figure 2.3), which could commence studies shortly after the arrival of the fallout. This laboratory was independently served by the special incremental collector (SIC) and an Esterline-Angus recorder which continuously recorded the radiation field measured by TIR's located on the king-post platform and main deck.

The SIC consisted of two modified IC's, located side by side and capable of being operated independently. Upon completion of whatever sampling period was desired, trays from either instrument could be lowered directly into the laboratory by means of an enclosed elevator. Both the trays and their collecting surfaces were identical to those employed in the unmodified IC's. The samples were used first for early-time studies, which featured work on single particles and gamma decay and measurements of energy spectra. Later, the samples were used for detailed physical, chemical, and radiochemical analyses.

Both the YAG 39 and YAG 40 carried water-sampling equipment (Figure 2.3). The YAG 39 was equipped with a penetration probe, a decay tank with probe, a surface-monitoring device, and surface-sampling equipment. The YAG 40 was similarly equipped except that it had no decay tank with probe.

The penetration probe (SIO-P), which was furnished by Project 2.62a, contained a multiple GM tube sensing element and a depth gage. It was supported on an outrigger projecting about 25 feet over the side of the ship at the bow and was raised and lowered by a winch operated from the secondary control room. Its output was automatically recorded on an X-Y recorder located in the same room. The instrument was used during and after fallout to obtain successive vertical profiles of apparent milliroentgens per hour versus depth.

The tank containing the decay probe (SIO-D) was located on the main deck of the YAG 39 and was, in effect, a large always-open total collector with a windshield similar to that on the standard platiorm secured to its upper edge. It was approximately 6 feet in diameter and $63 / 4$ feet deep. The probe was identical to the SIO-P described above. Except in the case of Shot Zuni, the sea water with which it was filled afresh before each event, was treated with nitric acid to retard plating out of the radioactivity and stirred continuously by a rotor located at the bottom of the tank.

The surface-monitoring device (NYO-M), which was provided by Project 2.64, contained a plastic phosphor and photomultiplier sensing element. The instrument was mounted in a fixed position at the end of the bow outvigger and its output was recorded automatically on an EsterlineAngus recorder located in the secondary control room of the ship. During fallout, it was protected by a polyethylene bag. This was later removed while the device was operating. The purpose of the device was to estimate the contribution of surface contamination to the total reading. The instrument was essentially unshielded, exhibiting a nonuniform $4-\pi$ response. It was intended to measure the changing gamma-radiation field close above the surface of the ocean for purposes of correlation with readings of similar instruments carried by the survey aircraft.

The surface-sampling equipment consisted of a 5 -gallon polyethylene bucket with a hand line and a number of $1 / 2$-gallon polyethylene bottles. This equipment was used to collect water samples after the cessation of fallout.

A supplementary sampling facility was established on Site How near the tower of the major sampling array (Figure 2.8). It consisted of twelve AOC ${ }_{1}$ 's without liners or inserts ( $A O C_{1}-B$ ), each with an adjacent survey stake, 3 feet high. The trays were filled with earth and buried in Such a way that their collecting surfaces were flush with the ground. Every location marked with a stake was monitored with a hand survey meter at about 1 -day intervals for 5 or 6 days after each event. Samples from the trays were used in assessing the collection bias of the major sampling array by providing an absolute value of the number of fissions deposited per unit area.

The survey-meter readings were used to establish the gamma-ionization decay above a surface approximating a uniformly contaminated infinite plane.
2.2.4 Laboratory Facilities. Samples were measured and analyzed in the shielded laboratory aboard the YAG 40, the field laboratory at Site Elmer and the U.S. Naval Radiological Defense Laboratory (NRDL). The laboratories in the forward area were equipped primarily for making early-time measurements of sample radioactivity, all other measurements and analyses being performed at NRDL. Instruments used in determining the radiation characteristics of samples are discussed briefly below and shown in Figure 2.9; pertinent details are given in Section A.2, Appendix A. Other special laboratory equipment used during the course of sample studies consisted of an emission spectrometer, X-ray diffraction apparatus, electron microscope, ionexchange columns, polarograph, flame photometer, and Galvanek-Morrison fluorimeter.

The YAG 40 laboratory was used primarily to make early-gamma and beta-activity measurements of fallout samples from the SIC trays. All trays were counted in an end-window gamma counter as soon as they were removed from the elevator; decay curves obtained from a few of these served for corrections to a common time. Certain trays were examined under a widefield stereomicroscope, and selected particles were sized and removed with a hypodermic needle thrusit through a cork. Other trays were rinsed with acid and the resulting stock solutions used as currelation and decay samples in the end-window counter, a beta proportional counter, a 4- $\pi$ gamma ionization chamber and a gamma well counter. Each particle removed was stored on its needle in a small glass vial and counted in the well counter. Occasional particles too active for this counter were assayed in a special holder in the end-window counter, and a few were dissolved and treated as stock solutions. Gamma-ray pulse-height spectra were obtained from $a$ selection of the described samples using a 20 -channel gamma analyzer. Sturdy-energy calibration and reference-counting standards were prepared at NRDL and used continuously with each instrument throughout the operation.

The end-window counter (Figure 2.9A) consisted of a scintillation detection unit mounted in the top portion of a cylindrical lead shield $1 / 2$ inch thick, and connected to a preamplifier, amplifier and scaler unit (Section A.2). The detection unit contained a $1 / 2$-inch-diameter-by- $1 / 2$ -inch-thick $\mathrm{NaI}(\mathrm{T} 1)$ crystal fitted to a photomultiplier tube. $\mathrm{A} 1 / 4$-inch-thick aluminum beta absorber was located between the crystal and the counting chamber, and a movable-shelf arrangement was utilized to achieve known geometries.

The beta counter (Figure 2.9B) was of the proportional, continuous-flow type consisting of a gas-filled chamber with an aluminum window mounted in $21 / 2$-inch-thick cylindrical lead shield (Section A.2). A mixture of 90 -percent argon and 10 -percent $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ was used. The detection unit was mounted in the top part of the shield with a 1 -inch circular section of the chamber window exposed toward the sample, and connected through a preamplifier and amplifier to a conventional scaler. A movable-shelf arrangement similar to the one described for the end-window counter was used in the counting chamber. Samples were mounted on a thin plastic film stretched across an opening in an aluminum frame.

The 4- 4 gamma ionization chamber (GIC) consisted of a large, cylindrical steel chamber with a plastic-lined steel thimble extending into it from the top (Figure 2.9C). The thimble was surrounded by a tungsten-wire collecting grid which acted as the negative electrode, while the chamber itself served as the positive electrode. This assembly was shielded with approximately 4 inches of lead and connected externally to variable resistors and a vibrating reed electrometer, which was coupled in turn to a Brown recorder (Section A.2). Measurements were recorded in millivolts, together with corresponding resistance data from the selection of one four possible scales, and reported in milliamperes of ionization current. Samples were placed in lusteroid tubes and lowered into the thimble for measurement.

The gamma well counter (Figure 2.9D) consisted of a scintillation detection unit with a hollowed-out crystal, mounted in a cylindrical lead shield $1 / 2$ inches thick, and connected through a preamplifier to a scaler system (Section A.2). The detection unit contained a $13 / 4$-inch-diameter-by-2-inch-thick NaI(T1) crystal, with a $3 / 4$-inch-diameter-by- $1 \frac{1}{2}$-inch well, joined to a phototube. Samples were lowered into the well through a circular opening in the top of the shield.

The 20-channel analyzer (Figure 2.9 E ) consisted of a scintillation detection unit, an amplification system and a multichannel pulse-height analyzer of the differential-discriminator type, using glow transfer tubes and fast registers for data storage. Two basic 10 -channel units were operated together from a common control panel to make up the 20 channels. Slit amplifiers for both units furnished the basic amplitude-recognition function and established an amplitude sensitivity for each channel. The detection unit consisted of a 2 -inch-diameter-by- 2 -inch-thick NaI(T1) crystal encased in $1 / 2$ inch of polyethylene and joined to a photomultiplier tube. This unit was mounted in the top part of a cylindrical lead shield approximately 2 inches thick. A movableshelf arrangement, similar to that described for the end-window counter, was used to achieve bown geometries in the counting chamber, and a collimating opening $1 / 2$ inch in diameter in the base of the shield was used for the more active samples.

The laboratory on Site Elmer was used to gamma-count all IC trays and follow the gamma ionization and beta decay of selected samples. All of the instruments described for the YAG 40 inboratory were duplicated in a dehumidified room in the compound at this site, except for the well counter and 20-channel analyzer, and these were sometimes utilized when the ship was anchored at Eniwetok. Permanent standards prepared at NRDL were used with each instrument. Operations such as sample dissolving and aliquoting were performed in a chemical laboratory trailer located near the counting room. Rough monitoring of OCC and AOC samples was also accomplished in a nearby facility (Figure 2.9 F ); this consisted of a wooden transportainer containing a vertically adjustable rack for a survey meter and a fixed lead pad for sample placement.

Laboratory facilities at NRDL were used for the gamma-counting of all OCC and AOC samples, continuing decay and energy-spectra measurements on aliquots of these and other samples, and all physical, cheraical, and radiochemical studies except the single-particle work performed in the YAG 40 laboratory. Each type of instrument in the field laboratories, including the monitortog facility on Site Elmer, also existed at NRDL and, in addition, the instruments described below were used. Permanent calibration standards were utilized in every case, and different kinds of counters were correlated with the aid of various mononuclide standards, $U^{235}$ slow-neutron fission products, and actual cloud and fallout samples. All counters of a given type were also normalized to a sensibly uniform response by means of reference standards.

The doghouse counter (Figure 2.9G) was essentially an end-window scintillation counter with a counting chamber large enough to take a complete OCC tray. It consisted of a detection unit containing a 1 -inch-diameter-by-1-inch-thick $\operatorname{NaI}(T 1)$ crystal and a phototube, which was shielded with $1 \frac{1}{2}$ inches of lead and mounted over a 7 -inch-diameter hole in the roof of the counting chamber. The chamber was composed of a $3 / 4$-inch-thick plywood shell surrounded by a 2 -inch-thick lead shield with a power-operated vertical sliding door. The detector was connected through a preamplifier and amplifier to a special scaler unit designed for high counting rates. Sample trays were decontaminated and placed in a fixed position on the floor of the chamber. All trays were counted with their $1 / 4$-inch-thick aluminum covers in place. This instrument was used for basic gamma measurements of cloud samples and OCC, $A O C_{1}$, and $A O C_{1}-B$ trays.

The dip counter (Figure 2.9H) consisted of a scintillation-detection unit mounted on a long, metal pipe inserted through a hole in the roof of the doghouse counter and connected to the same amplifier and scaler system. The detection unit consisted of a $1 / 2$-inch-diameter-by- $1 / 2$-inchthick NaI(T1) crystal, a photomultiplier tube, and a preamplifier sealed in an aluminum case. This probe was positioned for counting by lowering it to a fixed level, where it was suspended by means of a flange on the pipe. A new polyethylene bag was used to protect the probe from contamination during each measurement. The sample solution was placed in a polyethylene container that could be raised and lowered on an adjustable platform to achieve a constant probe depth. A magnetic stirrer was utilized to keep the solution thoroughly mixed, and all measurements were made with a constant sample volume of $2,000 \mathrm{ml}$. The instrument was used for gamma measurements of all $\mathrm{AOC}_{2}$ and water samples, as well as aliquots of $O C C$ samples of known fission content.

The single-channel analyzer (Figure 2.9 I ) consisted of a scintillation-detection unit, an amplification system, a pulse-height analyzer, and an $X-Y$ plotter. After amplification, pulses from the detection unit were fed into the pulse-height analyzer. The base line of the analyzer
was swept slowly across the pulse spectrum and the output simultaneously fed into a count-rate meter. Count rate was recorded on the $Y$-axis of the plotter, and the analyzer base-line position on the X-axis, giving a record reducible to gamma intensity versus energy. The detection unit consisted of a 4-inch-diameter-by-4-inch-thick NaI(T1) crystal, optically coupled to a photomultiplier tube and housed in a lead shield $21 / 2$ inch thick on the sides and bottom. A 6-inch-thick lead plug with a $1 / 2$-inch-diameter collimating opening was located on top, with the collimator directed toward the center of the crystal. The sample was placed in a glass vial and suspended in a fixed position a short distance above the collimator. All quantitative gamma-energy-spectra measurements of cloud and fallout samples were made with this instrument.

Relative spectral data was also obtained at later times with a single-channel analyzer. This instrument utilized a detection unit with a 3 -inch-diameter-by-3-inch-thick uncollimated NaI(T1) crystal. Reproducible geometries were neither required nor obtained; energy calibration was accomplished with convenient known standards.

### 2.3 STATION LOCATIONS

2.3.1 Barges, Rafts, Islands, and Skiffs. The approximate locations of all project stations in the atoll area are shown for each shot in Figure 2.10; more exact locations are tabulated in Table 2.3. The Rafts 1, 2, and 3, the island stations on Sites George and How, and the Skiffs DD, EE, KK, LL, and TT remained in the same locations during the entire operation. Other stations changed position at least once and sometimes for each shot. These changes are indicated on the map by the letters for the shots during which the given position applies; the table, however, gives the exact locations. All stations were secured and protected from fallout during Shot Dakota in which this project did not participate.

The choice of locations for the barges was conditioned by the availability of cleared anchoring sites, the necessity of avoiding serious blast damage, and the fact that the YFNB 29 carried two major sampling arrays while the YFNB 13 carried only one. Within these limitations they were arranged to sample the heaviest fallout predicted for the lagoon area and yet guard against late changes in wind direction. In general, the YFNB 29 was located near Site How for all shots except Tewa, when it was anchored off Site Bravo. The YFNB 13 was located near Site Charlie for all shots except Cherokee and Tewa, when it was positioned near Site How. Because both barges were observed to oscillate slowly almost completely around their points of anchorage, an uncertainty of $\pm 200$ yards must be associated with the locations given in Table 2.3.

The raft positions were chosen for much the same reasons as for the barge positions, but also to improve the spacing of data points in the lagoon. An uncertainty of $\pm 150$ yards should be associated with these anchorage coordinates.

The island stations, except for Site How, were selected on the basis of predicted heavy fallout. It was for this reason that the minor sampling array (M) located at Site William for Shots Cherokee, Zuni, and Flathead was moved to Site Charlie for Shots Navajo and Tewa. Site How was selected to be in a region of moderate fallout so that survey and recovery teams could enter at early times. A detailed layout of the installation on Site How is shown in Figure 2.8.

Because the skiffs were deep anchored and could not be easily moved (Reference 15), their locations were originally selected to provide roughly uniform coverage of the most probable fallout sector. With the exception of Stations WW, XX, and YY-assembled from components recovered from other stations and placed late in the operation-their positions were not deliberately changed. Instead, the different locations shown in Figure 2.10 reflect the fact that the skiffs sometimes moved their anchorages and sometimes broke loose entirely and were temporarily lost. Loran fixes were taken during arming and recovery, before and after each shot. The locations given in Table 2.3 were derived from the fixes and represent the best estimate of the positions of the skiffs during fallout, for an average deviation of $\pm 1,000$ yards in each coordinate.
2.3.2 Ships. The approximate locations of the three project ships at the times when they experienced peak ionization rates during each shot are presented in Figure 2.11. Table 2.4 gives
these locations more precisely and also lists a number of other successive positions occupied by each ship between the times of arrival and cessation of fallout.

From the tabulated data, the approximate courses of the ships during their sampling intervals may be reconstructed. The given coordinates represent Loran fixes, however, and cannot be considered accurate to better than $\pm 500$ yards. Further, the ships did not always proceed from one point to another with constant velocity, and an uncertainty of $\pm 1,000$ yards should be applied to any intermediate position calculated by assuming uniform motion in a straight line between points.

The ships were directed to the initial positions listed in Table 2.4 by messages from the Program 2 Control Center (see Section 2.4.1); but once fallout began to arrive, each ship performed a fixed maneuver which led to the remaining positions. This maneuver, which for Shots Cherokee and Zuni consisted of moving into the surface wind at the minimum speed ( $<3$ knots) necessary to maintain headway, was a compromise between several requirements: the desirability of remaining in the same location with respect to the surface of the earth during the falloutcollection period, and yet avoiding nonuniform sampling conditions; the importance of preventing sample contamination by washdown water - particularly on the forward part of the YAG 40 where the SIC was located; and the necessity of keeping the oceanographic probe (SIO-P) away from the ship. It was found, however, that the ships tended to depart too far from their initial locations when surface winds were light; and this maneuver was modified for the remaining shots to include a figure eight with its long axis (<2 nautical miles) normal to the wind, should a distance of 10 nautical miles be exceeded.

The YAG 40 and LST 611 ordinarily left their sampling sites soon after the cessation of fallout and returned to Eniwetok by the shortest route. The YAG 39, on the other hand, after being relieved long enough to unload samples at Bikini to the vessel, Horizon (Scripps Institution of Oceanography), remained in position for an additional day to conduct water-sampling operations before returning to Eniwetok.

### 2.4 OPERATIONS

2.4.1 Logistic. Overall project operations were divided into several parts with one or more, teams and a separate director assigned to each. Both between shots and during the critical D-3 to $\mathrm{D}+3$ period, the teams functioned as the basic organizational units. In general, instrument maintenance was accomplished during the interim periods, instrument arming between $\mathrm{D}-3$ and $\mathrm{D}-1$, and sample recovery and processing from D -day to $\mathrm{D}+3$.

Control-center operations took place in the Program 2 Control Center aboard the command ship, USS Estes. This team, which consisted of three persons headed by the project officer, constructed probable fallout patterns based on meteorological information obtained from Task Force 7 and made successive corrections to the patterns as later information became available. The team also directed the movements of the project ships and performed the calculations required to reduce and interpret early data communicated from them.

Ship operations featured the use of the YAG 40, YAG 39, and LST 611 as sampling stations. These ships were positioned in the predicted fallout zone before the arrival of fallout and remained there until after its cessation. Each ship was manned by a minimum crew and carried one project team of three or four members who readied the major array instruments, operated them during fallout, and recovered and packed the collected samples for unloading at the sampledistribution center on Site Elmer. Water sampling, however, was accomplished by separate twoman teams aboard the YAG's, and early-sample measurements were performed by a team of six persons in the YAG 40 laboratory.

Bikini operations included the maintenance, arming, and recovery of samples from all project stations in the atoll area. Because every station had to operate automatically during fallout and samples had to be recovered at relatively early times, three teams of four or five men each were required. The barge team was responsible for the major sampling arrays on the YFNB 13, YFNB 29, and Site How, as well as for the special sampling facility located on the latter. The raft team was responsible for the minor sampling arrays on the rafts and atoll islands, and the
skiff team for those on the skiffs, all of which were anchored outside of the lagoon. The samples collected by these teams were returned to the sample-recovery center on Site Nan and processed there for shipment to the sample-distribution center on Site Elmer.

Laboratory operations were conducted on the YAG 40 and on Site Elmer. One six-man team worked on the YAG 40 during fallout, making the measurements of the SIC tray samples described in Section 2.2.3, while a second three-man team remained on Site Elmer to make the measurements of the IC trays as soon as they arrived. Decay measurements and other studies begun on the ship were sometimes continued by the same persons on Site Elmer and later at NRDL.

Eniwetok operations consisted of the administrative activities of the project headquarters office located there, and the sample-processing activities of the sample-distribution center. All samples collected by ship, laboratory, and Bikini operations were recorded, decontaminated, monitored, packed, and placed on one of two early flights to NRDL by the four-man team assigned to this center.

Thus, all samples were collected either aboard the project ships or by one of the Bikini stations; all, however, were routed through the sample-distribution center on Site Elmer before being shipped to NRDL. Charts removed from recorders and records of field-instrument readings were also processed through the center. Only SIC and IC trays were used for fieldlaboratory measurements, all others being counted and analyzed at NRDL.
2.4.2 Technical. Fallout information was required in three broad categories: buildup characteristics, including all time-dependent data associated with fallout arrival; physical, chemical, and radiochemical characteristics, including both single particles and total samples; and radionuclide composition and radiation characteristics, including fractionation and gamma ionization decay. The operational procedures discussed in the preceding paragraphs, as well as the instrumentation described in Section 2.2, were designed around these requirements.

The rate of fallout arrival and most other buildup characteristics were determined from TIR records and measurements of IC and SIC trays. Consequently, this information was obtained at all major-sampling-array locations and several additional places aboard the project ships. Time of arrival, however, was determined at all stations; wherever major arrays were located, it was derived from the TIR's and IC's, while the TOAD's supplied it for the minor arrays. The way in which particle-size distributions changed with time was determined by sizing and counting IC tray collections, and mass-arrival rates were calculated from the same data. Ocean-penetration rates were derived from the probe (SIO-P) measurements made on the YAG 39 and YAG 40. Periodic TIR readings from the ships and selected SIC tray data were also reported to the control center during each shot and used for preliminary fallout analyses.

The majority of single-particle studies were performed on particles collected by the SIC on the YAG 40, although particles from IC and OCC trays, as well as two unscheduled samples from the YFNB 29, were also used. The sizes and gamma activities of all particles were measured, diameter being defined and used as an index of size for solid particles and NaCl content for slurry particles. Solid particles were also classified as to type and used for a number of special studies, including decay and gamma-energy-spectra measurements and radiochemical analyses.

The total amount of fallout, and all other properties requiring a total collection, were determined from OCC and AOC samples. As indicated in Section 2.2.4, all OCC and AOC 1 trays, as well as all $\mathrm{AOC}_{2}$ bottles after the material in the funnel and tube had been washed into them with a dilute acid, were shipped directly to NRDL and gamma-counted. Following this, OCC tray samples from each station were removed and analyzed for their chemical and radiochemical compositions, so that the surface densities of various fallout components and the total amount of activity deposited per unit area could be calculated.

Aliquots were withdrawn from the OCC-sample solutions at NRDL and measured in the 4-7 ionization chamber along with aliquots of $\mathrm{AOC}_{2}$ and sea-water samples in order to relate the different kinds of gamma measurements. Other aliquots and undissolved fractions of the original sample were used for gamma spectra and beta- and gamma-decay measurements, with gamma decay being followed both on crystal counters and in the $4-\pi$ ionization chamber. Samples
collected on selected trays from the SIC were also dissolved in the YAG 40 laboratory and aliquots of the resulting solution used for similar purposes. Information obtained in these ways, when combined with radiochemical results, provided a basis for establishing an average radionuclide composition from which air-ionization rates could be calculated.

Measurement of the actual air-ionization rate above a simulated infinite plane was made on Site How. In addition to the record obtained by the TIR, periodic ionization-rate readings were made with a hand survey meter held 3 feet above the ground at each of the buried-tray ( $A O C_{1}-B$ ) locations. The number of fissions collected in these trays served both to calibrate the collections made by the major array on the tower and to establish experimental values of the ratio of roentgens per hour to fissions per square foot. Fission concentrations in a number of surfacewater samples collected from the YAG 39 and YAG 40 were also determined for use in conjunction with the average depth of penetration, to arrive at an independent estimate of the total amount of fallout deposited at these locations.

It was intended to calibrate one of the oceanographic probes (SIO-D) directly by recording its response to the total fallout deposited in the tank aboard the YAG 39, and subsequently measuring the activities of water samples from the tank. Because it malfunctioned, the probe could not be calibrated in this way, but the samples were taken and fission concentrations estimated for each shot. Records were also obtained from the surface-monitoring devices (NYO-M) on the YAG 39 and YAG 40. These records could not be reduced to ocean-survey readings, however, because the instruments tended to accumulate surface contamination and lacked directional shielding.
table 2.2 STATION INSTRUMENTATION
P-TIR, gamma thmo-intensity recorder on standard platform; D-TIR, gamma time-intensity recorder on deck; IC, incremental collector; she, special incremental collector; OCC, open-close total collector; AOC ${ }_{1}$, alwaya-open total collector, Type 1; AOC 2 , alwaym-open total collector, Type 2; AOC1-B, buried earth-fillod total collector; TOAD, time of arrival detector; ESL, tilm-pack dosimeter; RVF, highvolume alter unit; RA, recording anemometer; SIO-P, Scripps Institution of Oceanography penetration probe; SIO-D, Scrippa Institution d Oceanography decay tank probe; and NYO-M, Now York Operation a Off ce AEC monitor. Numerals indicate number of instruments.
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table 2.3 Station locations in the atoll area

| Station | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Shot Cherokee } \\ & \text { North Latitude } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { East Longitude } \end{aligned}$ |  | Shot ZuniNorth LatitudeandEast Longitude |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Shot Flathead } \\ & \hline \text { North Le:itude } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { East Longitude } \end{aligned}$ |  | Shot NavajoNorth LatitudeandEast Longitude |  | Shot TewaNorth LatitudeandEast Longitude |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | deg | mln | deg | min | deg | min | deg | min | deg | min |
| YFNB 13 (E) | 11 | 35.3 | 11 | 40.0 | 11 | 40.0 | 11 | 39.1 | 11 | 37.5 |
|  | 165 | 31.2 | 165 | 17.2 | 165 | 17.2 | 165 | 16.2 | 165 | 27.0 |
| YFNB 29 (G, H) | 11 | 37.5 | 11 | 37.5 | 11 | 37.5 | 11 | 36.2 | 11 | 37.4 |
|  | 165 | 27.0 | 165 | 27.0 | 165 | 27.0 | 165 | 29.8 | 165 | 14.2 |
| How Island (F)* | 148,320 |  |  |  |  | N |  | N |  | N |
|  | 167,360 | E |  |  |  | E |  | E |  | E |
| How Lsland (K)* | 148,450 | N |  |  |  |  |  | N |  | N |
|  | 167.210 | E |  |  |  | E |  | E |  | E |
| George Island (L)* | 168,530 | N |  |  |  | N |  | N |  | N |
|  | 131,250 | E |  |  |  | E |  | E |  | E |
| William Island (M)* | 109,030 | N |  |  |  | N |  |  |  |  |
|  | 079,540 | E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charlie Island (M)* | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | N |  | N |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | E |  | E |
| Raft-1 (P) | 11 | 35.1 | 11 | 35.1 | 11 | 35.1 | 11 | 35.1 | 11 | 35.1 |
|  | 165 | 27.6 | 165 | 27.6 | 165 | 27.6 | 165 | 27.6 | 165 | 27.6 |
| Raft-2 (R) | 11 | 34.6 | 11 | 34.6 | 11 | 34.6 | 11 | 34.6 | 11 | 34.6 |
|  | 165 | 22.2 | 165 | 22.2 | 165 | 22.2 | 165 | 22.2 | 165 | 22.2 |
| Ratt-3 (3) | 11 | 35.4 | 11 | 35.4 | 11 | 35.4 | 11 | 35.4 | 11 | 35.4 |
|  | 165 | 17.2 | 165 | 17.2 | 165 | 17.2 | 165 | 17.2 | 165 | 17.2 |
| Skiff-AA | 12 | 06.1 | 12 | 06.1 | 12 | 06.1 | 12 | 05.4 | 12 | 05.4 |
|  | 164 | 47.0 | 164 | 47.0 | 164 | 47.0 | 164 | 44.9 | 164 | 44.9 |
| Sciff-BB | 12 | 11.6 | 12 | 11.6 | 12 | 11.6 | 12 | 11.5 | 12 | 11.5 |
|  | 165 | 10.0 | 165 | 10.0 | 165 | 10.0 | 165 | 07.5 | 165 | 07.5 |
| Skiff-CC | 12 | 11.3 | 12 | 11.3 | 12 | 10.7 | 12 | 11.8 | 12 | 11.8 |
|  | 165 | 23.0 | 165 | 23.0 | 165 | 17.6 | 165 | 20.9 | 165 | 20.9 |
| Siciff-DD | 12 | 11.5 | 12 | 11.5 | 12 | 11.5 | 12 | 11.5 | 12 | 11.5 |
|  | 165 | 40.0 | 165 | 40.0 | 165 | 40.0 | 165 | 40.0 | 165 | 40.0 |
| Skiff-EE | 12 | 11.3 | 12 | 11.3 | 12 | 11.3 | 12 | 11.3 | 12 | 11.3 |
|  | 165 | 57.3 | 165 | 57.3 | 165 | 57.3 | 165 | 57.3 | 165 | 57.3 |
| Skiff-FF | 12 | 02.4 | 12 | 02.4 | 12 | 03.5 | 12 | 02.4 | 12 | 02.4 |
|  | 166 | 15.5 | 166 | 15.5 | 166 | 14.2 | 166 | 15.5 | 166 | 15.5 |
| Skdifl-GG | 11 | 57.8 | 11 | 57.8 | 11 | 57.8 | - | - | 12 | 01.1 |
|  | 165 | 13.8 | 165 | 13.8 | 165 | 13.8 | - | - | 165 | 10.2 |
| Staiff-HH | 12 | 01.3 | 12 | 01.3 | 12 | 02.0 | 12 | 02.0 | 12 | 02.0 |
|  | 165 | 22.9 | 165 | 22.9 | 165 | 21.6 | 165 | 21.6 | 165 | 21.6 |
| Staifl-KK | 12 | 02.0 | 12 | 02.0 | 12 | 02.0 | 12 | 02.0 | 12 | 02.0 |
|  | 165 | 40.0 | 165 | 40.0 | 165 | 40.0 | 165 | 40.0 | 165 | 40.0 |
| Slatioll | 12 | 02.0 | 12 | 02.0 | 12 | 02.0 | 12 | 02.0 | 12 | 02.0 |
|  | 165 | 58.0 | 165 | 58.0 | 165 | 58.0 | 165 | 58.0 | 165 | 58.0 |
| Skiff-MM | 11 | 52.8 | 11 | 52.8 | 11 | 52.8 | 11 | 52.7 | 11 | 52.7 |
|  | 164 | 58.4 | 164 | 58.4 | 164 | 58.4 | 164 | 56.0 | 164 | 56.0 |
| Stiff-PP | 11 | 52.0 | - | - | 11 | 50.5 | 11 | 52.0 | 11 | 52.0 |
|  | 165 | 22.8 | - | - | 165 | 23.9 | 165 | 22.8 | 165 | 22.8 |
| STIIf-RR | 11 | 51.0 | 11 | 51.0 | 11 | 53.3 | 11 | 52.3 | 11 | 52.3 |
|  | 165 | 40.0 | 265 | 40.0 | 165 | 35.2 | 165 | 39.7 | 165 | 39.7 |
| Skitr-ss | 11 | 50.0 | 11 | 50.0 | 11 | 51.1 | - | - | - | - |
|  | 165 | 58.0 | 165 | 58.0 | 165 | 58.0 | - | - | - | - |
| Skiff-TT | 11 | 50.8 | 11 | 50.8 | 11 | 50.8 | 11 | 50.8 | 11 | 50.8 |
|  | 166 | 15.0 | 166 | 15.0 | 166 | 15.0 | 166 | 15.0 | 166 | 15.0 |
| Skiff-us | 11 | 42.5 | 11 | 42.5 | 11 | 42.5 | - | - | - | - |
|  | 165 | 47.5 | 165 | 47.5 | 165 | 47.5 | - | - | - | - |
| Skiff-VV | 11 | 21.7 | 11 | 21.7 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | 165 | 19.5 | 165 | 19.5 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Skiff-Ww | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 11 | 43.2 |
|  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 165 | 11.5 |
| Sciff-XX | - | - | - | - | - | 一 | - | - | 11 | 41.2 |
|  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 164 | 55.1 |
| Sticifly | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 11 | 54.0 |
|  | - | - | - | - | 一 | - | - | - | 164 | 36.4 |

- Holmes and Narver coordinatas.

TABLE 2.4 ghip looationg at times of peak agtivity
The mymbola $t_{2}$ and to ropresent the timea of arrival and ceasation of tallout, reapectively; $t_{p}$ te the time of peak observed ionization rate.

| Station | Shot Cherakee |  |  | Shot Zund |  |  | Shot Flathead |  |  | Shot Navajo |  |  | Shot Tewa |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Time | North Latitude and <br> East Longitude |  | Time | North Latitude and <br> East Longitude |  | Time | North Latitude and <br> East Longitude |  | Time | North Latitude and <br> East Longitude |  | Time | North Latitude and <br> East Longitude |  |
|  | TSD, hr | deg | min | TSD, hr | dog | min | TSD, hr | deg | min | TSD, hr | deg | min | TSD, hr | deg | min |
| YAG 40$(A, B)$ | $6\left(t_{0}\right)^{*}$ | 12 | 40.0 | $3.4\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ | 12 | 22.0 | 8.0 ( $\mathrm{ta}_{\mathbf{a}}$ ) | 12 | 19.7 | 6.0 ( t ) | 12 | 12.3 | $4.4\left(t_{a}\right)$ | 12 | 04.5 |
|  |  | 164 | 20.0 |  | 165 | 45.8 |  | 165 | 20.8 |  | 165 | 08.8 |  | 164 | 44.8 |
|  | $9(t \mathrm{p}) *$ | 12 | 40.0 | 4.3 | 12 | 22.0 | 11.6 | 12 | 23.2 | 6.6 | 12 | 12.0 | 6.2 | 12 | 04.5 |
|  |  | 164 | 35.0 |  | 165 | 37.0 |  | 165 | 31.2 |  | 165 | 11.0 |  | 184 | 46.9 |
|  |  |  |  | 4.8 | 12 | 22.0 | 12.8 | 12 | 34.7 | 7.3 | 12 | 11.0 | 7.2 ( $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{p}}$ ) | 12 | 06.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 165 | 30.3 |  | 165 | 34.0 |  | 165 | 10.0 |  | 164 | 49.2 |
|  |  |  |  | 5.3 | 12 | 22.5 | 13.8 | 12 | 26.0 | 9.2 | 12 | 13.0 | 8.2 | 12 | 06.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 165 | 24.5 |  | 165 | 37.1 |  | 165 | 04.3 |  | 164 | 53.0 |
|  |  |  |  | 6.8 | 12 | 22.0 | 17.0 ( $t_{p}$ ) | 12 | 31.9 | 11.1 | 12 | 11.0 | $8.5\left(t_{c}\right)$ | 12 | 06.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 165 | 18.0 |  | 165 | 43.5 |  | 165 | 04.8 |  | 164 | 52.8 |
|  |  |  |  | 6.3 | 12 | 23.0 | 22 (tc) | 12 | 41.8 | 12.1 | 12 | 12.0 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 165 | 15.4 |  | 165 | 54.3 |  | 165 | 04.8 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 6.7 (tp) | 12 | 23.5 |  |  |  | 12.3 ( $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{p}}$ ) | 12 | 12.2 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 165 | 16.7 |  |  |  |  | 165 | 04.2 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 7.4 (tc) | 12 | 24.4 |  |  |  | 13.1 | 12 | 13.0 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 165 | 16.2 |  |  |  |  | 165 | 01.0 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16 ( $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{c}}$ ) | 12 | 09.8 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 164 | 59.5 |  |  |  |
| YAG 39 <br> (C) | 10 ( $\mathrm{l}_{\text {a }}$ * | 13 | 18.0 | $12\left(t_{a}\right)$ | 13 | 00.6 | 4.5 ( $\mathrm{ta}_{\mathrm{a}}$ ) | 12 | 04.2 | 2.3 ( ta ) | 12 | 01.8 | 2.0 ( $\mathrm{t}_{2}$ ) | 12 | 05.6 |
|  |  | 163 | 42.0 |  | 165 | 02.2 |  | 185 | 23.4 |  | 165 | 18.3 |  | 165 | 12.0 |
|  | 12 (tp)* | 12 | 20.0 | 12.6 | 13 | 00.6 | 5.1 | 12 | 04.7 | 4.6 | 11 | 69.7 | 2.2 | 12 | 03.5 |
|  |  | 163 | 40.0 |  | 165 | 03.0 |  | 165 | 18.0 |  | 165 | 20.0 |  | 165 | 12.0 |
|  |  |  |  | 14.6 | 12 | 53.0 | 6.1 | 12 | 06.0 | 5.6 | 12 | 01.7 | 2.7 | 12 | 04.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 165 | 02.8 |  | 165 | 25.0 |  | 165 | 19.5 |  | 165 | 13.1 |
|  |  |  |  | 16.1 | 13 | 00.0 | 8.1 | 12 | 03.0 | 6.0 (tp) | 11 | 59.3 | 4.7 | 12 | 01.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 165 | 07.1 |  | 165 | 26.0 |  | 165 | 20.7 |  | 165 | 18.0 |
|  |  |  |  | 17.6 | 13 | 03.8 | 10.1 | 12 | 07.0 | 6.6 | 11 | 57.0 | 5.0 (tp) | 12 | 01.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 165 | 00.0 |  | 165 | 27.0 |  | 165 | 22.0 |  | 165 | 18.2 |
|  |  |  |  | 18.6 | 13 | 00.4 | 11.0 ( ${ }_{\text {p }}$ ) | 12 | 05.6 | 8.6 | 12 | 02.0 | 5.3 (te) | 12 | 01.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 165 | 00.6 |  | 165 | 27.0 |  | 166 | 20.0 |  | 165 | 18.3 |
|  |  |  |  | 19.6 | 12 | 68.0 | 12.1 | 12 | 04.0 | 9.6 | 11 | 59.0 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 165 | 08.0 |  | 165 | 27.0 |  | 165 | 19.0 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 20.6 | 12 | 59.0 | 13 (tm) | 12 | 05.1 | 11.6 | 11 | 58.0 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 165 | 01.2 |  | 165 | 27.8 |  | 165 | 20.0 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 21.6 | 13 | 00.6 |  |  |  | 12.6 | 11 | 57.0 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 165 | 10.7 |  |  |  |  | 165 | 18.0 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 24.6 | 13 | 00.0 |  |  |  | 14.6 | 11 | 55.0 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 165 | 11.4 |  |  |  |  | 165 | 23.5 |  |  |  |



* Questionable value; activity near background level.
$\dagger$ Predicted value; no fallout occurred.


Figure 2.1 Aerial view of major sampling array.


Figure 2.2 Plan and elevation of major sampling array.
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Figure 2.3 Ship and barge stations.


Figure 2.4 Functional view of gamma time-intensity recorder (TIR).


Figure 2.5 Functional view of incremental collector (IC).


Figure 2.6 Functional view of open-close total collector (OCC).


Figure 2.7 Minor sampling array.


Figure 2.8 Location map and plan drawing of Site How.


Figure 2.9 Counter geometries.



Figure 2.11 Ship locations at times of peak activity.

## Chopter 3 RESULTS

### 3.1 DATA PRESENTATION

The data has been reduced and appears in comprehensive tables (Appendix B) that summarize certain kinds of information for all shots and stations. The text itself contains only derived results.

In general, the details of calculations, such as those involved in reducing gross gamma spectra to absolute photon intensities or in arriving at R-values, have not been included. Instead, original data and final results are given, together with explanations of how the latter were obtained and with references to reports containing detailed calculations.

Results for the water-surface Shots Flathead and Navajo, and the land-surface and near-landsurface Shots Zuni and Tewa, are presented in four categories: fallout-buildup characteristics (Section 3.2); physical, chemical, and radiochemical characteristics of the contaminated material (Section 3.3); its radionuclide composition and radiation characteristics (Section 3.4); and correlations of results (Section 4.3). Appendix B contains all reduced data for these shots separated into three types: that pertaining to the buildup phase (Section B.1); information on physical, chemical, and radiological properties (Section B.2); and data used for correlation studies (Section B.3).

Measurements and results for Shot Cherokee, an air burst during which very little fallout occurred, are summarized in Section 4.1.

Unreduced data are presented in Section B.4.
Each of the composite plots of TIR readings and IC tray activities presented in the section on buildup characteristics may be thought of as constituting a general description of the surface radiological event which occurred at that station. In this sense the information needed to complete the picture is provided by the remainder of the section on particle-size variation with time and mass-arrival rate, as well as by the following sections on the activity deposited per unit area, the particulate properties of the contaminated material, its chemical and radiochemical composition, and the nature of its beta- and gamma-ray emissions. Penetration rates and activity profiles in the ocean extend the description to subsurface conditions at the YAG locations. The radiological event that took place at any major station may be reconstructed in as much detail as desired by using Figures 3.1 through 3.4 as a guide and referring to the samples from that station for the results of interest. Each sample is identified by station, collector, and shot in all tables and figures of results, and the alphabetical and numerical designations assigned to all major array collectors are summarized in Figure A.1.

Throughout the treatment which follows, emphasis has been placed on the use of quantities such as fissions per gram and $R^{99}$ values, whose variations show fundamental differences in fallout properties. In addition, radiation characteristics have been expressed in terms of unit fissions wherever possible. As a result, bias effects are separated, certain conclusions are made evident, and a number of correlations become possible. Some of the latter are presented in Sections 3.3, 3.4, and 4.3.

### 3.2 BULDDUP CHARACTERISTICS

3.2.1 Rate of Arrival. Reduced and corrected records of the ionization rates measured by one TIR and the sample activities determined from one IC at each major array station are plotted against time since detonation (TSD) in Figures 3.1 through 3.4 for Shots Flathead, Navajo,

Zuni, and Tewa. Numerical values are tabulated in Tables B. 1 and B.2. Because the records $\alpha$ the TIR's and the deck (D-TIR) are plotted for the YAG's, the measurements made by the TIR's in the standard platform ( $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{TIR}$ ) have been included in Appendix B. The records of the IC's with shorter collection intervals have been omitted, because they show only the greater variability in the fine structure of the other curves and do not cover the entire fallout period.

TIR readings have been adjusted in accordance with the calibration factors applying to the four ionization chambers present in each instrument, and corrected to account for saturation loss over all ranges. (The adjustments were made in accordance with a private communication from H. Rinnert, NRDL, and based upon $\mathrm{Co}^{60}$ gamma rays incident on an unobstructed chamber, normal to its axis.) Recorder speeds have also been checked and the time applying to each reading verified. In those cases where saturation occurred in the highest range, readings have been estimated on the basis of the best information available and the curves dotted in on the figures.

II is pointed out that these curves give only approximate air-ionization rates. Because of the varying energy-response characteristics of each ionization chamber, and internal shielding effects resulting from the construction of the instrument, TIR response was nonuniform with respect both to photon energy and direction, as indicated in Figures A. 2 through A.4. The overall estimated effect was to give readings as much as 20 percent lower than would have been recorded by an ideal instrument. (Measurements were made on the YAG 39 and YAG 40 during all four shots with a Cutie Pie or T1B hand survey meter held on top of an operating TIR. The TIR's indicated, on the average, $0.85 \pm 25$ percent of the survey meter readings, which themselves indicate only about 75 percent of the true dose rate 3 feet above a uniformly distributed plane source (Reference 17). Total doses calculated from TIR curves and measured by filmpack dosimeters (ESL) at the same locations are compared in Section 4.3.5.)

Detailed corrections are virtually impossible to perform, requiring source strength and epectral composition as functions of direction and time, cor.bined with the energy-directional response characteristics of each chamber. It is also pointed out that these sources of error are inherent to some degree in every real detector and are commonly given no consideration whatsoever. Even with an ideal instrument, the measured dose rates could not be compared with theoretical land-equivalent dose rates because of irregularities in the distribution of the source material and shielding effects associated with surface conditions. However, a qualitative study of the performance characteristics of ship, barge, and island TIR's indicated that all performed in a manner similar for the average numbers of fissions deposited and identical radiomuclide compositions.

The exposure interval associated with each IC tray has been carefully checked. In those cases where the time required to count all of the trays from a single instrument was unduly long, activities have been expressed at a common time of $\mathrm{H}+12$ hours. Background and coincidence loss corrections have also been made.

The time interval during which each tray was exposed is of particular importance, not only because its midpoint fixes the mean time of collection, but also because all tray activities in counts per minute (counts/min) have been normalized by dividing by this interval, yielding counts per minute per minute of exposure (counts $/ \mathrm{min}^{2}$ ). Such a procedure was necessary, because eodlection intervals of several different lengths were used. The resulting quantity is an activityarrival rate, and each figure shows how this quantity varied over the successive collection intervals at the reference time, or time when the trays were counted. If it can be established that mass is proportional to activity, these same curves can be used to study mass-arrival rate with time (Section 3.2.3, Shots Flathead and Navajo); if, on the other hand, the relationship of mass to activity is unknown, they may be used for comparison with curves of mass-arrival rate constructed by some other means (Section 3.2.3, Shots Zuni and Tewa).

Thus, while each point on a TIR curve expresses the approximate gamma ionization rate procuced at that time by all sources of activity, the corresponding time point on the IC curve gives the decay-corrected relative rate at which activity was arriving. Both complementary kinds of information are needed for an accurate description of the radiological event that took place at a given station and are plotted together for this reason- not because they are comparable in any other way.

The activities of the IC trays have not been adjusted for sampling bias, although some undoubtedly exists, primarily because its quantitative effects are unknown. Relative rates may still be derived if it is assumed that all trays are biased alike, which appears reasonable for those cases in which wind speed and direction were nearly constant during the sampling period (Section 4.3.2). More extensive analysis would be required to eliminate uncertainties in the remaining cases.

It should also be mentioned that IC trays with alternating greased-disk and reagent-film collecting surfaces were intentionally used in all of the collectors for Shots Flathead and Navajo - with no detectable difference in efficiency for the resulting fallout drops - and of necessity for Shot Tewa. The late move of Shot Tewa to shallow water produced essentially solid particle fallout, for which the efficiency of the reagent film as a collector was markedly low. Thus, only the greased-disk results have been plotted for the YAG 40 in Figure 3.4, although it was necessary to plot both types for some of the other stations. Trays containing reagent-film disks, all of which were assigned numbers between 2994 and 3933, may be distinguished by reference to Table B.2. A few trays, designated by the prefix $P$, also contained polyethylene disks to facilitate sample recovery.
3.2.2 Times of Arrival, Peak Activity, and Cessation. The times at which fallout first arrived, reached its peak, and ceased at each major array station are summarized for all shots in Table 3.1. Peak ionization rates are also listed for convenient reference. Time of arrival detector (TOAD) results, covering all minor array stations and providing additional values for the major stations in the atoll area, are tabulated in Table 3.2.

The values given in Table 3.1 were derived from Figures 3.1 through 3.4, and the associated numerical values in Tables B. 1 and B.2, by establishing certain criteria which could be applied throughout. These are stated in the table heading; while not the only ones possible, they were felt to be the most reasonable in view of the available data.

Arrival times ( $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{a}}$ ) were determined by inspection of both TIR and IC records, the resulting values being commensurate with both. Because the arrival characteristics varied, arrival could not be defined in some simple way, such as " $1 \mathrm{mr} / \mathrm{hr}$ above background." The final values, therefore, were chosen as sensible-arrival times, treating each case individually. It should be mentioned that, within the resolving power of the instruments used, no time difference existed between the onset of material collections on the IC trays and the toe of the TIR buildup curve. The IC's on the ships were manually operated and generally were not triggered until the arrival of fallout was indicated by the TIR or a survey meter, thus precluding any arrival determination by IC; those at the unmanned stations, however, triggered automatically at shot time, or shortiy thereafter, and could be used. The SIC on the YAG 40 also provided usable data, ordinarily yielding an earlier arrival time than IC B-7 on the same ship. In order to conserve trays, however, the number exposed before fallout arrival was kept small, resulting in a larger time uncertainty within the exposure interval of the first active tray.

Once defined, times of peak activity ( $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{p}}$ ) could be taken directly from the TIR curves. Because peaks were sometimes broad and flat, however, it was felt to be desirable to show also the time interval during which the ionization rate was within 10 percent of the peak value. Examination of these data indicated that $t_{p} \sim 2 t_{a}$; this point is discussed and additional data are presented in Reference 18.

Cessation time ( $t_{c}$ ) is even more difficult to define than arrival time. In almost every case, for example, fallout was still being deposited at a very low rate on the YAG 40 when the ship departed station. Nevertheless, an extrapolated cessation time which was too late would give an erroneous impression, because 90 or 95 percent of the fallout was down hours earlier. For this reason, IC-tray activities measured at a common time were cumulated and the time at which 95 percent of the fallout had been deposited read off. A typical curve rises abruptly, rounds over, and approaches the total amount of fallout asymptotically. Extrapolated cessation times were estimated primarily from the direct IC plots (Figures 3.1 through 3.4), supplemented by the cumulative plots, and the TIR records replotted on $\log -\log$ paper. It must be emphasized
that the cessation times reported are closely related to the sensitivity of the measuring systems used and the fallout levels observed.

All values for time of arrival given in Table 3.2 were determined from TOAD measurements. They were obtained by subtracting the time interval measured by the instrument clock, which started when fallout arrived, from the total period elapsed between detonation and the time when the instrument was read.

Because the TOAD's were developed for use by the project and could not be proof-tested in advance, certain operational problems were encountered in their use; these are reflected by Footnotes §, 8 and $\dagger$ in Table 3.2. Only Footnote $\dagger$ indicates that no information was obtained by the units; however, Footnotes $\S$ and $\$$ are used to qualify questionable values. Because the TOAD's from the barge and island major stations were used elsewhere after Shot Flathead, Footnote * primarily expresses the operational difficulties involved in servicing the skiffs and keeping them in place.

The fact that a station operated properly and yet detected no fallout is indicated in both tables by Footnote $\ddagger$. In the case of the major stations, this means that the TIR record showed no measurable increase and all of the IC trays counted at the normal background rate. For the minor stations, however, it means that the rate of arrival never exceeded $20 \mathrm{mr} / \mathrm{hr}$ per half hour, because the radiation trigger contained in the TOAD was set for this value.
3.2.3 Mass-Arrival Rate. A measure of the rate at which mass was deposited at each of the major stations during Shots Zuni and Tewa is plotted in Figure 3.5 from data contained in Table B.4; additional data are contained in Table B.6. Corresponding mass-arrival rates for Shots Flathead and Navajo may be obtained, where available, by multiplying each of the IC-tray activities (count/ $\mathrm{min}^{2}$ ) in Figures 3.1 and 3.2 by the factor, micrograms per square feet per hour per counts per minute per minute, $\left[\mu \mathrm{g} /\left(\mathrm{ft}^{2}-\mathrm{hr}\right.\right.$-count $\left.\left./ \mathrm{min}^{2}\right)\right]$. For the YAG 40 , YAG 39, and LST 611, the factor is 0.0524 for Shot Flathead and $0.7{ }^{\circ} 1$ for Shot Navajo. For the YFNB 29, the factor is 0.343 for Shot Flathead. For the YFNB 13 and How-F, the factor is 3.69 for Shot Navajo.

The former values of mass-arrival rate, micrograms per square foot per hour $\left[\mu \mathrm{g} /\left(\mathrm{ft}{ }^{2} / \mathrm{hr}\right)\right]$, were calculated from the particle-size distribution studies in Reference 19, discussed in more detail in Section 3.2.4. The number of solid particles in each size increment deposited per square foot per hour was converted to mass by assuming the particles to be spheres with a density of $2.36 \mathrm{gm} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$. Despite the fact that a few slurry particles might have been present (Section 3.3.1), these values were then summed, over all size increments, to obtain the total massarrival rate for each tray, or as a function of time since detonation (TSD). These results may not be typical for the geographic locations from which the samples were taken, because of collector bias (Section 4.3.2).

Because this result will be affected by any discrepancy between the number of particles of a certain size, which would have passed through an equal area in free space had the tray not been present, and the number ultimately collected by the tray and counted, both sampling bias (Section 4.3.2) and counting error (Section 3.2.4) are reflected in the curves of Figure 3.5. For this reason they, like the curves of Section 3.2 .1 , are intended to provide only relative-rate information and should not be integrated to obtain total-mass values, even over the limited periods when it would be possible to do so. The total amount of mass ( $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ ) deposited at each major station, determined from chemical analysis of OCC collections, is given in Table 3.16.

The constants to be used for the water-surface shots follow from the slurry-particle sodium chloride analyses in Reference 31 and were derived on the basis of experimentally determined values relating well-counter gamma activity to sodium chloride weight in the deposited fallout. These values and the methods by which they were obtained are presented in Section 3.3.2. The factors were calculated from the ratio of counts per minute per minute (count/min ${ }^{2}$ ) for the ICtray area to counts per minute per gram [(counts/min)/gm] of NaCl from Table 3.12. The grams of NaCl were converted to grams of fallout, with water included, in the ratio of $1 / 2.2$; and the gamma well counts from the table were expressed as end-window gamma counts by use of the ratio $1 / 62$. An average value of specific activity for each shot was used for the ship stations,
while a value more nearly applicable for material deposited from 1 to 3 hours after detonation was used for the barge and island stations.

It is to be noted that the insoluble solids of the slurry particles (Section 3.3.2) were not included in the conversion of grams of NaCl to grams of fallout. Even though highly active, they constituted less than 2 to 4 percent of the total mass and were neglected in view of measurement errors up to $\pm 5$ percent for sodium chloride, $\pm 15$ percent for specific activity, and $\pm 25$ percent for water content.
3.2.4 Particle-Size Variation. The way in which the distribution of solid-particle sizes varied over the fallout buildup period at each of the major stations during Shots Zuni and Tewa is shown in Figures 3.6 through 3.9. The data from which the plots were derived are tabulated in Table B.3, and similar data for a number of intermediate collection intervals are listed in Table B.5. All of the slurry particles collected over a single time interval at a particular location during Shots Flathead and Navajo tended to fall in one narrow size range; representative values are included in Table 3.12.

The information contained in Tables B. 3 through B. 6 and plotted in the figures represents the results of studies described in detail in Reference 19. All IC trays were inserted in a fixed setup employing an 8 -by- 10 -inch-view camera and photographed with a magnification of 2 , soon after being returned to NRDL. Backlighting and low-contrast film were used to achieve maximum particle visibility. A transparent grid of 16 equal rectangular areas was then superimposed on the negative and each area, enlarged five times, printed on 8-by-10-inch paper at a combined linear magnification of 10 .

Since time-consuming manual methods had to be used in sizing and counting the photographed particles, three things were done to keep the total number as small as possible, consistent with good statistical practice and the degree of definition required. (1) The total number of trays available from each collector was reduced by selecting a representative number spaced at more or less equal intervals over the fallout-buildup period. Reference was made to the TIR and IC curves (Figures 3.1 to 3.4 ) during the selection process, and additional trays were included in time intervals where sharp changes were indicated. (2) Instead of counting the particles in all areas of heavily loaded trays, a diagonal line was drawn from the most dense to the least dense edge and only those areas selected which were intersected by the line. (3) No particles smaller than 50 microns in diameter were counted, this being arbitrarily established as the size defining the lower limit of significant local fallout. (The lower limit was determined from a fallout model, using particle size as a basic input parameter (Section 4.3.1). Particles down to $\sim 20$ microns in diameter will be present, although the majority of particies between 20 and 50 microns will be deposited at greater distances than those considered.)

Actual sizing and counting of the particles on the selected ten times enlargements was accomplished by the use of a series of gages consisting of four sets of black circular spots of the same magnification, graduated in equal-diameter increments of $5,10,30$, and 100 microns. These were printed on a sheet of clear plastic so that the largest spot which could be completely inscribed in a given particle area could be determined by superimposition. Thus, all of the particle sizes listed refer to the diameter of the maximum circle which could be inscribed in the projected area of the particle. A preliminary test established that more-consistent results could be achieved using this parameter than the projected diameter, or diameter of the circle equal to the projected area of the particle.

A number of problems arose in connection with the counting procedure: touching particles were difficult to distinguish from single aggregates; particles which were small, thin, translucent, or out of focus were difficult to see against the background; particles falling on area borderlines could not be accurately sized and often had to be eliminated; some elongated particles, for which the inscribed-circle method was of questionable validity, were observed; a strong tendency existed to overlook particles smaller than about 60 microns, because of the graininess of the print and natural human error. Most of these problems were alleviated, however, by having each print processed in advance by a specially trained editor. All particles to be counted were first marked by the editor, then sized by the counter.

Once the basic data, consisting of the number of particles in each arbitrary size interval between 50 and 2,600 microns, were obtained for the selected trays, they were normalized to a 1-micron interval and smoothed, to compensate in part for sample sparsity, by successive applications of a standard smoothing function on a digital computer. These, with appropriate unit conversions, are the results listed in Tables B. 3 and B.5: the numbers of particles, within a 1-micron interval centered at the indicated sizes, collected per hour for each square foot of surface.

Figures 3.6 through 3.9 show how the concentration of each particle size varied over the buildup period by providing, in effect, successive frequency distributions on time-line sections. The curves representing the 92.5 - and 195 -micron particles have been emphasized to bring out overall trends and make the figures easier to use. Measures of central tendency have been avoided, because the largest particles which make the most-significant contribution to the activity are not significantly represented in the calculation of the mean particle size, while the small particles which make the greatest contribution in the calculation of the mean particle size are most subject to errors from counting and background dust deposits. It should also be remembered that sampling bias is present and probably assumes its greatest importance for the small particles.

Plots of pure background collections for the ship and barge stations resemble the plot of the YAG 39 data for Shot Zuni, but without the marked peaks in the small particles or the intrusions of the large particles from below, both of which are characteristic of fallout arrival. This is not necessarily true for the How. land station, however, where such features may result from disturbances of the surface dust; the series of peaks at about 4 hours during Shot Zuni, for example, appears to be the result of too close an approach by a survey helicopter.
3.2.5 Ocean Penetration. Figure 3.10 shows the general penetration behavior of fallout activity in the ocean for Shot Navajo, a water-surface shot, and Shot Tewa, resembling a landsurface shot. These simplified curves show a number of successive activity profiles measured during and after the fallout period with the oceanographic probe (SIO-P) aboard the YAG 39 and demonstrate the changing and variable nature of the basic phenomena. The best estimates of the rate at which the main body of activity penetrated at the YAG 39 and YAG 40 locations during Shots Flathead, Navajo, and Tewa are summarized in Table 3.3, and the depths at which this penetration was observed to cease are listed in Table 3.4. The data from which the results were obtained are presented in graphical form in Figure B.1; reduced-activity profiles similar to those shown in Figure 3.10 were used in the preparation of the plots. Estimates of the maximum penetration rates observed for Shots Zuni, Navajo, and Tewa appear in Table 3.5.

The values tabulated in Reference 20 represent the result of a systematic study of measured profiles for features indicative of penetration rate. Various shape characteristics, such as the depth of the first increase in activity level above normal background and the depth of the juncture of the gross body of activity with the thin body of activity below, were considered; but none was found to be applicable in every case.

The concept of equivalent depth was devised so that: (1) all the profile data (i.e., all the curves giving activity concentration as a function of depth) could be used, and (2) the results of the Project 2.63 water-sampling effort could be related to other Program 2 studies, in which the determination of activity per unit volume of water near the surface (surface concentration) was a prime measurement. The equivalent depth is defined as the factor which must be applied to the surface concentration to give the total activity per unit water surface area as represented by the measured profile. Because the equivalent depth may be determined by dividing the planimetered area of any profile by the appropriate surface concentration, it is relatively independent of profile shape and activity level and, in addition, can utilize any measure of surface concentration which can be adjusted to the time when the profile was taken and expressed in the same units of activity measurement. Obviously, if the appropriate equivalent depth can be determined, it may be applied to any measurement of the surface concentration to produce an estimate of the activity per unit area when no other data are available.

The penetration rates in Table 3.3 were obtained by plotting all equivalent-depth points avail-
able for each ship and shot (Figure B.1), dividing the data into appropriate intervals on the basis of the plots, and calculating the slopes of the least-squares lines for these intervals. The maximum depths of penetration listed in Table 3.4 were derived from the same plots by establishing that the slopes did not differ significantly from zero outside of the selected intervals. Erratic behavior or failure of the probes on both ships during Shot Zund and on the YAG 40 during Shot Flathead prevented the taking of data which could be used for equivalent-depth determinations. It did prove possible in the former case, however, to trace the motion of the deepest tip of the activity profile from the YAG 39 measurements; and this is reported, with corresponding values from the other events, as a maximum penetration rate in Table 3.5.

It is important to emphasize that the values given in Tables 3.3 and 3.4 , while indicating remarkably uniform penetration behavior for the different kinds of events, refer only to the gross body of the fallout activity as it gradually settles to the thermocline. When the deposited material consists largely of solid particles, as for Shots Zuni and Tewa, it appears that some fast penetration may occur. The rates listed for these shots in Table 3.5 were derived from a fasttraveling component which may have disappeared below the thermocline, leaving the activity profile open at the bottom (Figure 3.10). On the other hand, no such penetration was observed for Shot Flathead and was questionable in the case of Shot Navajo. This subject is discussed further in Section 4.3.2, and estimates of the amount of activity disappearing below the thermocline are presented.

It is also important to note that the linear penetration rates given in Table 3.3 apply only from about the time of peak onward and after the fallout has penetrated to a depth of from 10 to 20 meters. Irregular effects at shallower depths, like the scatter of data points in the vicinity of the thermocline, no doubt reflect the influence both of differences in fallout composition and uncontrollable oceanographic variables. The ships did move during sampling and may have encountered nonuniform conditions resulting from such localized disturbances as thermal gradients, turbulent regions, and surface currents.

In addition to penetration behavior, decay and solubility effects are present in the changing activity profiles of Figure 3.10. The results of the measurements made by the decay probe (SIO-D) suspended in the tank filled with ocean water aboard the YAG 39 are summarized in Table 3.6. Corresponding values from Reference 15 are included for comparison; although similar instrumentation was used, these values were derived from measurements made over slightly different time intervals in contaminated water taken from the ocean some time after fallout had ceased.

Two experiments were performed to study the solubility of the activity associated with solid fallout particles and give some indication of the way in which activity measurements made with energy-dependent instruments might be affected. Several attempts were also made to make direct measurements of the gamma-energy spectra of water samples, but only in one case (Sample YAG 39-T-IC-D, Table B.20) was there enough activity present in the ailquot.

The results of the experiments are summarized in Figures 3.11 and 3.12. Two samples of particles from Shot Tewa, giving 4- $\pi$ ionization chamber readings of $208 \times 10^{-9}$ and $674 \times 10^{-8}$ ma respectively, were removed from a single OCC tray (YAG 39-C-34 TE) and subjected to measurements designed to indicate the solubility rates of various radionuclides in relation to the overall solubility rate of the activity in ocean water.

The first sample (Method D) was placed on top of a glass-wool plug in a short glass tube. A piece of rubber tubing connected the top of this tube to the bottom of a $10-\mathrm{ml}$ microburet filled with sea water. The sea water was passed over the particles at a constant rate, and equivolume fractions were collected at specified time intervals. In 23 seconds, 3 ml passed over the particles, corresponding to a settling rate of $34 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{min}$-approximately the rate at which a particle of average diameter in the sample ( 115 microns) would have settled. The activity of each fraction was measured with the well counter soon after collection and, when these measurements were combined with the total sample activity, the cumulative percent of the activity dissolved was computed (Figure 3.11). Gamma-energy spectra were also measured on fractions corresponding roughly to the beginning ( 10 seconds), middle ( 160 seconds) and end ( 360 seconds) of the run (Figure 3.12). The time of the run was $\mathrm{D}+5$ days.

On $D+4$ the second sample (Method m ) was placed in a vessel containing 75 ml of sea water. After stirring for a certain time interval, the solution was centrifuged and a $50-\lambda$ aliquot removed from the supernate. This procedure was repeated several times over a 48 -hour period, with the activity of each fraction being measured shortly after separation and used to compute the cumulative percent of the total activity in solution (Figure 3.11). The gamma spectrum of the solution stirred for 48 hours was also measured for comparison with the spectra obtained by Method I (Figure 3.12).

As indicated in Figure 3.11, more than 1 percent of the total activity went into solution in less than 10 seconds, followed by at least an additional 19 percent before equilibrium was achieved. This was accompanied by large spectral changes, indicating marked radionuclide fractionation (Figure 3.12); nearly all of the $\mathrm{I}^{131}$, for example, appears to have been dissolved in 360 seconds.

The dip-counter activities of all water samples taken by Projects 2.63 and 2.62 a are tabulated in Table B.32. Ocean background corrections have not been attempted but may be estimated for each shot at the YAG 39 and YAG 40 locations from the activities of the background samples collected just prior to the arrival of fallout. All other corrections have been made, however, including those required by the dilution of the designated $1,100-\mathrm{ml}$ depth samples to the standard 2,000-ml counting volume. Normalized dip-counter decay curves for each event (Figure B.14), and the records of the surface-monitoring devices (NYO-M, Figures B. 8 through B.13) are also included in Section B. 4 .

### 3.3 PHYSICAL, CHEMICAL, AND RADIOCHEMICAL CHARACTERISTICS

3.3.1 Solid Particles. All of the active fallout collected during Shot Zuni, and nearly all collected during Shot Tewa, consisted of solid particles which closely resembled those from Shot M during Operation Ivy and Shot 1 during Operation Castle (References 21 and 22). Alternate trays containing greased disks for solid-particle collection and reagent films for slurryparticle collection were used in the IC's during Shot Tewa. Microscopic examination of the latter revealed an insignificant number of slurry particles; these results are summarized in Table B.10. No slurry particles were observed in the Zuni fallout, although a small number may have been deposited.

As illustrated in Figure 3.13, the particles varied from unchanged irregular grains of coral sand to completely altered spheroidal particles or flaky agglomerates, and in a number of cases included dense black spheres (Reference 19). Each of these types is covered in the discussion of physical, chemical, radiochemical, and radiation characteristics which follows. Basic data for about 100 particles from each shot, selected at random from among those removed from the SIC trays in the YAG 40 laboratory, are included in Table B.34.

Physical and Chemical Characteristics. A number of iregular and spheroidal particles collected on the YFNB 29 during Shots Zuni and Tewa were thin-sectioned and studied under a petrographic microscope (Reference 23); some from Shot Zuni were also subjected to X-ray diffraction analysis (Table 3.7). Typical thin sections of both types of particles are presented in Figures 3.14, 3.15 and 3.16 for Shot Zuni and Figures 3.17 and 3.18 for Shot Tewa. Although the particles shown in the figures were taken from samples of close-in fallout, those collected 40 miles or more from the shot point by the SIC on the YAG 40 were observed to be similar, except for being smaller in size.

Both methods of analysis showed the great majority of irregular particles to consist of finegrained calcium hydroxide, $\mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$, with a thin surface layer of calcium carbonate, $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ (Figure 3.17). A few, however, had surface layers of calcium hydroxide with central cores of unchanged coral $\left(\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}\right)$, and an even smaller number were composed entirely of unchanged coral (Figure 3.14). It is likely that the chemically changed particles were formed by decarbonation of the original calcium carbonate to calcium oxide followed by hydration to calcium hydroxide and subsequent reaction with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ in the atmosphere to form a thin coat of calcium carbonate. Particles of this kind were angular in appearance and unusually white in color (Figure 3.13, A and G).

Many of the irregular particles from Shot Zuni were observed to carry small highly active
spherical particles 1 to 25 microns in diameter on their surfaces (Figures 3.13G and 3.15). Shot Tewa particles were almost entirely free from spherical particles of this kind, although a few with diameters less than 1 micron were discovered when some of the irregular particles were powdered and examined with an electron microscope. A few larger isolated spherical particles were also found in the Zuni fallout (Figures 3.13, B and H). Such particles varied in color from orange-red for the smallest sizes to opaque black for the largest sizes.

While these particles were too small to be subjected to petrographic or X-ray diffraction analysis, it was possible to analyze a number of larger particles collected during Shot Inca which appeared to be otherwise identical (Figure 3.19). The Inca particles were composed primarily of $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ and calcium iron oxide ( $2 \mathrm{CaO} . \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ ) but contained smaller amounts of $\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ and CaO . Some were pure iron oxide but the majority contained calcium oxide in free form or as calcium iron oxide (Reference 24).

Most of the spheroidal particles consisted of coarse-grained calcium hydroxide with a thin surface layer of calcium carbonate (Figure 3.16). Nearly all contained at least a few grains of calcium oxide, however, and some were found to be composed largely of this material (Figure 3.18 ) - 5 to 75 percent by volume. Although melted, particles of this kind probably underwent much the same chemical changes as the irregular particles, the principal difference being that they were incompletely hydrated. They varied in appearance from irregular to almost perfect spheres and in color from white to pale yellow (Figure 3.13, C, H, and K). Many had central cavities, as shown in Figure 3.16 and were in some cases open on one side.

Because of their delicacy, the agglomerated particles could not be thin-sectioned and had to be crushed for petrographic and X-ray diffraction analysis. They were found to be composed primarily of calcium hydroxide and some calcium carbonate. It has been observed that similar particles are formed by the expansion of calcium oxide pellets placed in distilled water, and that the other kinds of fallout particles sometimes change into such aggregates if exposed to air for several weeks. The particles were flaky in appearance, with typical agglomerated structures, and a transparent white in color (Figure 3.13, D, I, and J); as verified by examination of IC trays in the YAG 40 laboratory immediately after collection, they were deposited in the forms shown.

The densities of 71 yellow spheroidal particles, 44 white spheroidal particles, and 7 irregular particles from Shot Zuni were determined (Reference 25) using a density gradient tube and a bromoform-bromobenzene mixture with a range from 2.0 to $2.8 \mathrm{gm} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$. These results, showing a clustering of densities at 2.3 and $2.7 \mathrm{gm} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$, are summarized in Table 3.8. The yellow spheres are shown to be slightly more dense than the white, and chemical spot tests made for iron gave relatively high intensities for the former with respect to the latter. No density determinations were made for agglomerated particles, but one black spherical particle (Table 3.7) was weighed and calculated to have a density of $3.4 \mathrm{gm} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$.

The subject of size distribution has been covered separately in Section 3.2.4, and all information on particle sizes is included in that section.

Radiochemical Characteristics. Approximately 30 irregular, spheroidal and agglomerated particles from Shot Zuni were subjected to individual radiochemical analysis (Reference 26), and the activities of about 30 more were assayed in such a way that certain of their radiochemical properties could be inferred. A number of particles of the same type were also combined in several cases so that larger amounts of activity would be available. These data are tabulated in Tables B. 7 and B. 8.

Radiochemical measurements of $\mathrm{Sr}^{89}, \mathrm{Mo}^{98}, \mathrm{Ba}^{140}-\mathrm{La}^{140}$ and $\mathrm{Np}^{239}$ were made. (All classified information such as the product/fission ratio for $\mathrm{Np}^{239}$, which could not be included in Reference 26, and the limited amount of data obtained for Shots Tewa and Flathead were received in the form of a private communication from the authors of Reference 26.) For the most part, conventional methods of analysis (References 27 and 28) were used, although the amounts of $\mathrm{Np}^{239}$ and $\mathrm{Mo}^{99}$ (actually $\mathrm{Tc}^{99}{ }^{9}$ ) were determined in part from photopeak areas measured on the singlechannel gamma analyzer (Section 2.2 and Reference 29). The total number of fissions in each sample was calculated from the number of atoms of $\mathrm{Mo}^{99}$ present, and radiochemical results were expressed as $R$-values using $\mathrm{Mo}^{99}$ as a reference. ( $R$-values, being defined as the ratio
of the observed amount of a given nuclide to the amount expected from thermal neutron fission of $U^{235}$, relative to some reference nuclide, combine the effects of fractionation and variations in fission yield and contain a number of experimental uncertainties. Values between 0.5 and 1.5 cannot be considered significantly different from 1.0.) Selected particles were also weighed so that the number of fissions per gram could be computed.

Radioactivity measurements were made in the gamma well counter (WC) and the 4- $\pi$ gamma ionization chamber (GIC), both of which are described in Section 2.2. Because the efficiency of the former decreased with increasing photon energy, while the efficiency of the latter increased, samples were often assayed in both instruments and the ratio of the two measurements (counts per minute per $10^{4}$ fissions to milliamperes per $10^{4}$ fissions) used as an indication of differences in radionuclide composition.

It will be observed that the particles in Table B. 7 have been classified according to color and shape. For purposes of comparing radiochemical properties, spheroidal and agglomerated particles have been grouped together and designated as "altered particles," while irregular particles have been designed "unaltered particles." The latter should not be interpreted literally, of course; it will be evident from the foregoing section that the majority of irregular particles mave undergone some degree of chemical change. Particles were classified as altered if they exhibited the obvious physical changes of spheroidal or agglomerated particles under the optical microscope.

Radiochemical results for all altered and unaltered particles from Shot Zuni are summarized in Table 3.9, and activity ratios of the particles from this shot and Shot Tewa are compared in Table 3.10. The differences in radiochemical composition suggested in the tables are emphasized in Figure 3.20, which shows how the energy-dependent ratios (counts per minute per $10^{4}$ fissions, milliamperes per $10^{4}$ fissions and counts per minute per milliamperes) varied with time, and in Figure 3.21, wherein the data used for computing the $R$-values and product/fission ( $p / f$ ) ratios (number of atoms of induced product formed per fission) in Tables B. 7 and B. 8 are presented graphically by plotting the numbers of atoms of each nuclide in a sample versus the number of atoms of $\mathrm{Mo}^{93}$. Data obtained from calibration runs with neutron-irradiated $\mathrm{U}^{235}$ are plotted in the former for comparison; and the standard cloud sample data for $\mathrm{Np}^{239}$, as well as those derived from the estimated device fission yields for $\mathrm{Ba}^{140}$ and $\mathrm{Sr}^{89}$, are included in the batter.

II is interesting to note that these results not only establish that marked differences exist between the two types of particles, but also show the altered particles to be depleted in both $\mathrm{Ba}^{140}-\mathrm{La}^{140}$ and $\mathrm{Sr}^{89}$, while the unaltered particles are enriched in $\mathrm{Ba}^{140}-\mathrm{La}^{140}$ and perhaps slightly depleted in $\mathrm{Sr}^{89}$. The altered particles are also seen to be about a factor of 100 higher than the maltered in terms of fissions per gram. When these $R$-values are compared with those obtained from gross fallout samples (Tables 3.17 and 3.21), it is further found that the values for altered particles resemble those for samples from the lagoon area, while the values for the unaltered particles resemble those from cloud samples.

- Activity Relationships. All of the particles whose gamma activities and physical properties were measured in the YAG 40 laboratory (Table B.34), as well as several hundred additional particles from the incremental collectors on the other ships and barges, were studied systematically (Reference 30) in an attempt to determine whether the activities of the particles were functionally related to their size. These data are listed in Table B. 9 and the results are plotted in Figures 3.22 and 3.23. Possible relationships between particle activity, weight, and density were also considered (Reference 25), using a separate group of approximately 135 particles collected on the YFNB 29 during Shots Zuni and Tewa and the YAG 39 during Shot Tewa only; Figures 3.24 and 3.25 show the results.
$\because$ As implied by the differences in radiochemical composition discussed in the preceding section, marked differences exist in the gamma-radiation characteristics of the different types of particles. Compared with the variations in decay rate and energy spectrum observed for different particles collected at about the same time on the YAG 40 (Figures B.2, B. 3 and B.4), altered particles show large changes relative to unaltered particles. Figures 3.26 and 3.27 from Reference 26 illustrate this point. The former, arbitrarily normalized at 1,000 hours, shows how
well-counter decay rates for the two types of particles deviate on both sides of the interval from 200 to 1,200 hours, and how the same curves fail to coincide, as they should for equivalent radio. nuclide compositions, when plotted in terms of $10^{4}$ fissions. The latter shows the regions in which the primary radionuclide deficiencies exist.

The previous considerations suggest that particles should be grouped according to type for the study of activity-size relationships.

Figures 3.22 and 3.23 show the results of a study made in this way (Table B.9). A large number of the particles for which size and activity data were obtained in the YAG 40 laboratory during Shots Zuni and Tewa were first grouped according to size ( 16 groups, about 32 microns wide, from 11 to 528 microns), then subdivided according to type (irregular or angular, spheroidal or spherical, and agglomerated) within each size group. The distribution of activities in each size group and subgroup was considered and it was found that, while no regular distribution was apparent for the size group, the subgroup tended toward normal distribution. Median activities were utilized for both, but maximum and minimum values for the overall size group were included in Table B. 9 to show the relative spread. It will be observed that activity range and median activity both increase with size.

Similar results for groups of particles removed from IC trays exposed aboard the YAG 39, LST 611, YFNB 13, and YFNB 29 during Shot Tewa are also included in Table B.9. These have not been plotted or used in the derivation of the final relationships, because the particles were removed from the trays and well-counted between 300 and 600 hours after the shot, and many were so near background that their activities were questionable. (This should not be interpreted to mean that the fallout contained a significant number of inactive particles. Nearly 100 percent of the particles observed in the YAG 40 laboratory during Shots Zuni and Tewa were active.)

In the figures, the median activity of each size group from the two sets of YAG 40 data has been plotted against the mean diameter of the group for the particles as a whole and several of the particle type subgroups. Regression lines have been constructed, using a modified leastsquares method with median activities weighted by group frequencies, and 95 -percent-confidence bands are shown in every case. Agglomerated particles from Shot Zuni and spheroidal particles from Shot Tewa have not been treated because of the sparsity of the data.

It should also be noted that different measures of diameter have been utilized in the two cases. The particles from both shots were sized under a low-power microscope using eyepiece micrometer disks; a series of sizing circles was used during Shot Zuni, leading to the diameter of the equivalent projected area $D_{a}$, while a linear scale was used for Shot Tewa, giving simply the maximum particle diameter $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{m}}$. The first method was selected because it could be applied under the working conditions in the YAG 40 laboratory and easily related to the method described in Section 3.2.4 (Figure B.5); the second method was adopted so that more particles could be processed and an upper limit established for size in the development of activity-size relationships.

The equations for the regression lines are given in the figures and summarized as follows: all particles, Shot Zuni, $A \propto D_{2}^{2.4}$, Shot Tewa, $A \propto D_{m}{ }^{1.8}$; irregular particles, Shot Zuni, $A$ $\propto D_{\mathrm{a}}{ }^{2.2}$, Shot Tewa, $A \propto D_{m}{ }^{1.7}$; spheroidal particles, Shot Zuni, $A \propto D_{a}{ }^{3.7}$; and agglomerated particles, Shot Tewa, $A \propto D_{m}^{2.1}$.
(Analogous relationships for Tewa particles from the YFNB 29 were derived on the basis of much more limited data in Reference 25, using maximum diameter as the measure of size. These are listed below; error not attributable to the linear regression was estimated at about 200 percent for the first two cases and 400 percent for the last: all particles, $A \propto D_{m}{ }^{2.01}$; irregular particles, $A \propto D_{m}{ }^{1.92}$; and spheroidal particles, $A \propto D_{m}{ }^{3.37}$.)

It may be observed that the activity of the irregular particles varies approximately as the square of the diameter. This is in good agreement with the findings in Reference 23; the radioautographs in Figures 3.14 and 3.17 show the activity to be concentrated largely on the surfaces of the irregular particles. The activity of the spheroidal particles, however, appears to vary as the third or fourth power of the diameter, which could mean either that it is a true function of particle volume or that it diffused into the molten particle in a region of higher activity concentration in the cloud. The thin-section radioautographs suggest the latter to be true, showing the activity to be distributed throughout the volume in some cases (Figure 3.16) but confined to
the surface in others (Figure 3.18). It may also be seen that the overall variation of activity with size is controlled by the irregular particles, which appear to predominate numerically in the fallout (Table B.9), rather than by the spheroidal particles. Table 3.11 illustrates how the activity in each size group was divided among the three particle types.

No correlation of particle activity with density was possible (Figure 3.25) but a rough relationship with weight was derived for a group of Tewa particles from the YFNB 29 on the basis of Figure 3.24: $A \propto W^{0.7}$, where $W$ refers to the weight in micrograms and nonregression error is estimated at $\sim 140$ percent (Reference 25). (An additional study was performed at NRDL, using 57 particles from the same source and a more stable microbalance. The resulting relation was: $A \propto W^{0.57}$.) This result is consistent with the diameter functions, because $\mathrm{D}^{2} \propto \mathrm{~W}^{2 / 3}$. The relative activities of the white and gellow spheroidal particles referred to earlier were also compared and the latter were found to be slightly more active than the former.
3.3.2 Slurry Particles. All of the fallout collected during Shots Flathead and Navajo consisted of slurry particles whose inert components were water, sea salts, and a small amount of insoluble solids. (Although IC and SIC trays containing greased disks were interspersed among those containing reagent films for shots, no isolated solid particles that were active were observed.) Large crystals displaying the characteristic cubic shape of sodium chloride were occasionally observed in suspension. The physical and chemical, radiochemical, and radiation characteristics of these particles are discussed below. Table B. 35 contains representative sets of data, including data on particles collected on the YAG 40 and at several other stations during each shot.

Physical and Chemical Characteristics. Slurry particles have been studied extensively and are discussed in detail in Reference 31. The results of preliminary studies of the insoluble solids contained in such particles are given in Reference 32 . Figure 3.28 is a photomicrograph of a typical deposited slurry droplet, after reaction with the chloride-sensitive reagent film surface. The chloride-reaction area appears as a white disk, while the trace or impression of the impinging drop is egg shaped and encloses the insoluble solids. The concentric rings are thought to be a Liesegang phenomenon. An electronmicrograph of a portion of the solids is shown in Figure 3.29, illustrating the typical dense agglomeration of small spheres and irregular particles.

The physical properties of the droplets were established in part by microscopic examination in the YAG 40 laboratory soon after their arrival, and in part by subsequent measurements and calculations. For example, the dimensions of the droplets that appeared on the greased trays provided a rapid approximation of drop diameter, but the sphere diameters reported in Table 3.12 were calculated from the amount of chloride (reported as NaCl equivalent) and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ measured later from the reagent films. It will be noted that particle size decreased very slowly with time; and that for any given time period, size distribution need not be considered, because standard deviations are small. Average densities for the slurry particles, calculated from their dimensions and the masses of NaCl and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ present, are also given in Table 3.12.

On the basis of the data in Table 3.12, and a calibration method for solids volume that involved the collection on reagent film of simulated slurry droplets containing aluminum oxide suspensions of appropriate diameter at known concentrations, it was estimated that the particles Were about 80 percent $\mathrm{NaCl}, 18$ percent $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and 2 percent insoluble solids by volume. The latter were generally amber in color and appeared under high magnification (Figure 3.29) to be agglomerates composed of irregular and spherical solids ranging in size from about 15 microns to less than 0.1 micron in diameter. The greatest number of these solids were spherical and less than 1 micron in diameter, although a few were observed in the size range from 15 to 60 microns.

Chemical properties were determined by chioride reagent film, X-ray diffraction, and electron diffraction techniques. (The gross chemistry of slurry drops is of course implicit in the analyses of the OCC collections from Shots Flathead and Navajo (Table B.18); no attempt has been made to determine the extent of correlation.) The first featured the use of a gelatin film containing colloidal red silver dichromate, with which the soluble halides deposited on the film
react when dissolved in saturated, hot water vapor. The area of the reaction disk produced, easily measured with a microscope, is proportional to the amount of NaCl present (Reference 33). The values of NaCl mass listed in Table 3.12 were obtained by this method; the values of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ mass were obtained by constructing a calibration curve relating the volume of water in the particle at the time of impact to the area of its initial impression, usually well defined by the insoluble solids trace (Figure 3.28). Because the water content of slurry fallout varies with atmospheric conditions at the time of deposition, mass is expressed in terms of the amount of NaCl present; the weight of water may be estimated by multiplying the NaCl mass by 1.2 , the average observed factor.

Conventional X-ray diffraction methods were used for qualitative analysis of the insoluble solids, stripped from the reagent film by means of an acrylic spray coating, and they were found to consist of calcium iron oxide ( $2 \mathrm{CaO} \cdot \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ ), oxides of calcium and iron, and various other compounds (Table 3.13). Some of these were also observed by electron diffraction.

Radiochemical Characteristics. Thirteen of the most-active slurry particles removed from the SIC trays in the YAG 40 laboratory during Shot Flathead were combined (Reference 26), and analyzed radiochemically in much the same way as the solid particles described earlier in Section 3.3.1. The sample was assayed in the gamma well counter (WC) and the 4- $\pi$ gamma ionization chamber (GIC), then analyzed for $\mathrm{Mo}^{99}, \mathrm{Ba}^{140}-\mathrm{La}^{140}, \mathrm{Sr}^{89}$, and $\mathrm{Np}^{239}$; tctal fissions, activity ratios, $R$-values and the product/fission ratio were computed as before. The results are presented in Table 3.14.

It may be seen that the product/fission ratio and $R^{99}(89)$ value are comparable with the values obtained for gross fallout samples (Tables 3.17, 3.18, and 3.21), and that the overall radionuclide composition resembles that of the unaltered solid particles. Slight depletion of both $\mathrm{Ba}^{140}-\mathrm{La}^{140}$ and $\mathrm{Sr}^{89}$ is indicated.

Activity Relationships. Since the mass of slurry-particle fallout was expressed in terms of NaCl mass, it was decided to attempt to express activity relationships in the same terms. This was accomplished in two steps. First, the H +12 -hours well-counter activities measured on the IC trays from the majority of the stations listed in Table 3.12 were summed to arrive at the total amounts of activity deposited per unit area (counts per minute per square foot). These values were then divided by the average specific activity calculated for each station (counts per minute per microgram NaCl ) to obtain the total amount of NaCl mass deposited per unit area (micrograms NaCl per square foot). Results for Shot Flathead are plotted in Figure 3.30, and numerical values for both shots are tabulated in Table B.11; the Navajo results were not plotted because of insufficient data. (Figure 3.30 and Table B. 11 have been corrected for recently discovered errors in the tray activity summations reported in Reference 31.)

While this curve may be used to estimate the amount of activity associated with a given amount of slurry-fallout mass in outlying areas, it must be remembered that the curve is based on average specific activity. It should also be noted that the unusually high values of NaCl mass obtained for the YFNB 29 during Shot Flathead have not been plotted. A correspondingly high value for the YFNB 13 during Shot Navajo appears in the table. These were felt to reflect differences in composition which are not yet well understood.

A preliminary effort was also made to determine the way in which the activity of slurry particles was divided between the soluble and insoluble phases. As illustrated in Figure 3.31, radioautographs of chloride reaction areas on reagent films from all of the Flathead collections and a few of the Navajo shipboard collections indicated that the majority of the activity was associated with the insoluble solids. This result was apparently confirmed when it was found that 84 percent of the total activity was removable by physical stripping of the insoluble solids; however, more careful later studies (private communication from N. H. Farlow, NRDL) designed to establish the amount of activity in solids that could not be stripped from the film, and the amount of dissolved activity in gelatin removed with the strip coating, decreased this value to 65 percent. It must be noted that the stripping process was applied to a Flathead sample from the YAG 40 only, and that solubility experiments on OCC collections from other locations at Shot Navajo (Reference 32) indicated the partition of soluble-insoluble activity may vary with collector location or time of arrival. The latter experiments, performed in duplicate, yielded
average insoluble percentages of 93 and 14 for the YAG 39 (two aliquots) and the YFNB 13 respectively.

While such properties of barge shot fallout as the slurry nature of the droplets, diameters, densities, and individual activities have been adequately measured, it is evident that more extensive experimentation is required to provide the details of composition of the solids, their contribution to the weight of the droplets, and the distribution of activity within the contents of the droplets.
3.3.3 Activity and Fraction of Device. An estimate of the total amount of activity deposited at every major and minor station during each shot is listed in Table 3.15. Values are expressed both as fissions per square foot and fraction of device per square foot for convenience. In the case of the major stations the weighted mean and standard deviation of measurements made on the four OCC's and two $A O C_{1}$ 's on the standard platform are given, while the values tabulated for the minor stations represent single measurements of $A_{2} C_{2}$ collections. Basic data for both cases are included in Tables B. 12 and B.14. (Tray activities were found to pass through a maxImum and minimum separated by about 180 degrees when plotted against angular displacement from a reference direction; ten values at 20 -degree intervals between the maximum and minimum were used to compute the mean and standard deviation (Section 4.3.2).)

The number of fissions in one OCC tray from each major station and one standard cloud sample was determined by radiochemical analysis for $\mathrm{Mo}^{99}$ after every shot (Reference 34). Because these same trays and samples had previously been counted in the doghouse counter (Section 2.2), the ratio of doghouse counts per minute at 100 hours could then be calculated for each shot and location, as shown in Table B.13, and used to determine the number of fissions in the remaining OCC trays (fissions per $2.60 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$, Table B.12). Final fissions per square foot values were converted to fraction of device per square foot by means of the fission yields contained in Table 2.1 and use of the conversion factor $1.45 \times 10^{26}$ fissions/Mt (fission). (Slight discrepancies may be found to exist in fraction of device values based on $\mathrm{Mo}^{99}$, because only interim yields were available at the time of calculation.)

Aliquots from some of the same OCC trays analyzed radiochemically for Mo ${ }^{99}$ were also measured on the dip counter. Since the number of fissions in the aliquots could be calculated and the fallout from Shots Flathead and Navajo was relatively unfractionated, the total number of fissions in each $\mathrm{AOC}_{2}$ from these shots could be computed directly from their dip-counter activities using a constant ratio of fissions per dip counts per minute at 100 hours. Table B.14I gives the results.

Shot Zuni, and to a lesser extent Shot Tewa, fallout was severely fractionated, however, and it was necessary first to convert dip-counter activities to doghouse-counter activities, so that the more-extensive relationships between the latter and the fissions in the sample could be utilized. With the aliquot measurements referred to above, an average value of the ratio of doghouse activity per dip-counter activity was computed (Table B.15), and this used to convert all dip counts per minute at 100 hours to doghouse counts per minute at 100 hours (Table B. 14 II ). The most appropriate value of fissions per doghouse counts per minute at 100 hours was then selected for each minor station, on the basis of its location and the time of fallout arrival, and the total number of fissions calculated for the collector area, $0.244 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$. Final fission per square foot values were arrived at by normalizing to $1 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$, and fraction of device per square foot was computed from the total number of device fissions as before.
: Many of the results presented in this report are expressed in terms of $10{ }^{4}$ fissions. For emample, all gamma- and beta-decay curves in Section 3.4 (Figures 3.34 to 3.38 ) are plotted in mits of counts per second per $10^{4}$ fissions, and the final ionization rates as a function of time lor each shot (Figure 3.39) are given in terms of roentgens per hour per $10^{4}$ fissions per square foot. Thus, the estimates in Table 3.15 are all that is required to calculate the radiation intenatties which would have been observed at each station under ideal conditions any time after the cessation of fallout. It should be noted, however, that the effects of sampling bias have not been entirely eliminated from the tabulated values and, consequently, will be reflected in any quantity determined by means of them. Even though the use of weighted-mean collector values for the
major stations constitutes an adjustment for relative platform bias, the question remains as to what percent of the total number of fissions per unit area, which would have been deposited in the absence of the collector, were actually collected by it . This question is considered in detail in Section 4.3.2.
3.3.4 Chemical Composition and Surface Density. The total mass of the fallout collected per unit area at each of the major stations is summarized for all four shots in Table 3.16. Results are further divided into the amounts of coral and sea water making up the totals, on the assumption that all other components in the device complex contributed negligible mass. These values were obtained by conventional quantitative chemical analysis of one or more of the OCC tray collections from each station for calcium, sodium, chlorine, potassium, and magnesium (References 35 through 38 ); in addition analyses were made for iron, copper and uranium (private communication from C. M. Callahan and J. R. Lai, NRDL). The basic chemical results are presented in Tables B.16 and B.18. (Analyses were also attempted for aluminum and lead; possibly because of background screening, however, they were quite erratic and have not been included.)

The chemical analysis was somewhat complicated by the presence in the collections of a relatively large amount of debris from the fiberglass honeycomb (or hexcell) inserts, which had to be cut to collector depth and continued to spall even after several removals of the excess material. It was necessary, therefore, to subtract the weight of the fiberglass present in the samples in order to arrive at their gross weights (Table B.181). The weight of the fiberglass was determined in each case by dissolving the sample in hydrochloric acid to release the carbonate, filtering the resultant solution, and weighing the insoluble residue. In addition, the soluble portion of the resin binder was analyzed for the elements listed above and subtracted out as hexcell contribution to arrive at the gross amounts shown (References 39 and 40). Aliquots of the solution were then used for the subsequent analyses.

It was also necessary to subtract the amount of mass accumulated as normal background. These values were obtained by weighing and analyzing samples from a number of OCC trays which were known to have collected no fallout, although exposed during the fallout period. Many of the trays from Shot Cherokee, as well as a number of inactive trays from other shots, were used; and separate mean weights with standard deviations were computed for each of the elements under ocean and land collection conditions (Tables B. 16 and B.18).

After the net amount of each element due to fallout was determined, the amounts of original coral and sea water given in Table 3.16 could be readily computed with the aid of the source compositions shown in Table B.16. In most cases, coral was determined by calcium; however, where the sea water/coral ratio was high, as for the barge shots, the sea water contribution to the observed calcium was accounted for by successive approximation. Departure from zero of the residual weights of the coral and sea water components shown in Table B. 18 reflect combined errors in analyses and compositions. It should be noted that all $\pm$ values given in these data represent only the standard deviation of the background collections, as propagated through the successive subtractions. In the case of Shot Zuni, two OCC trays from each platform were analyzed several months apart, with considerable variation resulting. It is not known whether collection bias, aging, or inherent analytical variability is chiefly responsible for these discrepancies.

The principal components of the device and its immediate surroundings, exclusive of the naturally occurring coral and sea water, are listed in Table B.17. The quantities of iron, copper and uranium in the net fallout are shown in Table B. 181 to have come almost entirely from this source. Certain aliquots from the OCC trays used for radiochemical analysis were also analyzed independently for these three elements (Table B.18II). These data, when combined with the tabulated device complex information, allow computation of fraction of device; the calculations have been carried out in Section 4.3 .4 for uranium and iron and compared with those based on $\mathrm{Mo}^{99}$.

### 3.4 RADIONUCLIDE COMPOSITION AND RADIATION CHARACTERISTICS

3.4.1 Approach. If the identity, decay scheme, and disintegration rate of every nuclide in
a sample are known, then all emitted particle or photon properties of the mixture can be computed. If, in addition, calibrated radiation detectors are available, then the effects of the sample emissions in those instruments may also be computed and compared with experiment. Fimally, air-ionization or dose rates may be derived for this mixture under specified geometrical conditions and concentrations.

In the calculations to follow, quantity of sample is expressed in time-invariant fissions, i.e., the number of device fissions responsible for the gross activity observed; diagnostically, the quantity is based on radiochemically assayed $\mathrm{Mo}^{99}$ and a fission yield of 6.1 percent. This nuclide, therefore, becomes the fission indicator for any device and any fallout or cloud sample. The computation for slow-neutron fission of $U^{235}$, as given in Reference 41, is taken as the reference fission model; hence, any $\mathrm{R}^{99}(x)$ values in the samples differing from unity, aside from experimental uncertainty, represent the combined effects of fission kind and fractionation, and necessitate modification of the reference model if it is to be used as a basis for computing radiation properties of other fission-product compositions. (An R-value may be defined as the ratio of the amount of nuclide $x$ observed to the amount expected for a given number of reference fissions. The notation $R^{99}(x)$ means the $R$-value of mass number $x$ referred to mass number 99 .)

Two laboratory instruments are considered: the doghouse counter employing a 1 -inch-diameter-by-1-inch-thick NaI(T1) crystal detector, and the continuous-flow proportional beta counter (Section 2.2). The first was selected because the decay rates of many intact OCC collections and all cloud samples were measured in this instrument; the second, because of the desirability of checking calculated decay rates independent of gamma-ray decay schemes. Although decay data were obtained on the 4- $\pi$ gamma ionization chamber, response curves (Reference 42) were not included in the calculations. However, the calculations made in this section are generally consistent with the data presented in Reference 42. The data obtained are listed in Table B. 26.
3.4.2 Activities and Decay Schemes. The activities or disintegration rates of fission products for $10^{4}$ fissions were taken from Reference 41; the disintegration rates are used where a radioactive disintegration is any spontaneous change in a nuclide. Other kinds of activities are qualified, e.g., beta activity. (See Section 3.4.4.) Those of induced products of interest were computed for $10^{4}$ fissions and a product/fission ratio of 1 , that is, for $10^{4}$ initial atoms (Reference 43).

- Prepublication results of a study of the most-important remaining nuclear constants- the decay schemes of these nuclides-are contained in References 42 and 44 . The proposed schemes, which provide gamma and X-ray photon energies and frequencies per disintegration, melude all fission products known up to as early as $\sim 45$ minutes, as well as most of the induced products required. All of the following calculations are, therefore, limited to the starting time mentioned and are arbitrarily terminated at 301 days.
3.4.3 Instrument Response and Air-Ionization Factors. A theoretical response curve for the doghouse counter, based on a few calibrating nuclides, led to the expected counts/disintegration each fission and induced product as a function of time, for a point-source geometry and $10^{4}$ Issions or initial atoms (Reference 43). The condensed decay schemes of the remaining induced maclides were also included. To save time, the photons emitted from each nuclide were sorted thto standardized energy increments, 21 of equal logarithmic width comprising the scale from 20 kev to 3.25 Mev . The response was actually computed for the average energy of each increment, which in general led to errors no greater than $\sim 10$ percent.
$1+$ Counting rates expected in the beta counter were obtained from application of the physicalfeometry factor to the theoretical total-beta and positron activity of the sample. With a reEponse curve essentially flat to beta $E_{\max }$ over a reasonably wide range of energies, it was not - Decessary to derive the response to each nuclide and sum for the total. Because the samples were essentially weightless point sources, supported and covered by $0.80 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ of pliofilm, ecattering and absorption corrections were not made to the observed count rates; nor were emma-ray contributions subtracted out. Because many of the detailed corrections are self-
canceling, it is assumed the results are correct to within $\sim 20$ percent. The geometries (or counts/beta) for Shelves 1 through 5 are given in Section A.2.

Air-ionization rates 3 feet above an infinite uniformly contaminated plane, hereafter referred to as standard conditions (SC), are based on the curve shown in Figure B.6, which was originally obtained in another form in Reference 7. The particular form shown here, differing mainly in choice of parameters and units, has been published in Reference 45. Points computed in Reference 46 and values extracted from Reference 47 are also shown for comparison. The latter values are low, because air scattering is neglected.

The ionization rate (SC) produced by each fission-product nuclide as a function of time for $10^{4}$ reference fissions/ $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ (Reference 17), was computed on a line-by-line basis; the induced products appear in Table B. 19 for $10^{4}$ fissions/ $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ and a product/fission ratio of 1 , with lines grouped as described for the doghouse-counter-response calculations.

The foregoing sections provide all of the background information necessary to obtain the objectives listed in the first paragraph of Section 3.4.1, with the exception of the actual radionuclide composition of the samples. The following sections deal with the available data and methods used to approximate the complete composition.
3.4.4 Observed Radionuclide Composition. Radiochemical R-values of fission products are given in Table 3.17 and observed actinide product/fission ratios appear in Table 3.18, the two tables summarizing most of the radiochemistry done by the Nuclear and Physical Chemistry, and Analytical and Standards Branches, NRDL (Reference 34).

The radiochemical results in Reference 34 are expressed as device fractions, using fission yields estimated for the particular device types. These have been converted to $R$-values by use of the equation:

$$
\mathrm{R}_{\theta}^{99}(\mathrm{x})=\frac{\mathrm{FOD}_{\mathrm{E}}(\mathrm{x})}{\mathrm{FOD}(99)} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{FY}}{\mathrm{E}^{(x)}} \overline{\mathrm{FY}}(\mathrm{x})
$$

Where $R_{\theta}^{99}(x)$ is the $R$-value of nuclide $x$ relative to $M o^{99} ; \operatorname{FOD}_{E}(x)$ and $F Y_{E}(x)$ are respectively the device fraction and estimated yield of nuclide $x$ reported in Reference $34, F Y_{\theta}(x)$ is the thermal yield of nuclide $x$, and $\operatorname{FOD}(99)$ is the device fraction by $\mathrm{Mo}^{99}$. The thermal yields used in making this correction were taken from ORNL 1793 and are as follows: $\mathrm{Zr}^{95}, 6.4$ percent; $\mathrm{Te}^{132}, 4.4$ percent; $\mathrm{Sr}^{89}, 4.8$ percent; $\mathrm{Sr}^{90}$, 5.9 percent; $\mathrm{Cs}^{137}, 5.9$ percent; and $\mathrm{Ce}^{14}, 6.1$ percent. The yield of $\mathrm{Mo}^{99}$ was taken as 6.1 percent in all cases. The R -values for all cloudsample nuclides were obtained in that form directly from the authors of Reference 34.

Published radiochemical procedures were followed (References 48 through 54), except for modifications of the strontium procedure, and consisted of two $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{OH})_{3}$ and $\mathrm{BaCrO} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ scavenges and one extra $\mathrm{Sr}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}$ precipitation with the final mounting as $\mathrm{SrCO}_{3}$. Table 3.19 lists principally product/fission ratios of induced activities other than actinides for cloud samples; sources are referenced in the table footnotes.

Supplementary information on product/fission ratios in fallout and cloud samples was obtained from gamma-ray spectrometry (Tables B. 20 and B.21) and appears in Table 3.20 .
3.4.5 Fission-Product-Fractionation Corrections. Inspection of Tables 3.17 through 3.20, as well as the various doghouse-counter and ion-chamber decay curves, led to the conclusion that the radionuclide compositions of Shots Flathead and Navajo could be treated as essentially unfractionated. It also appeared that Shots Zuni and Tewa, whose radionuclide compositions seemed to vary continuously from lagoon to cloud, and probably within the cloud, might be covered by two compositions: one for the close-in lagoon area, and one for the more-distant ship and cloud samples. The various compositions are presented as developed, starting with the simplest. The general method and supporting data are given, followed by the results.

Shots Flathead and Navajo. Where fission products are not fractionated, that is, where the observed $R^{99}(x)$ values are reasonably close to 1 (possible large $R$-values among lowyield valley and right-wing mass numbers are ignored), gross fission-product properties may
be readily extracted from the sources cited. Induced product contributions may be added in atier diminishing the tabular values (product/fission $=1$ ) by the proper ratio. After the resultant computed doghouse-counter decay rate is compared with experiment, the ionization rate (SC) may be computed for the same composition. Beta activities may also be computed for this com-position-making allowance for those disintegrations that produce no beta particles. The Navajo composition was computed in this manner, as were the rest of the compositions, once fractionation corrections had been made.

Shot Zuni. A number of empirical corrections were made to the computations for untractionated fission products in an effort to explain the decay characteristics of the residual radiations from this shot. The lagoon-area composition was developed first, averaging available lagoon area R-values. As shown in Figure 3.32, R-values of nuclides which, in part at least, are decay products of antimony are plotted against the half life of the antimony precursor, using the fission-product decay chains tabulated in Reference 56. (Some justification for the
assumptions are made that, after $\sim 45$ minutes, the $R$-values of all members of a given chain are identical, and related to the half life of the antimony precursor, then Figure 3.32 may be ased to estimate R-values of other chains containing antimony precursors with different half lives. The $R$-value so obtained for each chain is then used as a correction factor on the activity (Reference 41) of each nuclide in that chain, or more directly, on the computed doghouse activit or ionization (SC) contribution (Table 3.21). The partial decay products of two other fracthonating precursors, xenon and krypton, are also shown in Figure 3.32, and are similarly employed. These deficiencies led to corrections in some 22 chains, embracing 54 nuclides that contributed to the activities under consideration at some time during the period of interest. The R-value of $I^{131}$ was taken as 0.03 ; a locally measured but otherwise unreported $I^{138} / I^{131}$ ratio of 5.4 yields an $I^{133} \mathrm{R}$-value of 0.16 .

Although the particulate cloud composition might have been developed similarly, using a different set of curves based on cloud $R$-values, it was noticed that a fair relation existed between cloud and lagoon nuclide $R$-values as shown in Figure 3.33. Here $R^{99}(x)$ cloud/ $R^{99}(x)$ lagoon te plotted versus $R^{99}(x)$ lagoon average. The previously determined lagoon chain $R$-values were then simply multiplied by the indicated ratio to obtain the corresponding cloud R -values. The dotted lines indicate the trends for two other locations, YAG 39 and YAG 40, although these were not pursued because of time limitations. It is assumed that the cloud and lagoon compositions represent extremes, with all others intermediate. No beta activities were computed for this shot.

Shot Tewa. Two simplifying approximations were made. First, the cloud and outer station average $R$-values were judged sufficiently close to 1 to permit use of unfractionated fission products. Second, because the lagoon-area fission-product composition for Shot Tewa appeared to be the same as for its Zuni counterpart except in mass 140, the Zuni and Tewa lagoon fission products were therefore judged to be identical, except that the $\mathrm{Ba}^{140}-\mathrm{La}{ }^{140}$ contribution was inCreased by a factor of 3 for the latter.

The induced products were added in, using product/fission ratios appropriate to the location Wherever possible; however, the sparsity of ratio data for fallout samples dictated the use of cloud values for most of the minor induced activities.

Ing rates for the cond Discussion. Table $B .22$ is a compilation of the computed doghouse countth Fite for the compositions described; these data and some observed decay rates are shown Ta Figures 3.34 through 3.37. All experimental doghouse-counter data is listed in Table B.23. Table B. 24 similarly summarizes the Flathead and Navajo computed beta-counting rates; they 2re compared with experiment in Figure 3.38, and the experimental data are given in Table B.25. Results of the gamma-ionization or dose rate (SC) calculations for a surface concentrathon of $10^{4}$ fissions/ $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ are presented in Table 3.22 and plotted in Figure 3.39. It should be emphasized that these computed results are intended to be absolute for a specified composition
and number of fissions as determined by $\mathrm{Mo}^{99}$ content, and no arbitrary normalization has been employed to match theory and experiment. Thus, the curves in Figure 3.39, for instance, represent the best available estimates of the SC dose rate produced by $10^{4}$ fissions $/ \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ of the various mixtures. The Mo ${ }^{99}$ content of each of the samples represented is identical, namely the number corresponding to $10^{4}$ fissions at a yield of 6.1 percent. The curves are dispiaced vertically from one another solely because of the fractionation of the other fission products with respect to $\mathrm{Mo}^{98}$, and the contributions of various kinds and amounts of induced products.

It may be seen that the computed and observed doghouse-counter decay rates are in fairly good agreement over the time period for which data could be obtained. The beta-decay curves for Shots Flathead and Navajo, initiated on the YAG 40, suggest that the computed gamma and ionization curves, for those events at least, are reasonably correct as early as 10 to 15 hours after detonation.

The ionization results may not be checked directly against experiment; it was primarily for this reason that the other effects of the proposed compositions were computed for laboratory instruments. If reasonable agreement can be obtained for different types of laboratory detectors, then the inference is that discrepancies between computed and measured ionization rates in the field are due to factors other than source composition and ground-surface fission concentration.

The cleared area surrounding Station $F$ at How Island (Figure 2.8) offers the closest approximation to the standard conditions for which the calculations were made, and Shot Zuni was the only event from which sufficient fallout was obtained at this station to warrant making a comparison. With the calculated dose rates based on the average buried-tray value of $2.08 \pm 0.22$ $\times 10^{16}$ fissions $/ \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ (Table B.27) and the measured rates from Table B.28, (plotted in Figure B.7), the observed/calculated ratio varies from 0.45 at 11.2 hours to 0.66 from 100 to 200 hours, falling to an average of 0.56 between 370 and 1,000 hours. Although detalled reconciliation of theory and experiment is beyond the scope of this report, some of the factors operating to lower the ratio from an ideal value of unity were: (1) the cleared area was actually somewhat less than infinite in extent, averaging $\sim 120$ feet in radius, with the bulldozed sand and brush ringing the area in a horseshoe-shaped embankment some 7 feet high; (2) the plane was not mathematically smooth; and (3) the survey instruments used indicate less than the true ionization rate, i. e., the integrated response factor, including an operator, is lower than that obtained for $\mathrm{Co}^{80}$ in the calibrating direction.

It is estimated that, for average energies from 0.15 Mev to 1.2 Mev , a cleared radius of 120 feet provides from $\sim 0.80$ to $\sim 0.70$ of an infinite field (Reference 46 ). The Cutie Pie survey meter response, similar to the T1B between 100 kev and 1 Mev , averages about 0.85 (Reference 17). These two factors alone, then, could depress the observed/calculated ratio to $\sim 0.64$.

## IN THE ATOLL AKEA

table 3.1 Times of arbival, peak activity, and cessation at major stations
Time of arrival ( $t_{a}$ ) indicates the earlleat rellable arrival time of fallout as determined from the incremental collector and gamma time-intonsity recordar results. Time of peak activity ( $p_{p}$ ) indicates the time of peak ionization rate (in parentheses) and the times during which the ionization rate was within 10 percent of the peak rate. I refers to the peak ionization rate. Time of cessation ( $t_{c}$ ) indicates, first, the time by which 95 percent of the fallout had been deposited and, next, the extrapolated time of cessation.

| Shot | Station | $t_{\text {a }}$ | ${ }^{\text {p }}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{p}}$ | $t_{0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | TSD, hr |  | TSD, hr |  | $\mathrm{r} / \mathrm{hr}$ | TSD, hr |
| Flathead | YAG 40 (A, B) | 8.0 | 12 | (17.0) | 20 | 0.259 | 22 to 23 |
|  | Yag 39 (C) | 4.5 | 10 | (11.0) | 13 | 0.141 | 13 to 15 |
|  | LST 611 (D) | 6.6 | 9.0 | (9.1) | 9.2 | 0.098 | 20 to 25 |
|  | YFNB 13 (E) | 0.35 | 1.1 | (1.3) | $1.5 *$ | 21.8 * | 2.0 to $\dagger$ |
|  | YFNB 29 (G, H) | 0.62 | 1.2 | (1.52) | 1.9 | 0.98 | 1.5 to 9.0 |
|  | How Island (F) | $\ddagger$ |  | + |  | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Navajo | YAG 40 ( $A, B$ ) | 6.0 | 11 | (12.3) | 13 | 0.129 | 16 to 20 |
|  | YAG 39 (C) | 2.3 | 5.8 | (6.0) | 6.2 | 1.49 | 15 to 16 |
|  | LST 611 (D) | 3.0 | 5.6 | (6.1) | 6.7 | 0.049 | 13 to 18 |
|  | YFNB 13 (E) | 0.20 | 0.58 | (0.63) | 0.73 | 8.5 | 1.9 to 9.0 g |
|  | YFNB 29 (G, K) | 0.68 | 1.2 | (1.33) | 1.9 | 0.116 | 3.2 to 148 |
|  | How Island ( F ) | 0.75 |  | 1 |  | 1 | 4.5 to 7.0 \% |
| 2uni | Yag 40 ( $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ ) | 3.4 | 6.2 | (6.7) | 7.7 | 7.6 | 7.4 to 13 |
|  | YaG 39 (C) | 12 | 20 | (25) | 33 | 0.038 | 29 to 33 |
|  | LST 611 (D) | $\dagger$ |  | $\pm$ |  | + |  |
|  | YFNB 13 (E) | 0.33 | 0.97 | (1.25) | 1.6 * | 6 * | 1.9 to 9.3 |
|  | YFNB 29 ( $\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{H}$ ) | 0.32 | 0.70 | (0.82) | 1.2 | 9.6 | 2.4 to 3.3 |
|  | How Island (F) | 0.38 | 0.98 | (1.05) | 1.4 | 2.9 | 1.8 to 2.6 |
| Tewa | YaG 40 ( $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ ) | 4.4 | 6.2 | (7.2) | 7.6 | 7.43 | 8.5 to 16 |
|  | YAG 39 (C) | 2.0 | 4.4 | (5.0) | 5.7 | 20.2 | 5.3 to 16 |
|  | LST 611 (D) | 7.0 | 13 | (13.6) | 15 | 0.256 | 14 to 18 |
|  | YFNB 13 (E) | 0.25 | 1.8 | (1.9) | 3.0 | 2.5 | 7.0 to 16 |
|  | YFNB 29 (G, H) | 0.23 | 1.4 | (1.7) | 2.8 * | 40* | 4.3 to 16 |
|  | How IGland (F) | 1.6 | 2.5 | (2.9) | 3.4 | 2.5 | 3.3 to 9.0 |

* Estimated value; gamma time-intensity recorder saturaled.

No determination posaible; incremental collector falled.
1 No fallout occurred.
) Minimum value.
I Instrument failed.

Time of arrival ( $t_{a}$ ) indioutes the arrival time of fullout an duturminod from the time of arrival detector results.

| Station | Shot Flathoad $\mathbf{t}_{\mathbf{a}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Shot Nuvajo } \\ \mathbf{t}_{\mu} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Shot Zunt } \\ t_{u} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Bhot Towa } \\ t_{k} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TSD, hr | TSD, hr | TSD, hr | TSD, hr |
| YFNB 13 (E) | * | * | $\dagger$ | * |
| YFNB 29 (G) | 0.77 | * | 0.40 | - |
| YFNB 29 (H) | 0.68 | * | 0.40 | * |
| How Island (F) | $\pm$ | * | 0.35 | * |
| How Laland (i) | $\pm$ | * | 0.405 | * |
| George Islund (L) | $0.02 \dagger$ | $\dagger$ | 0.33 | $\dagger$ |
| Charlie Island (M) | - | $\dagger$ | - | $\dagger$ |
| William Island (M) | $\ddagger$ | - | 0.22 | - |
| Raft-1 (P) | $\pm$ | $\ddagger$ | 0.33 | $\dagger$ |
| Raft-2 (R) | $t$ | 0.73 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |
| Raft-3 (S) | 0.5 | $0.05 \dagger$ | 0.23 | 0.48 |
| Skiff-AA | 9.1 ! | 9.4 | * | 5.0 |
| Skiff-BB | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ | 3.8 | $\dagger$ |
| Skdfi-CC | 4.7 | $\ddagger$ | * | 4.2 |
| Skiff-DD | 1 | $\ddagger$ | * | $\ddagger$ |
| Skiff-EE | 1 | $\ddagger$ | 3.08 | $\ddagger$ |
| Skiff-FF | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\dagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Skiff-GG | * | * | 2.01 | 2.91 |
| Skiff-HH | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ | 2.2 |
| Skdff-KK | $\ddagger$ | $\dagger$ | * | $\ddagger$ |
| Skiff-LL | $\pm$ | $\ddagger$ | $\dagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Skiff-MM | * | 4.3 | 2.9 | 2.0 |
| Skiff-PP | $\dagger$ | 1.4 | - | $\dagger$ |
| Skiff-RR | 4.1 | $\dagger$ | 1.7 | $\dagger$ |
| Skiff-SS | 10.6 | - | $\dagger$ | - |
| Skiff-TT | $\ddagger$ | $\pm$ | $\dagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Skdff-UU | $\ddagger$ | - | $\dagger$ | - |
| Skiff-VV | - | - - | * | - |
| Skiff-WW | - | - | - | $\dagger$ |
| Skiff-XX | - | - | - | 1.2 |
| Skiff-YY | - | - | - | $\dagger$ |

* Skiff or ingtrument lost, or no instrument present.
$\dagger$ Instrument malfunctioned or may have malfunctioned.
$\ddagger$ Activity level Insufficient to trigger instrument; no fallout or only light tallout occurred.
Estimated value; clock reuding corrected by $\pm$ an integral number of days. 1 Instrunwent may have triggered ut peak; low arrival rate.

TABLE 3.3 PENETRATION HATES DERIVED FROM EQUIVALENTDEPTH DETERMINATIONS

| Shot | Station | Number <br> of Points | Time Studied <br> From |  | To | Rale |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | | $=$Limits <br> 95 pet <br> Confidence |
| :---: |

TABLE 3.4 DEPTHS AT WHICH PENETRATION CEASED FROM EQUIVALENTDEPTH DETERMINATIONS

| Shot | Station | Number of Points | Time | To | Depth | $\begin{aligned} & \pm \text { Limits } \\ & 95 \text { pct } \\ & \text { Confidence } \end{aligned}$ | Estimated Thermocline Depth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | TSD, hr |  | meters | meters | meters |
| Navajo | YAG 39 | 13 | 30.9 | 40.1 | 62 | 15 | 40 to 60 |
| Tewa | YAG 39 | 17 | 15.3 | 20.5 | 49 | 10 | 40 to 60 |
|  |  |  | 31.8 | 34.8 |  |  |  |

* See Reference 15.

TABLE 3.5 MAXIMUM PENETRATION RATES OBSERVED

| Shot | Station | Number <br> of Points | Time Studied |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From | To | Rate | ELimits <br> 95 pct <br> Confidence |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | TSD, hr |  | $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{hr}$ | $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{hr}$ |
| Zuni | YAG 39 | 3 | 15.2 | 16.8 | $\sim 30$ | - |
|  |  | 9 | 17.8 | 29.8 | 2.4 | 0.9 |
| Navajo | YAG 39 | 5 | 3.1 | 5.2 | 23.0 | 9.8 |
| Tewa | YAG 39 | 2 | 3.8 | 4.1 | $\sim 300$ | - |

TABLE 3.6 EXPONENT VALUES FOR PROBE DECAY MEASUREMENTS

The tabulated numbers are values of $n$ in the expression: $A=A_{0}\left(t / t_{0}\right)^{n}$, where $A$ indicates the activity at a reference time, $t$, and $A_{0}$ the activity at the time of observation, $t_{0}$.

| Shot | Exponent Values |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Project 2.62a |  |
| Zuni | 0.90 | 1.13 |
| Flathead | 0.90 | 1.05 |
| Navajo | 1.39 | 1.39 |
| Tewa | $*$ | 1.34 |

* Instrument malfunctioned.

TABLE 3.7 X-hay diffitiction analises and specific activities of individual particles, shot zuni

| Serial <br> Number | Typo | Size | Astivity at | Net | Specific Activity | Compounds Present |  |  | Particle Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{H}+240 \mathrm{hrs}$ | Weight |  | $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ | CaO | $\overline{\mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}}$ |  |
|  |  | mm | wull counts/min | mg | (counts/min)/mg |  |  |  |  |
| 165 | Sphere | 2 | 17,500,000 | 6.9 | 2,540,000 | $\mathbf{x}$ | $\mathbf{x}$ | X | Creamy-white; surface protulerances. |
| 166 | Sphere | 2 | 36,500,000 | 17.3 | 2,110,000 | X | XX* | $\mathbf{X X}$ | White, off-white; green-yellow; patchy. |
| 167 | Irregular | 1 | 2,410,000 | 40.1 | 60,200 | X |  |  | Rubbery; fibrous ; shapeless. |
| 16 | Sphere | 2 | 36,200,000 | 8.7 | 4,160,000 | X | $\boldsymbol{x}$ | X | Pale yellow; white patches. |
| 169 | Irregular | $2 \times 2.5$ | 101,140 | 11.9 | 8,500 | XX |  |  | Resembles actual coral; easily fractured. |
| 170 | Irregular | $2 \times 6$ | 955,340 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ | X |  | X | Columnar structure. |
| 171 | Agglonwrak | $\dagger$ | 6,300,000 | 1 | $\dagger$ |  | x | X | Broken; extremely friable, |
| 172 | Atglomerate | $\dagger$ | 16,700,000 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ | $\mathbf{x}$ | X | X | Broken; white and pale yellow-green; friable. |
| 173 | Irregular | $2.5 \times 5.0$ | 2,200,000 | 11.4 | 193,000 | XX |  | XX | Cavities and tunnels throughout. |
| 17.1 | Sphere | 2.1 | 24,500,000 | 7.1 | 3,450,000 | X | X | X | Off-white; slightly ellipsoidal. |
| 175 | Sphere | $t$ | 9,100,000 | 2.5 | 3,640,000 |  | X | X | Clear culic and yellowish irregular crystals. |
| 176 | Irregular | $2 \times 5$ | 43,6:2 | 48.8 | 9,070 | XX |  |  | Gray mase with embedded shells. |
| 177 | Atstomerate | $\dagger$ | 2,600,000 | $\dagger$ | $\dagger$ |  | $\mathbf{X}$ | X | Broken; white and pale green; very friable. |
| 178 | Irregular | $8 \times 8$ | 1,900,000 | 388.0 | 4,900 | X |  | X | Munmade, concretellke material. |
| 179 | Sphere | 1.5 | 6,600,000 | 5.1 | 1,300,000 | X | XX | XX | Yellowish mosaic surface. |
| 180 | Irregular | $6 \times 10$ | 1,860,000 | 457.3 | 4,070 | X |  | X | Same as Particle 178. |
| 181 | Irregular | $2.5 \times 4$ | 27,300,000 | 25.8 | 1,060,000 | X | $\mathbf{X X}$ | $\mathbf{X X}$ | Yellowith; finer-grained CaO. |
| 18: | Black sphere | 1.7 | 70,600 | 9.0 | 7,840 |  |  |  | $\mathrm{Fe}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}+\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |

* Lixammation was also made of interior of particto; XX indicates a compond dotected both on exterior surface and interior.

I No data available.

| TABLE 3.8 distribution of particle densities, SHOT ZUNI |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total number of particlos $=122$. Total number of irregular particles $=7$. Total number of yellow spheres -71 . Total number of white spheres $=44$. Mean density of all spheros $-2.46 \mathrm{sm} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$. Mean density of yelluw spheres $=2.53$ $\mathrm{gm}^{\mathrm{cm}} \mathrm{cm}^{3}$. Mean density of white spheres $=2.33 \mathrm{gm} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$. |  |  |  |
| Density | Percentige of Total Particles | Percentage of Yellow Spheres | Percentage of White Spheres |
| $\mathrm{gm} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ |  |  |  |
| 2.0 | 2.5 | 1.4 | 4.7 |
| 2.1 | 6.7 | 2.8 | 11.6 |
| 2.2 | 7.5 | 2.8 | 16.3 |
| 2.3 | 22.5 | 14.0 | 35.0 |
| 2.4 | 9.2 | 9.9 | 9.1 |
| 2.5 | 10.7 | 6.5 | 13.9 |
| 2.6 | 15.0 | 22.6 | 4.7 |
| 2.7 | 19.2 | 29.6 | 4.7 |
| 2.8 | 5.8 | 8.5 | 2.3 |

TABLE 3.9 RADIOCHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF ALTERED AND UNALTERED PARTICLES, SHOT ZUNI

| Quantity | Time | Alered Particles |  | Unaltered Particles |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number of Samples | Value | Number of Samples | Value |
| TSD, hr |  |  |  |  |  |
| fissions $/ \mathrm{gm}\left(\times 10^{\text {L }}\right.$ ) | - | 6 | $3.8 \pm 3.1$ | 9 | $0.090 \pm 0.12$ |
| Ussions/gm $\left(\times 10^{\text {L4 }}\right.$ )* | - | 14 | $4.2 \pm 2.7$ | 24 | $0.033 \pm 0.035$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | - |
| (counts/min) $/ 10^{6}$ fissions | 71 | 4 | $0.34 \pm 0.06$ | 4 | $0.53 \pm 0.19$ |
| (counts/min)/10 fieaions | 105 | 3 | $0.35 * 0.08$ | 7 | $1.1 \pm 0.4$ |
| (counts/min) $/ 10^{4}$ fissions | 239 | 1 | 0.054 | 1 | 0.12 |
| (counts/min)/ $10^{4}$ fissions | 532 | 2 | 0.013 | 1 | 0.024 |
| ma/ $10^{4}$ fissions ( $\times 10^{-17}$ ) | 71 | 4 | $30 \pm 5$ | 4 | $59 \pm 24$ |
| $\mathrm{ma} / 10^{4}$ fissions ( $\times 10^{-17}$ ) | 105 | 3 | $24 \pm 7$ | 7 | $109 \pm 31$ |
| $\mathrm{ma} / 10^{4}$ fissions ( $\times 10^{-17}$ ) | 239 | 1 | 3.4 | 1 | 20 |
| $\mathrm{ma} / 10^{6}$ fissions ( $\times 10^{-17}$ ) | 481 | 2 | 1.7 | 1 | 5.1 |
| $(\text { counte } / \min ) / \mathrm{ma}\left(\times 10^{21}\right)$ | 71 | 5 | $11 \pm 1$ | 4 | $9.3 \pm 2.0$ |
| (counts $/ \mathrm{min}$ )/ma $\times 10^{4}$ ) | 105 | 4 | $14 \pm 3$ | 13 | $8.6 \pm 1.5$ |
| (counts $/ \mathrm{min}) / \mathrm{ma}\left(\times 10^{\text {H }}\right.$ ) | 239 | 10 | $16 \pm 2$ | 6 | $8.2 \pm 1.3$ |

* Calculated from activity ratios on the basis of particles analyzed for total fissions.

TABLE 3.10 ACTIVITY RATIOS FOR PARTICLES FROM SHOTS ZUNI AND TEWA

| Activity Ratio | Shot Zuni |  |  |  | Shot Tewa |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Altered Particles |  | Unaltered Particles |  | All Particles |  |
|  | Value | Time | Value | Time | Value | Time |
| (counts $/ \mathrm{min}$ )/ma $\left(\times 10^{10}\right)$ |  | TSD, hr |  | TSD, br |  | TSD, hr |
|  | 14. $\pm 3$. | 105 | $8.6 \pm 1.5$ | 105 | 11. $\pm 6$. | 96 |
|  | 16. $\pm 2$. | 239 | $8.2 \pm 1.3$ | 239 |  |  |
| (counts/min) $/ 10^{4}$ fissions | $0.35 \pm 0.08$ | 105 | $1.1 \pm 0.4$ | 105 | $0.38 \pm 0.12$ | 97 |
|  | 0.054 | 239 | 0.12 | 239 | $0.18 \pm 0.02$ | 172 |
| $\mathrm{ma} / 10^{4}$ fissions ( $\times 10^{-17}$ ) | 24. $\pm 7$. | 105 | 109. $\pm 31$. | 105 | $37 . \pm 15$. | 97 |
|  | 3.4 | 239 | 20. | 239 |  |  |

TABLE 3.11 DISTRBUTION OF ACTIVITY OF YAG 40 TEWA PARTICLES WITH SIZE AND TYPE

| Size Group | Percent of <br> Composite <br> Total Activity |  | Percent of Size Group Activity |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| microns |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 to 33 | $<0.1$ | 23.4 | 76.6 | 0.0 |  |
| 34 to 66 | 2.2 | 88.1 | 5.0 | 6.9 |  |
| 67 to 99 | 6.0 | 46.4 | 37.5 | 16.0 |  |
| 100 to 132 | 11.6 | 68.6 | 6.7 | 24.6 |  |
| 133 to 165 | 18.2 | 43.4 | 5.7 | 50.9 |  |
| 166 to 198 | 18.9 | 49.3 | 1.9 | 48.8 |  |
| 199 to 231 | 8.1 | 58.0 | 0.0 | 41.9 |  |
| 232 to 264 | 9.9 | 14.7 | 0.0 | 85.3 |  |
| 265 to 297 | 7.0 | 14.6 | 0.1 | 85.3 |  |
| 298 to 330 | 11.5 | 18.5 | 0.0 | 81.4 |  |
| 331 to 363 | 0.7 | - | - | 100.0 |  |
| 364 to 396 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 97.7 |  |
| 397 to 429 | - | - | - | - |  |
| 430 to 462 | 0.6 | 23.8 | 76.2 | 0.0 |  |
| 463 to 495 | - | - | - | - |  |
| 496 to 528 | 3.4 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |

TABLE 3.12 PHYSICAL, CHEMICAL, AND RADIOLOGICAL PROPERTIES OF SLURRY PARTICLES
All indicated errors are standard deviations of the mean.

| Time of Arrival Interval | Station | Number of Particles Measured | Average NaCl Mass | Average $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ Mass | Average Density $\pm$ Standard Deviation | Average Diameter * <br> $\pm$ Stindard Deviation | Average Specific Activity $\pm$ Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TSD, hr |  |  | $\boldsymbol{\mu g}$ | $\mu \mathrm{g}$ | $\mathrm{gm} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | microns | $\times 10^{10}$ (counts $/ \mathrm{min}$ )/gm $\dagger$ |
| Shot Flathead: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 to 3 | YFNB 29 | 4 to 10 | 0.06 | 0.08 | $1.28 \pm 0.1$ | $57 \pm 6$ | $43 \pm 8 \ddagger$ |
| 7 to 9 | YAG 39 and. LST 611 | 50 to 52 | 0.42 | 0.62 | $1.29 \pm 0.01$ | $112 \pm 2$ | $282 \pm 20$ |
| 11 to 12 | YAG 40 | 10 | 0.94 | 1.20 | $1.35 \pm 0.05$ | $129 \pm 16$ | $285 \pm 160$ |
| 15 to 18 | YAG 40 | 3 to 4 | 0.50 | 0.69 | $1.34 \pm 0.08$ | $121 \pm 6$ | $265 \pm 90$ |
| Totnls |  | 67 to 76 |  |  | $1.30 \pm 0.01$ |  | $282 \pm 30$ § |
| Shot Navajo: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 to 3 | YFNB 13 | 5 to 20 | 7.77 | 7.94 | $1.38 \pm 0.04$ | $272 \pm 14$ | $\pm \pm 0.6 \ddagger$ |
| 3 to 5 | YAG 39 | 9 to 14 | ${ }^{-} 7.62$ | 4.49 | $1.50 \pm 0.01$ | $229 \pm 24$ | $16 \pm 3$ |
| 5 to 6 | LST 611 | 14 | 1.61 | 1.83 | $1.41 \pm 0.04$ | $166 \pm 6$ | $14 \pm 2$ |
| 7 to 9 | YAG 40 | 4 to 10 | 1.25 | 1.08 | $1.45 \pm 0.04$ | $142 \pm 22$ | $9 \pm 3$ |
| 9 to 10 | YAG 40 | 5 to 23 | 0.44 | 0.60 | $1.31 \pm 0.02$ | $110 \pm 5$ | $11 \pm 2$ |
| 10 to 11 | YAG 40 | 11 to 15 | 0.66 | 0.50 | $1.43 \pm 0.03$ | $111 \pm 4$ | $16 \pm 4$ |
| 11 to 12 | YAG 40 | 33 | 0.30 | 0.44 | $1.32 \pm 0.01$ | $94 \pm 4$ | 261 |
| 12 to 13 | YAG 40 | 28 | 0.31 | 0.31 | $1.37 \pm 0.01$ | $96 \pm 2$ | 21 \% |
| 13 to 14 | YAG 40 | 6 | 0.17 | 0.27 | $1.28 \pm 0.02$ | $86 \pm 7$ | 291 |
| 14 to 15 | YAG 40 | 5 | 0.10 | 0.18 | $1.30 \pm 0.03$ | $75 \pm 2$ | 23 T |
| 15 to 18 | YAG 40 | 13 to 14 | 0.06 | 0.32 | $1.15 \pm 0.02$ | 94 $\pm 4$ | $56 \pm 7$ |
| Totals |  | 133 to 182 |  |  | $1.35 \pm 0.01$ |  | $21 \pm 38$ |

- Diameter of spherical slurry droplet at time of arrival.
$\dagger$ Photon count in well counter at $\mathrm{H}+12$.
$\ddagger$ Not included in calculation of total.
Based on summation of individual-particle specific activities.
I Calculated value based on total tray count, number of particles per tray, and average
NaCl mass per particle; not included in calculation of total.

TABLE 3.13 COMPOUNDS DDENTIFIED IN SLURRYPARTICLE INSOLUBLE SOLDS

All compounds were identified by X-ray diffraction except $\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{NaCa}\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{4}\right)$, which were identified by electron diffraction; ${ }^{2} \mathrm{CaO}-\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ was also observed in one sample by electron diffraction. The presence of Cu in the Navajo sample was established by X -ray diffriction. I indicates definite identification and PI possible identification.

| Compound | Shot Flathead | Shot Navajo |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2 \mathrm{CaO} \cdot \mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | I |  |
| $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ | I | 1 |
| $\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | I |  |
| $\mathrm{Feg}_{4}$ | 1 | I |
| $\mathrm{CaSO}_{4} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | I |  |
| NaCl | 1 | I |
| $\mathrm{NaCa}_{\left(1 S^{( } \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)}$ |  | PI |
| $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ |  | PI |
| $\mathrm{MrO}^{-\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}}$ |  | PI |

TABLE 3.14 RADIOCHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF SLURRY PARTICLES, YAG 40, SHOT FLATHEAD

Analysis of the combined particles led to the following data: Description, essentially NaCl ; $W C, 0.872 \times 10^{6}$ counts $/ \mathrm{min}$; time of WC, 156 TSD , hrs; GIC, $38 \times 10^{-11} \mathrm{ma}$; time of GIC, 196 TSD. hrs; fissions, $6.83 \times 10^{10} ; \mathrm{Ba}^{140}$ $\mathrm{Sr}^{8!}$ !
$\mathrm{Np}^{239}$ product/fission ratio, 0.41 ; activity ratios at 196 TSD , hrs, $9.9 \times 10^{16}$ (counts $/ \mathrm{min}$ ) $/ \mathrm{ma}, 0.13$ (counts $/ \mathrm{min}$ ) $/ 10^{4}$ fissions, and $13.0 \times 10^{-17} \mathrm{ma} / 10^{4}$ fissions.

| Field Number | WC | Time of WC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\times 10^{8}$ counts $/ \mathrm{min}$ | TSD, hrs |
| $2680-1$ | 0.0668 | 189 |
| $2682-2$ | 0.116 | 190 |
| $2334-1$ | 0.0730 | 190 |
| $2677-1$ | 0.0449 | 193 |
| $2333-1$ | 0.131 | 190 |
| $2682-1$ | 0.0607 | 189 |
| $2331-1$ | 0.249 | 189 |
| $2333-2$ | 0.064 | 191 |
| $2334-4$ | 0.146 | 190 |
| $2333-3$ | 0.0487 | 190 |
| $2332-1$ | 0.0295 | 190 |
| $2681-3$ | 0.235 | 190 |
| $2681-1$ | 0.141 | 190 |
|  |  |  |

TABLE 3.16 SURFACE DENSITY OF FALLOUT COMPONENTS IN TERMS OF ORIGINAL COMPOSITION



Figure 3.1 Rates of arrival at major stations, Shot Flathead.



Figure 3.2 Rates of arrival at major stations, Shot Navajo.




Figure 3.3 Rates of arrival at major stations, Shot Zuni.



Figure 3.4 Rates of arrival at major stations, Shot Tewa.


Figure 3.5 Calculated mass-arrival rate, Shots Zuni and Tewa.


Figure 3.6 Particle-size variation at ship stations, Shot Zuni.


Figure 3.7 Particle-size variation at barge and island stations, Shot Zuni.


Figure 3.8 Particle-size variation at ship stations, Shot Tewa.


Figure 3.9 Particle-size variation at barge and island stations, Shot Tewa.



Figure 3.10 Ocean activity proflies, Shots Navajo and Tewa.

Figure 3.11 Solublitty of solid fallout particles.


Figure 3.13 Typical solid fallout particles.


Figure 3.14 Angular fallout particle, Shot Zuni.
a. Ordinary light. b. Crossed nicols. c. Radioautograph.


Figure 3.15 High magnification of part of an angular fallout particle, Shot Zuni.


Figure 3.16 Spheroidal fallout particle, Shot Zuni.
a. Ordinary light. b. Croseed nicols. c. Radioautograph.


Figure 3.17 Angular fallout particle, Shot Tewa.
a. Ordinary light. b. Crossed nicols. c. Radioautograph.


Figure 3.18 Spheroidal fallout particle, Shot Tewa.
a. Ordinary light. b. Crossed nicols. c. Radioautograph.


Figure 3.10 Thin section and radioautograph of spherical fallout particle, Shot Inca.


Figure 3.20 Energy-dependent activity ratios for altered and unaltered particles, Shot Zuni.


Figure 3.22 Particle group median activity versus mean size, Shot Zuni.


Figure 3.23 Particle group median activity versus mean size, Shot Tewa.


Figure 3.24 Relation of particle weight to activity, Shot Tewa.


Figure 3.25 Relation of particle density to activity, Shot Zuni.


Figure 3.26 Gamma decay of altered and unaltered particles, Shot Zunt.


Figure 3.28 Photomicrograph of slurryparticle reaction area and insoluble solids.


Figure 3.29 Electronmicrograph of slurry-particle insoluble solids.


Figure 3.30 NaCl mass versus activity per square foot, Shot Flathead.


Figure 3.31 Radioautograph of slurryparticle trace and reaction area.


Figure 3.32 Radionuclide fractionation of xenon, krypton, and antimony products, Shot Zuni.


Figure 3.33 R-value relationships for several compositions, Shot Zuni.


Figure 3.34 Photon-decay rate by doghouse counter, Shot Flathead.


Figure 3.35 Photon-decay rate by doghouse counter, Shot Navajo.


Figure 3.36 Photon-decay rate by doghouse counter, Shot Zuni.


Figure 3.37 Photon-decay rate by doghouse counter, Shot Tewa.


Figure 3.38 Beta-decay rates, Shots Flathead and Navajo.


Figure 3.39 Computed ionization-decay rates, Shots Flathead, Navajo, Zuni, and Tewa.

## Chapter 4 <br> DISCUSSION

### 4.1 SHOT CHEROKEE

Because the residual radiation level from Shot Cherokee was too low to be of any military significance, the results were omitted from Chapter 3. However, this should not be interpreted to mean that no fallout occurred; the evidence is clear that very light fallout was deposited over a large portion of the predicted area.

Partly to obtain background data and provide a full-scale test of instrumentation and procedures, and partly to verify that the fallout was as light as anticipated, all stations were activated for the shot, and all exposed sampling trays were processed according to plan (Section 2.4). Small amounts of fallout were observed on the YAG 40 and YAG 39; the collectors removed from Skiffs AA, BB, CC, DD, GG, HH, MM, and VV were slightly active; and low levels of activity were also measured in two water samples collected by the SIO vessel DE 365 . Results from all other stations were negative.

The approximate position of each station during the collection interval is shown in Figure 4.1; more exact locations for the skiffs and project ships are included in Tables 2.3 and 2.4. The boundaries of the fallout pattern predicted by the methods described in Section 4.3.1 are also given in the figure, and it may be seen that nearly all of the stations falling within the pattern received some fallout. (Skiff PP and the LST 611 probably do not constitute exceptions, because the former was overturned by the initial shock wave and the incremental collectors on the latter were never triggered.)

On the YAG 40 , an increase in normal background radiation was detected with a survey meter at about $\mathrm{H}+6$ hours, very close to the predicted time of fallout arrival. Although the ionization rate never became high enough for significant TRR measurements, open-window survey meter readings were continued until the level began to decrease. The results, plotted in Figure 4.2, show. a broad peak of about $0.25 \mathrm{mr} / \mathrm{hr}$ centered roughly on $\mathrm{H}+9$ hours. In addition, a few active particles were collected in two SIC and two IC trays during the same period; these results, expressed in counts per minute per minute as before (Section 3.2.1), are given in Figure 4.3. The spread along the time axis reflects the fact that the SIC trays were exposed for longer intervals than usual.

Radioautographs of the tray reagent films showed that all of the activity on each one was accounted for by a single particle, which appeared in every case to be a typical slurry droplet of the type described in Section 3.3.2. Successive gamma-energy spectra and the photon-decay rate of the most active tray (No. 729, $\sim 6,200$ counts/min at $H+10$ hours) were measured and are presented in Figures 4.4 and 4.5. The prominent peaks appearing at $\sim 100$ and 220 kev in the former appear to be due to $\mathrm{Np}^{239}$.

A slight rise in background radiation was also detected with a hand survey meter on the YAG 39. The open-window level increased from about $0.02 \mathrm{mr} / \mathrm{hr}$ at $\mathrm{H}+10$ hours to $0.15 \mathrm{mr} / \mathrm{hr}$ at $\mathrm{H}+12$ hours, before beginning to decline. Only one IC tray was found to be active (No. 56 $\sim 9,200$ counts/min at $H+10$ hours), and this was the control tray exposed on top of the collector for 20 hours from 1300 on D-day to 0900 on $D+1$. Although about 25 small spots appeared on the reagent film, they were arranged in a way that suggested the breakup of one larger slurry particle on impact; as on the YAG 40 trays, only NaCl crystals were visible under low-power optics in the active regions.

Plots of the gamma-energy spectrum and decay for this sample are included in Figures 4.4 and 4.5; the similarities of form in both cases suggest a minimum of radionuclide fractionation.

By means of the Flathead conversion factor [ $\sim 1.0 \times 10^{6}$ fissions/(dip counts/min at 100 hours)], the dip-counter results for the AOC's from the skiffs have been converted to fissions per square foot in Table 4.1, so that they may be compared with the values for the other shots (Table 3.15). The dip-counter activities of all water samples, including those for the DE 365 , are summarized in Table B. 32.

### 4.2 DATA RELIABILITY

The range and diversity of the measurements required for a project of this size virtually precludes the possibility of making general statements of accuracy which are applicable in all cases. Nevertheless, an attempt has been made in Table 4.2 to provide a qualitative evaluation of the accuracy of the various types of project measurements. Quantitative statements of accuracy, and sometimes precision, are given and referenced where available. No attempt has been made, however, to summarize the errors listed in the tables of results in the text; and certain small errors, such as those in station locations in the lagoon area and instrument exposure and recovery times, have been neglected.

Although the remaining estimates are based primarily on experience and judgment, comments have been included in most cases containing the principal factors contributing to the uncertainty. The following classification system is employed, giving both a quality rating and, where applicable, a probable accuracy range:

| Class |  | Quality |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| A |  | Excellent |
| B |  | Good |
| C |  | Fair |
| D |  | Poor |
| N |  | No information available |

```
Accuracy Range
\pm0 to 10 percent
& 10 to 25 percent
\pm25 to 50 percent
# \geq50 percent
```


### 4.3 CORRELATIONS

4.3.1 Fallout Predictions. As a part of operations in the Program 2 Control Center (Section 2.4), successive predictions were made of the location of the boundaries and hot line of the fallout pattern for each shot. (The hot line is defined in Reference 67 as that linear path through the fallout area along which the highest levels of activity occur relative to the levels in adjacent areas. The measured hot line in the figures was estimated from the observed contours, and the boundary established at the lowest isodose-rate line which was well delineated.) The final predictions are shown superimposed on the interim fallout patterns from Reference 13 in Fisures 4.6 through 4.9. Allowance has been made for time variation of the winds during Shots Flathead and Navajo, and for time and space variation during Shots Zuni and Tewa. Predicted and observed times of fallout arrival at most of the major stations, as well as the maximum particle sizes predicted and observed at times of arriral, peak, and cessation, are also compared in Table 4.3. The marked differences in particle collections from close and distant stations are illustrated in Figure 4.10. In the majority of cases, agreement is close enough to justify the assumptions used in making the predictions; in the remaining cases, the differences are suggestive of the way in which these assumptions should be altered.

The fallout-forecasting method is described in detail in Reference 67. This method begins with a vertical-line source above the shot point, and assumes that all particle sizes exist at aialtitudes; the arrival points of particles of several different sizes ( $75,100,200$, and 350 mic . in diameter in this case), originating at the centers of successive 5,000 -foot altitude incremer are then plotted on the surface. The measured winds are used to arrive at single vectors $r=-$ resentative of the winds in each layer, and these vectors are applied to the particle for the: iod of time required for it to fall through the layer. The required times are calculated irc: $=$
equations for particle terminal velocity, of the form described by Dallavalle. Such equations consider the variables of particle density, air density, particle diameter, air viscosity, and constants incorporating the effects of gravity and particle shape. (Modified versions of the original Dallavalle equations are presented in Reference 67; data on the Marshall Islands atmosphere required to evaluate air density and air viscosity are also given in this reference.) The last two steps are simplified, however, by the use of a plotting template, so designed that vectors laid off in the wind direction, to the wind speed, automatically include terminal velocity adjustments (Reference 68).

Size lines result from connecting the surface-arrival points for particles of the same size from increasing increments of altitude; height lines are generated by connecting the arrival points of particles of different sizes from the same altitudes. These two types of lines form a network from which the arrival times of particles of various sizes and the perimeter of the fallout pattern may be estimated, once the arrival points representing the line source have been expanded to include the entire cloud diameter. This last step requires the use of a specific cloud model. The model that was used in arriving at the results of Figures 4.6 through 4.9 and Table 4.3 is shown in Figure 4.11. Particles larger than 1,000 microns in diameter were restricted to the stem radius, or inner 10 percent of the cloud radius, while those from 500 to 1,000 microns in diameter were limited to the inner 50 percent of the cloud radius; all particle sizes were assumed to be concentrated primarily in the lower third of the cloud and upper third of the stem.

The dimensions shown in the figures were derived from empirical curves available in the field, relating cloud height and diameter to device yield (Reference 67). Actual photographic measurements of the clouds from Reference 69 were used wherever possible, however, for subsequent calculations leading to results tabulated in Table 4.3.

The location of the hot line follows directly from the assumed cloud model, being determined by the height lines from the lower third of the cloud, successively corrected for time and, sometimes, space variation of the winds. Time variation was applied in the field in all cases, but space variation later and only in cases of gross disagreement. The procedure generally followed was to apply the variation of the winds in the case of the 75- and 100-micron particles and use shot-time winds for the heavier particles. Wind data obtained from balloon runs at 3 -hour intervals by the Task Force were used both to establish the initial shot-time winds and make the corrections for time and space variation. The calculations for Shot Zuni are summarized for illustrative purposes in Table B. 29.

It is of particular interest to note that it was necessary to consider both time and space variation of the winds for Shots Zuni and Tewa in order to bring the forecast patterns into general agreement with the measured patterns. Vertical air motions were considered for Shot Zuni but found to have little effect on the overall result. It is also of interest to observe that the agreement achieved was nearly as good for Shots Flathead and Navajo with no allowance for space Variation as for Shots Zuni and Tewa with this factor included, in spite of the fact that the fallout from the former consisted of slurry rather than solid particles below the freezing level (Sections 3.3.1 and 3.3.2). Whether this difference can be attributed to the gross differences in the nature of the fallout is not known.
4.3.2 Sampling Bias. When a solid object such as a collecting tray is placed in a uniform air stream, the streamlines in its immediate vicinity become distorted, and small particles falling into the region will be accelerated and displaced. As a result, a nonrepresentative or biased sample may be collected. Although the tray will collect a few particles that otherwise would not have been deposited, the geometry is such that a larger number that would have fallen through the area occupied by the tray will actually fall elsewhere. In an extreme case of small, light particles and high wind velocity, practically all of the particles could be deposited elsewhere, because the number deposited elsewhere generally increases with increasing wind velocity and decreasing particle size and density.

This effect has long been recognized in rainfall sampling, and some experimental collectors have been equipped with a thin horizontal windshield designed to minimize streamiine distortion
(Reference 72). The sampling of solid fallout particles presents even more severe problems, however, because the particles may also blow out of the tray after being collected, producing an additional deficit in the sample.

In addition, samples collected in identical collectors located relatively close together in a fixed array have been found to vary with the position of the collector in the array and its height above the ground (References 10 and 72). It follows from such studies that both duplication and replication of sampling are necessary to obtain significant results.

Consideration was given to each of these problems in the design of the sampling stations. An. attempt was made to minimize and standardize streamline distortion by placing horizontal windshields around all major array platforms and keeping their geometries constant. (The flow characteristics of the standard platform were studied both by small-scale wind-tunnel tests and measurements made on the mounted platform prior to the operation (Reference 73). It was found that a recirculatory flow, resulting in updrafts on the upwind side and downdrafts on the downwind side, developed inside the platform with increasing wind velocity, leading to approximately the same streamline distortion in every case.) Similar windshields were used for the SIC on the YAG 40 and the decay probe tank on the YAG 39, and funnels were selected for the minor array collectors partly for the same reason.

Honeycomb inserts, which created dead-air cells to prevent loss of material, were used in ald OCC and AOC collectors. This choice represented a compromise between the conflicting demands for high collection efficiency, ease of sample removal, and freedom from adulterants in subsequent chemical and radiochemical analyses.

Retentive grease surfaces, used in the IC trays designed for solid-particle sampling, facilitated single-particle removal.

All total collectors were duplicated in a standard arrangement for the major arrays; and these arrays, like the minor arrays, were distributed throughout the fallout area and utllized for all shots to provide adequate replication.

At the most, such precautions make it possible to relate collections made by the same kind of sampling arrays; they do not insure absolute, unbiased collections. In effect, this means that, while all measurements made by major arrays may constitute one self-consistent set, and those made by minor arrays another, it is not certain what portion of the total deposited fallout these sets represent. As explained earlier (Section 3.1), this is one reason why radiological properties have been expressed on a unit basis wherever possible. Efforts to interpret platform collections include a discussion and treatment of the relative bias observed within the platforms, as well as comparisons of the resulting platform values with buried-tray and minor array collections on How Island, water sampling and YAG 39 tank collections, and a series of postoperation rainfall measurements made at NRDL.

Relative Platform Bias. The amount of fallout collected by the OCC and AOC $C_{1}$ collectors in the upwind part of the standard platform was lower than that collected in the downwind portion. It was demonstrated in Reference 74 that these amounts usually varied symmetrically around the platform with respect to wind direction, and that the direction established by the line connecting the interpolated maximum and minimum collections (observed bias direction) coincided with the wind direction. A relative wind varying with time during fallout was treated by vectorial summation, with the magnitude of each directional vector proportional to the amount of fallout occurring in that time. (Variations in the relative wind were caused principally by ship maneuvers, or by oscillation of the anchored barges under the influence of wind and current; directions varying within $\pm 15$ degrees were considered constant.) The resulting collection pattern with respect to the weighted wind resultant (computed bias direction) was similar to that for a single wind, although the ratio of the maximum to the minimum collection (bias ratio) was usually nearer unity, and the bias direction correspondingly less certain.

The variability in relative-wind direction and fallout rate, which could under certain conditions produce a uniform collection around the platform, may be expressed as a bias fraction (defined in Reference 74 as the magnitude of the resultant vector mentioned above divided by the arithmetic sum of the individual vector magnitudes). In effect, this fraction represents a measure of the degree of single-wind deposition purity, because the bias fraction in such a case
would be 1; on the other hand, the resultant vector would vanish for a wind that rotated uniformly around the platform an integral number of times during uniform fallout, and the fraction would be 0 .

Where necessary, the mean value of the four OCC and two $A O C_{1}$ collectors was chosen as representative for a platform; but when a curve of fallout amount versus angular displacement from the bias direction could be constructed using these collections, the mean value of the curve was obtained from 10 equispaced values between 0 and 180 degrees. The latter applied to all platforms except the LST 611 and the YFNB's, probably indicating disturbances of the air stream incident on the platiorm by the geometry of the carrier vessel. These platiorms, however, were mounted quite low; while the YAG platforms were high enough and so placed as to virtually guarantee undisturbed incidence for all winds forward of the beam.
2. Pertinent results are summarized in Table 4.4. Fallout amounts per collector are given as doghouse-counter activities at 100 hours, convertible to fissions by the factors given in Table B.13; the mean values so converted appear in Table 3.15. Wind velocities are listed in Table B.37; as in the summary table, the directions given are true for How Island and relative to the bow of the vessel for all other major stations.

No attempt was made to account quantitatively for the values of the bias ratio observed, even for a single-wind system; undoubtedly, the relative amount deposited in the various parts of the platform depends on some function of the wind velocity and particle terminal velocity. As indicated earlier, the airflow pattern induced by the platform itself appeared to be reproducible for 2 given wind speed, and symmetrical about a vertical plane parallel with the wind direction. Accordingly, for a given set of conditions, collections made on the platform by different instruments with similar intrinsic efficiencies will vary only with location relative to the wind direction. Further experimentation is required to determine how the collections are related to a true ground value for different combinations of particle characteristics and wind speeds.

A limited study of standard-platform bias based on incremental collector measurements was also made, using the data discussed in Section 3.2.4 (Reference 19). These results are presented in Figures 4.12, 4.13, and 4.14. The first compares particle-size frequency distributions of collections made at the same time by different collectors located at the same station; studies for the YAG 39 and YAG 40 during Shots Zuni and Tewa are included. The second compares the total relative mass collected as a function of time, and the variation of relative mass with particle size, for different collectors located at the same station; as above, YAG 39 and YAG 40 collections during Shots Zuni and Tewa were used. The last presents curves of the same type given in Section 3.2.4 for the two IC's located on the upwind side of the YAG 39 platform; these may be compared with the curves in Figure 3.8 which were derived from the IC on the downwind side.

The results show that, except at late times, the overall features of collections made by different instruments at a given station correspond reasonably well, but that appreciable differences in magnitude may extst for a particular time or particle size. In the case of collections made on a single platform (YAG 39), the differences are in general agreement with the bias curves discussed above; and these differences appear to be less than those between collections made near the deck and in the standard platform (A-1 and B-7, YAG 40). It is to be noted that incre-mental-collector comparisons constitute a particularly severe test of bias differences because of the small size ( $\sim 0.0558 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ ). of the collecting tray.

How Island Collections. One of the primary purposes of the Site How station was to determine the overall collection efficiency of the total collectors mounted in the standard platform. An area was cleared on the northern end of the island, platiorm $F$ with its supporting tower was moved from the YFNB 13 to the center of this area, and 12 AOC $_{1}$ trays were filled with local soil and buried in a geometrical array around the tower with their collecting surfaces flush with the ground (Figure 2.8). After every shot, the buried trays were returned to NRDL and counted in the same manner as the OCC trays from the platform.

It is assumed that the collections of these buried trays represent a near-ideal experimental approach to determining the amount of fallout actually deposited on the ground. (Some differences, believed minor, were present in $O C C$ and $A O C_{1}-B$ doghouse-counter geometries. Very
little differential effect is to be expected from a lamina of activity on top of the 2 inches of sand versus activity distributed on the honeycomb insert and bottom of the tray. The more serious possibility of the active particles sifting down through the inert sand appears not to have occurred, because the survey-meter ratios of $\mathrm{AOC}_{1}-\mathrm{B}^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$ to OCC's taken at Site Nan, Site Elmer, and NRDL did not change significantly with time.)

In Table 4.5, weighted-mean platform values, obtained as described above, are converted to fissions per square foot and compared to the average buried-tray deposit taken from Table B. 27 . It may be seen that, within the uncertainty of the measurements, the weighted-mean platform values are in good agreement with the ground results. It must be recalled, however, that single winds prevailed at How Island for all shots, and that the observed bias ratios were low (<2).

The $\mathrm{AOC}_{2}$ collections at Station K (Table 3.15) are also included in Table 4.5 for comparison. They appear to be consistently slightly lower than the other determinations, with the exception of the much lower value for Shot Navajo. The latter may be due to recovery loss and counting error resulting from the light fallout experienced at the station during this shot. Because only one collector was present in each minor sampling array, bias studies of the kind conducted for the major arrays were not possible. As mentioned earlier, however, an attempt was made to minimize bias in the design of the collector and, insofar as possible, to keep geometries alike. Although it was necessary to reinforce their mounting against blast and thermal damage on the rafts and islands (Figure 2.7), identical collectors were used for all minor arrays.

Shipboard Collections and Sea Water Sampling. The platform collections of the YAG 39 and YAG 40 may be compared with the water-sampling results reported in Reference 20, decay-tank data from the YAG 39, and in some cases with the water-sampling results from the SIO vessel Horizon (Reference 15). Strictly speaking, however, shipboard collections should not be compared with post-fallout ocean surveys, because, in general, the fallout to which the ship is exposed while attempting to maintain geographic position is not that experienced by the element of ocean in which the ship happens to be at cessation.

The analysis of an OCC collection for total fission content is straightforward, although the amount collected may be biased; the ocean surface, on the other hand, presents an ideal collector but difficult analytical problems. For example, background activities from previous shots must be known with time, position, and depth; radionuclide fractionation, with depth, resulting from leaching in sea water should be known; and the decay rates for all kinds of samples and instruments used are required. Fallout material which is fractionated differently from point-to-point in the fallout field before entry into the ocean presents an added complication.

Table 4.6 summarizes the results of the several sampling and analytical methods used. The ocean values from Reference 20 were calculated as the product of the equivalent depth of penetration (Section 3.2.5) at the ship and the surface concentration of activity (Method D). The latter was determined in every case by averaging the dip-count values of appropriate surface samples listed in Table B. 32 and converting to equivalent fissions per cubic foot. When penetration depths could not be taken from the plots of equivalent depth given in Figure B.1, however, they had to be estimated by some other means. Thus, the values for both ships during Shot Zuni were assumed to be the same as that for the YAG 39 during Shot Tewa; the value for the YAG 39 during Shot Flathead was estimated by extrapolating the equivalent depth curve, while that for the YAG 40 was taken from the same curve; and the values for the YAG 40 during Shots Navajo and Tewa were estimated from what profile data was available.

The conversion factor for each shot (fissions/(dip counts/min at 200 hours) for a standard counting volume of 2 liters) was obtained in Method I from the response of the dip counter to a known quantity of fissions. Although direct dip counts of OCC aliquots of known fission content became available at a later date (Table B.15), it was necessary at the time to derive these values from aliquots of OCC and water samples measured in a common detector, usually the well counter. The values for the decay tank listed under Method I in Table 4.6 were also obtained from dip counts of tank samples, similarly converted to fissions per cubic foot. Dip-counter response was decay-corrected to 200 hours by means of the normalized curves shown in Figure B. 14.

Another estimate of activity in the ocean was made (private communication from R. Caputi, NRDL), using the approach of planimetering the total areas of a number of probe profiles meas-
ured at late times in the region of YAG 39 operations during Shots Navajo and Tewa (Method II). (The probe profiles were provided, with background contamination subtracted out and converted from microamperes to apparent milliroentgens per hour by F. Jennings, Project 2.62a, SIO. Measurements were made from the SIO vessel Horizon.) The integrated areas were converted to fissions per square foot by applying a factor expressing probe response in fissions per cubic foot. This factor was derived from the ratio at 200 hours of surface probe readings and surface sample dip counts from the same station, after the latter had been expressed in terms of fissions using the direct dip counter-OCC fission content data mentioned above. These results are also listed in Table 4.6.

The set of values for the YAG 39 decay tank labeled Method III in the same table is based on direct radiochemical analyses of tank (and ocean surface) samples for Mo ${ }^{99}$ (Table B.30). The results of Methods I and II were obtained before these data became available and, accordingly, were accomplished without knowledge of the actual abundance distribution of molybdenum with depth in sea water.

Table 4.7 is a summary of the dip-to-fission conversion factors indicated by the results in Table B. 30; those used in Methods I and $I$ are included for comparison. It is noteworthy that, for the YAG 39, the ocean surface is always enriched in molybdenum, a result which is in agreement with the particle dissolution measurements described earlier (Figures 3.11 and 3.12); in this experiment $\mathrm{Mo}^{99}, \mathrm{~Np}^{239}$, and probably $\mathrm{I}^{131}$ were shown to begin leaching out preferentially within 10 seconds. The tank value for Shot Zuni, where the aliquot was withdrawn before acidifying or stirring, shows an enrichment factor of $\sim 3.5$ rélative to the OCC; acidification and stir- . ring at Shot Tewa eliminated the effect. The slurry fallout from Shots Flathead and Navajo, however, shows only a slight tendency to behave in this way.

Finally, Table 4.6 also lists the representative platform values obtained earlier, as well as the maximum values read from the platform-collection curves for the cases where deposition occurred under essentially single-wind conditions (Table 4.4). These values are included as a result of postoperation rainfall measurements made at NRDL (Table B.31). (Although the data have not received complete statistical analysis, the ratio of the maximum collection of rainfall by an OCC on the LST 611 platform to the average collection of a ground array of OCC trays is indicated to be $0.969 \pm 0.327$ for a variety of wind velocities (Reference 75).)

It may be seen by examination of Table 4.6 that the most serious discrepancies between ocean and shipboard collections arise in two cases: the YAG 39 during Shot Zuni, where the ocean/ OCC (maximum) ratio of $\sim 2$ may be attributed entirely to the fission/dip conversions employed -assuming the OCC value is the correct average to use for a depth profile; and the YAG 39 during Shot Navajo, where the ocean/OCC ratio is $\sim 10$, but the tank radiochemical value and the Horizon profile value almost agree within their respective limits. While the OCC value appears low in this multiwind situation, the difference between the YAG 39 and Horizon profiles may be the background correction made by SIO.

In the final analysis, the best and most complete data were obtained at the YAG 39 and Horizon stations during Shot Tewa. Here, preshot ocean surface backgrounds were negligibly small; equipment performed satisfactorily for the most part; the two vessels ran probe profiles in sight of each other; and the Horizon obtained depth samples at about the same time. The YAG 39 did not move excessively during fallout, and the water mass of interest was marked and followed by drogue buoys. In addition to the values reported in Table 4.6, the value $1.82 \times 10^{15}$ fissions $/ \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ was obtained for the depth-sample profile, using the dip-to-fission factor indicated in Table 4.7. (Because of the variations in the fission conversion factor with the fractionation exhibited from sample to sample, a comparison was made of the integral value of the dip counts (dip counts/ $\min ) / 2$ liters) feet from the depth-sample profile with the OCC YAG 39-C-21 catch expressed in similar units. The ratio ocean integral/OCC-C-21 $=1.08$ was obtained.)

It may be seen that all values for this shot and area agree remarkably well, in spite of the fact that Method I measurements extend effectively down to the thermocline, some of the Method II proflles to 500 meters, and the depth sample cast to 168 meters. If the maximum OCC catch is taken as the total fallout, then it must be concluded that essentially no activity was lost to depths greater than those indicated. Although the breakup of friable particles and dissolution
of surface-particle activity might provide an explanation, contrary evidence exists in the rapid initial settling rates observed in some profiles, the solid nature of many particles from which only $\sim 20$ percent of the activity is leachable in 48 hours, and the behavior of Zuni fallout in the YAG 39 decay tank. Relative concentrations of 34,56 , and 100 were observed for samples taken from the latter under tranquil, stirred, and stirred-plus-acidified conditions. (Based on this information and the early Shot Tewa profiles of Figure 3.10, the amount lost is estimated at about 50 percent at the YAG 39 locations in Reference 20.) If on the other hand it is assumed that a certain amount of activity was lost to greater depths, then the curious coincidence that this was nearly equal to the deficit of the maximum OCC collection must be accepted.

It is unlikely that any appreciable amount of activity was lost below the stirred layer following Shots Flathead and Navajo. No active solids other than the solids of the slurry particles, which existed almost completely in sizes too small to have settled below the observed depth in the time available, were collected during these shots (Section 3.3.2).

In view of these considerations and the relative reliability of the data (Section 4.2), it is recommended that the maximum platform collections (Table B.12) be utilized as the best estimate of the total amount of activity deposited per unit area. An error of about $\pm 50$ percent should be associated with each value, however, to allow for the uncertainties discussed above. Although strictly speaking, this procedure is applicable only in those cases where single-wind deposition prevailed, it appears from Table 4.6 that comparable accuracy may be achieved for cases of multiwind deposition by retaining the same percent error and doubling the mean platform value.
4.3.3 Gross Product Decay. The results presented in Section 3.4.6 allow computation of several other radiological properties of fission products, among them the gross decay exponent. Some discussion is warranted because of the common practice of applying a $t^{-1.2}$ decay function to any kind of shot, at any time, for any instrument.

This exponent, popularized by Reference 58,is apparently based on a theoretical approximation to the beta-decay rate of fission products made in 1947 (Reference 59), and some experimental gamma energy-emission rates cited in the same reference. Although these early theoretical results are remarkably good when restricted to the fission-product properties and times for which they were intended, they have been superseded (References 41, 60, 61, and 62); and, except for simple planning and estimating, the more-exac: results of the latter works should be used.

If fractionation occurs among the fission products, they can no longer be considered a standard entity with a fixed set of time-dependent properties; a fractionated mixture has its own set of properties which may vary over a wide range from that for normal fission products.

Another source of variation is induced activities which, contrary to Section 9.19 of Reference 47, can significantly alter both the basic fission-droduct-decav curve shave and gross property magnitudes per fission.

- The induced products contributed 63 percent of the total dose $:=2$ in the Bikini Lagoon area 110 hours after Shot Zuni; and 65 percent of the dose rate from Shot Navajo products at an age of 301 days was due to induced products, mainly $\mathrm{Mn}^{54}$ and $\mathrm{Ta}^{182}$. Although many examples could be found where induced activities are of little concern, the a priori assumption that they are of negligible importance is unsound.

Because the gross disintegration rate per fission of fission products may vary from shot to shot for the reason mentioned above, it is apparent that gamma-ray properties will also vary, and the measurement of any of these with an instrument whose response varies with photon eiergy further complicates matters.

Although inspection of any of the decay curves presented may show an approximate $t^{-1.2}$ average decay rate when the time period is judiciously chosen, it is evident that the slope is continuously changing, and more important, that the absolute values of the functions, e. ${ }^{\text {a }}$, photons per second per fission or roentgens per hour per fissions per square foot, vary considerably with sample composition.

As an example of the errors which may be introduced by indiscriminate use of the $t^{-1.2}:$.
tion or by assuming that all effects decay alike, consider the lagoon-area ionization curve for Shot Tewa (Figure 3.39) which indicates that the 1 -hour dose rate may be obtained by multiplying the 24 -hour value by 61.3. A $t^{-1.2}$ correction yields instead a factor of 45.4 ( -26 percent error), and if the doghouse-decay curve is assumed proportional to the ionization-decay curve, a factor of 28.3 ( -54 percent) results. To correct any effect to another time it is important, therefore, to use a theoretical or observed decay rate for that particular effect.
4.3.4 Fraction of Device by Chemistry and Radiochemistry. The size of any sample may be expressed as some fraction of device. In principle, any device component whose initial weight is known may serve as a fraction indicator; and in the absence of fractionation and analytical errors, all indicators would yield the same fraction for a given sample. In practice, however, only one or two of the largest inert components will yield enough material in the usual fallout sample to allow reliable measurements. These measurements also require accurate knowledge of the amount and variability of background material present, and fractionation must not be introduced in the recovery of the sample from its collector.

The net amounts of several elements collected have been given in Section 3.4.4, with an assessment of backgrounds and components of coral and sea water. The residuals of other elements are considered to be due to the device, and may therefore be converted to fraction of device (using Table B.17) and compared directly with results obtained from Mo ${ }^{99}$. This has been done for iron and uranium, with the results shown in Table 4.8. Fractions by copper proved inexplicably high (factors of 100 to 1,000 or more), as did a few unreported analyses for lead; these results have been omitted. The iron and uranium values for the largest samples are seen to compare fairly well with $\mathrm{Mo}^{99}$, while the smaller samples tend to yield erratic and unreliable results.
4.3.5 Total Dose by Dosimeter and Time-Intensity Recorder. Standard film-pack dosimeters, prepared and distributed in the field by the U.S. Army Signal Engineering Laboratories, Project 2.1, were placed af each major and minor sampling array for all shots. Following sample recovery, the film packs were returned to this project for processing and interpretation as described in Reference 76; the results appear in Table 4.9.

The geometries to which the dosimeters were exposed were always complicated and, in a few instances, varied between shots. In the case of the ship arrays, they were located on top of the TIR dome in the standard platform. On How-F and YFNB 29, Shot Zuni, they were taped to an OCC support $\sim 2$ feet above the deck of the platiorm before the recovery procedure became established. All other major array film packs were taped to the RA mast or ladder stanchion $\sim 2.5$ feet above the rim of the platform to facilitate their recovery under high-dose-rate conditions. Minor array dosimeters were located on the exterior surface of the shielding cone $\sim 4.5$ feet above the base in the case of the rafts and islands, and $\sim 5$ feet above the deck on the masts of all skiffs except Skiffs BB and DD where they were located $\sim 10$ feet above the deck on the mast for Shot Zuni; subsequently the masts were shortened for operational reasons.

Where possible, the dose recorded by the film pack is compared with the integrated TIR readings (Table B.1) for the period between the time of fallout arrival at the station and the time when the film pack was recovered; the results are shown in Table 4.9. If has already been indicated (Section 3.4.6) that the TIR records only a portion of the total dose in a given radiation field because of its construction features and response characteristics. This is borne out by Table 4.10, which summarizes the percentages of the film dose represented in each case by the TIR dose.

It is interesting to observe that for the ships, where the geometry was essentially constant, this percentage remains much the same for all shots except Navajo, where it is consistently low. The same appears to be generally true for the barge platiorms, although the results are much more difficult to evaluate. A possible explanation may lie in the energy-response curves of the TIR and film dosimeter, because Navajo fallout at early times contained $\mathrm{Mn}^{56}$ and $\mathrm{Na}^{26}$ -both of which emit hard gamma rays - while these were of little importance or absent in the other shots.
4.3.6 Radiochemistry-Spectrometry Comparison. Calibrated spectrometer measurements on samples of known fission content allow expected counting rates to be computed for the samples in any gamma counter for which the response is simply related to the gross photon frequency and energy. Accordingly, the counting rate of the doghouse counter was computed for the stand-ard-cloud samples by application of the calibration curve (Reference 43) to the spectral lines and frequencies reported in Reference 57 and reproduced in Table B.20. These results are compared with observations in Table 4.11, as well as with those obtained previously using radiochemical-input information with the same calibration curve. Cloud samples were chosen, because the same physical sample was counted both in the spectrometer and doghouse counter, thereby avoiding uncertainties in composition or fission content introduced by aliquoting or other handling processes.

Several of the spectrometers used by the project were uncalibrated, that is, the relation between the absolute number of source photons emitted per unit time at energy $E$ and the resulting pulse-height spectrum was unknown. A comparison method of analysis was applied in these cases, requiring the area of a semi-isolated reference photopeak, whose nuclide source was known, toward the high-energy end of the spectrum. From this the number of photons per seconds per fissions per area can be computed. The area of the photopeak ascribed to the induced product, when roughly corrected by assuming efficiency to be inversely proportional to energy, yields photons per seconds per fissions. The latter quantity leads serially, via the decay scheme, to disintegration rate per fission at the time of measurement, then to atoms at zero time per fission, which is the desired product/fission ratio. The ${ }^{r}$ $\qquad$ line at 0.76 Mev provides a satisfactory reference from $\sim 30$ days to 2 years, but the gross spectra are usually not simple enough to permit use of this procedure untll an age of $\sim 1 / 2$ year has been reached.

A few tracings of the recorded spectra appear in Figure 4.15, showing the peaks ascribed to the nuclides of Table 3.20. Wherever possible, spectra at different ages were examined to insure proper half-life behavior, as in the $\mathrm{Mn}^{56}$ illustration. The Zuni cloud-sample spectrum at 226 days also showed the $1.7-\mathrm{Mev}$ line of $\mathrm{Sb}^{124}$, though not reproduced in the figure. This line was barely detectable in the How Island spectrum, shown for comparison, and the $0.60-\mathrm{Mev}$ line of $\mathrm{Sb}^{124}$ could not be detected at all.

Average energies, photon-decay rates and other gamma-ray properties have been computed from the reduced spectral data in Table B. 20 and appear in Table B.21.
4.3.7 Air Sampling. As mentioned earlier, a prototype instrument known as the high volume filter (HVF) was proof-tested during the operation on the ship-array platforms. This instrument, whose intended function was incremental aerosol sampling, is described in Section 2.2. All units were oriented fore and aft in the bow region of the platform between the two IC's shown in Figure A.1. The sampling heads opened vertically upward, with the plane of the filter horizontal, and the airflow rate was $10 \mathrm{ft}^{3} /$ min over a filter area of $0.0670 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$, producing a face velocity of 1.7 mph.

The instruments were manually operated according to a fixed routine from the secondary control room of the ship; the first filter was opened when fallout was detected and left open until the TIR reading on the deck reached $\sim 1 \mathrm{r} / \mathrm{hr}$; the second through the seventh filters were exposed for $1 / 2$-hour intervals, and the last filter was kept open until it was evident that the fallout rate had reached a very low level. This plan was intended to provide a sequence of relative air concentration measurements during the fallout period, although when $1 \mathrm{r} / \mathrm{hr}$ was not reached only one filter was exposed. Theoretically, removal of the dimethylterephalate filter material by sublimation will allow recovery of an unaltered, concentrated sample; in practice however, the sublimation process is so slow that it was not attempted for this operation.

After the sampling heads had been returned to NRDL, the filter material containing the activity was removed as completely as possible and measured in the $4-\pi$ ionization chamber; these data are summarized in Table B.36. It may be seen that the indicated arrival characteristics generally correspond with those shown in Figures 3.1 to 3.4 .

A comparative study was also made for some shots of the total number of fissions per square foot collected by HVF's, IC's, and OCC's located on the same platform. Ionization-chamber
activities were converted to fissions by means of aliquots from OCC YAG 39-C-21, Shots Flathead and Navajo, and YAG 40-B-6, Shot Zuni, which had been analyzed for Mo ${ }^{99}$. It may be seen in Table 4.12 that, with one exception, the HVF collected about the same or less activity than the other two instruments. In view of the horizontal aspect of the filter and the low airflow rate used, there is little question that the majority of the activity the HVF collected was due to fallout. The resuits obtained should not, therefore, be interpreted as an independent aerosol bazard.

TABLE 4.1 ACTIVITY PER UNIT AREA FOR SKIFF STATIONS, SHOT CHEROKEE

No fallout was collected on the skiffs omitted from the table.

| Station | Dip counts/min at | $\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{hr}$ | Approximate fissions/ $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AA | 3,094 | 196.6 | $2.5 \times 10^{10}$ |
| BB | 3,094 | 196.6 | $2.5 \times 10^{18}$ |
| CC | 4,459 | 150.3 | $2.8 \times 10^{10}$ |
| DD | 8,885 | 214.2 | $8.7 \times 10^{10}$ |
| GG | 5,720 | 196.2 | $4.6 \times 10^{10}$ |
| HH | 858 | 196.1 | $6.9 \times 10^{9}$ |
| MM | 8,783 | 214.0 | $7.7 \times 10^{20}$ |
| vv | 452 | 432.0 | $8.0 \times 10^{2}$ |

TABLE 4.2 EVALUATION OF MEASUREMENT AND DATA RELABILTTY

| Clasa | Meanurement | Inatrument | Commants |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Station location, ships | - | $\pm 500$ to 1,000 yards. |
| A | Station location, skiffs |  | $\pm 1,000$ yards. |
| A-C | Time of arrival | TIR | Arbitrary selection of algnificant increace above background. |
| A-C | Time of arrival | IC | Uncertainty in first tray aignificantly above background; arrival uncertain within time interval tray exposed. |
| A-D | Time of arrival | TOAD | Uncertatn for inftially low rates of field increase; malfunctions on sldffs; clockreading difficultios. |
| A | Time of peak ionization rate | TIR | - |
| A-C | Time of peak fallout arrival rate | IC | Uncertain for protracted fallout duration and sharp doposition rate peake. |
| D | Thme of cessation | THR | Depende on knowledge of decay rate of residual material. |
| B-D | Time of cessation | IC | Rata plot for protracted fallout and fallout with sharp deposition-rate peake may continue to end of exposure period; cumulative activity slope approscher 1. |
| C | Ionization rate, in situ | TIR | Poor directional-energy response (Appendix A2); variations in calibration; poor interchamber agreement. |
| C | Apparent ionization rate, in ocesn | SIO-P | Callbration variable, mechanical difficuities. |
| c | Apparent ionization rate, in tank | SIO-D | Callbration vartable, electrical difficulties. |
| N | Ionization rate, above sea surface | NYO-M | High self-contamination obeerved. |
| B | Ionization rate, in eitu | TIB, Cutie Pie | Calibration for point source in calibration direction; readinge $\boldsymbol{\sim} \mathbf{2 0}$ percent low above extended source. |
| c | Total doee | TIR | See above: Ionization rate, TIR. |
| N | Total doee | ESL film pack | Assumed $\pm 20$ percent. |
| D | Weight of fallout/aren | OCC | Biae uncertainty (Section 4.3.2); variability of background collections; see below: Elemental composition, fallout. |
| D | Fraction of device/area (Fe, U) | OCC | Biss uncertainty (Section 4.3.2); uncertainty of indicator abundance in device surroundings; see below: Elemental comporition, fallout. |
| D | Original coral-sea-water constituents | OCC | Variations in atoll, reef, and lagoon bottom composition; see below: Elemental composition, fallout. |
| C | Fissions and fraction of device/area ( $\mathrm{Mo}^{\boldsymbol{*}}$ ) | OCC | Bial uncertainty (Section 4.3.2); device fission yield uncertainty. |
| D | Fissions/area | SIO-P, dip | Uncertainties in dip to fission conversion factor, ocean backgrounds, fractionation of radionuclides, motion of water; see above Apparent ionization rate, in ocean. |

TABLE 42 CONTINUED
II. Laboratory Activity Measurements.

| Clasa | Masturement | Sample | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Gamma activity, doghouse | $\begin{gathered} O C C, A O C_{1} \\ A O C_{1}-B \end{gathered}$ | Precision better than $\pm 5$ percent, except for end portion of decay curves. |
| A-C | Gamma activity, dip | $A O C_{2}$ aliquots, tank, sea water | Aliquoting uncertainty with occasional presence of solids in high specific-activity sample. |
| A | Gamma activity, end-window | IC trays | Prection better than $\pm 5$ percent. |
| A | Gamma activity, well | Individual particles, allquots of most samples | Precision for single particles $\pm 3$ percent (Reference 26). |
| B | Gamma activity, 4-7 Ion chamber | Aliquots of most samples | Some skill required in operation; precision * 5 to 20 percent at twice background (Reference 26). |
| A | Mos assay, radiocbemical | OCC, eloud | Accuracy $\pm 10$ percent (Reference 34). |
| B | Radiochemical R-values, product/fission ratios | OCC, cloud | Accuracy of nuclide determination $\pm 20$ to 25 percent (Reference 34). |
| D | Spectrometry R-values, product/fiesion ratios | OCC, cloud, IC | Factor of 2 or 3; misidentification possible. |
| A | Relative decay rates, all instruments | All required | With fow exceptions, necessary decay corrections made from observed decay rates of appropriate samples in counters desired. |

III. Laboratory Physical and Chemical Meanurement:

| Clasa | Meanurement | Sample | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Chloride content, slurry drops | IC reagent film | Accuracy $\pm 5$ percent (Reference 31). |
| B | Water volume, slurry dropa | IC reagent film | Accuracy $\pm 25$ percent (Reference 31). |
| D | Identification, compounde and elomente of aluriy solida | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IC reagent filme, } \\ & \text { OCC } \end{aligned}$ | Poasible miaidentification; small samples, smal' number of samplos. |
| A | Solid particle weights | IC traym, OCC, unscheduled | Accuracy and precision $\pm 5 \mu \mathrm{~g}$, leading to $\pm 1$ percent or better on most particles (Reference 26). |
| A | Solid particle densities | IC traye, OCC, unacheduled | Precision better than $\pm 5$ percent. |
| C | Elemental composition, fallout | OCC | Large deviations in composition from duplicate trays; recovery loms, and possible fractionation, ~ 40 mg; honeycomb interference. |
| D | Identification, compounde and oloments of slurry sollde | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IC reagent film, } \\ & \text { OCC } \end{aligned}$ | Possible misidentification; small samples; arr ill number of samples. |
| B-C | Particle size-frequency distributions, concentrations and relative weighte veraus time | IC trays | Difficulties in recognition of discrete particles, treatment of flaky or aggregated particles; uncertain application of defined diameter to terminal-velocity equations; tray background and photographic resolution in smaller size ranges. |


| Clasa | Item | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A-C | Gamma-ray docay schemes | Amount of decay schome data available dependent on particular nuclide. |
| A-B | Fission-product-disintagration rates | About $\pm 20$ percent for time period conaidered (Reference 41). |
| N | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Computed } \frac{\text { r/hr at } 3 \text { tt above infinite plane }}{\text { photon/tims/area }} \\ & \text { versus photon energy } \end{aligned}$ | Error asaumed small compared to errors in fallout coocentration, radionuclide composition, and decay scbeme data. |
| B | Absolute calibration, beta counter | Personal communication from J. Mackin, NRDL. |
| B | Absolute calibration, doghouse counter | Uncertainty in diaintegration rate of calibrating nuclides; dependence on gamma-ray decay schemes. |

TABLE 4.3 COMPARISON OF PREDICTED AND ORSERVED TIMES OF ARRIVAL AND MAXIMUM PARTICLE-SIZE VARIATION WITH TIME

| Shot * | Station | Time of Arrival |  | Maximum Particle Size (microns) at |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Predicted Observed $\dagger$ |  | Time of Arrival |  | Time of Peak Activity $\dagger$ |  | Time of Cessation $\dagger$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Predicted | Observed $\ddagger$ | Predicted | Observed $\ddagger$ | Predicted | Observed $\ddagger$ |
| TSD, hr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flathead | YFNB 13 | 8 | 0.35 | - . | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | How I | 1 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | YAG 39 | 3 | 4.5 | 200 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - |
|  | YAG 40 | 9 | 8.0 | 125 | - | 70 | 120 | $<70$ | - |
|  | LST 611 | 6 | 6.6 | 120 | 112 | 1 | - | 1 | - |
| Navajo | YFNB 13 | $<0.5$ | 0.20 | > 1,000 | - | $>1,000$ | - | - | - |
|  | How 1 | 1.5 | 0.75 | 500 | - | 500 | - | 1 | - |
|  | YAG 39 | 2 | 2.3 | 500 | - | 180 | - | $\sim 100$ | - |
|  | YAG 40 | 4 | 6.0 | 200 | - | 130 | 96 | $\sim 75$ | 84 |
|  | LST 611 | 3 | 3.0 | 300 | - | 180 | 166 | - | - |
| Zuni | YFNB 13 | $<1$ | 0.33 | 500 | 1,400 | 500 | 695 | 500 | 545 |
|  | How I | < 1.5 | 0.38 | $>500$ | - | $>500$ | 365 | $>500$ | - |
|  | YAG 40 | $\sim 6$ | 3.4 | 8 | 325 | 150 | 300 | 125 | 245 |
|  | YAG 39 | 9 | 12 | 100 | - | 1 | - | T | -- |
|  | LST 611 | 8 | 1 | - | - | - | 一- | - | - |
| Tewa | YFNB 13 | < 0.5 | 0.25 | 2,000 | 285 | 350 | - | 1 | - |
|  | YFNB 29 | $<1$ | 0.23 | 800 | 1,100 | 500 | 1,000 | 1 | - |
|  | How I | 1 | 1.6 | 1,000 | 205 | 250 | 285 | 1 | - |
|  | YAG 39 | 2 | 2.0 | 500 | - | 180 | 395 | 1 | - |
|  | YAG 40 | 3.5 | 4.4 | 200 | - | 100 | 285 | 90 | 255 |
|  | LST 611 | 7 | 7.0 | 150 | 285 | 80 | 205 | - | - |


$\dagger$ Table 3.1.
$\ddagger$ Section 3.2.4 and Tables B. 3 and B.5.
8 No fallout, or no fallout at reference time.
IFallout completed by reference time.
table 4.4 RElative bias of standard-platform collections


* Very light or no fallout occurred. $\quad \dagger$ Instrument malfunction; analysis not attempted.

1 Vectorial analysis not attempted.

TABLE 4.5 COMPARISON OF HOW ISLAND COLLECTIONS

| Shot | Standard Platform | Buried Trays | AOC $_{2}$ | Platform/Buried Trays |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | weighted mean flssions $/ \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ | weighted mean fissions $/ \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ | fissions $/ \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |  |
| Zuni | $2.07 \pm 0.47 \times 10^{16}$ | $2.08 \pm 0.22 \times 10^{14}$ | $1.87 \times 10^{14}$ | $0.995 \pm 0.249$ |
| Flathead | $6.14 \pm 2.72 \times 10^{10} *$ | $\dagger$ | $2.16 \times 10^{10}$ | - |
| Navajo | $1.49 \pm 0.17 \times 10^{12}$ | $1.24 \pm 0.51 \times 10^{12}$ | $2.67 \times 10^{11}$ | $1.202 \pm 0.512$ |
| Tewa | $2.61 \pm 0.49 \times 10^{13}$ | $2.30 \pm 0.35 \times 10^{13}$ | $1.53 \times 10^{13}$ | $1.135 \pm 0.274$ |

* Mean of six total collectors.
$\dagger$ No activity reaolvable from Zunl background.


## TABLE 4.6 SURFACE DENSITY OF ACTIVITY DEPOSITED ON THE OCEAN

| Shot | Station | Ocean, Probe Analysis |  | Decay Tank, Y AG 39 |  | OCC, Ship Platform |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Method I | Method II | Method I | Method III | Welghted Mean | Maximum Extrapolation |
|  |  | fiscions/ft ${ }^{2}$ |  | fissions/ft ${ }^{2}$ |  | fissions/ft ${ }^{2}$ |  |
| Zund | YAG 39 | $9 \times 10^{12}+$ | - | $8.3 \times 10^{12}$ | - | $2.74 \pm 1.70 \times 10^{12}$ | $5.02 \times 10^{12}$ |
|  | Y AG 40 | $1 \times 10^{14} \ddagger$ | - | - | - | $3.67 \pm 0.95 \times 10^{16}$ | - |
| Flathead | Y AG $39^{\circ}$ | $1.1 \times 10^{13}$ | - | $7.0 \times 10^{12}$ | $6.96 \pm 2.89 \times 10^{12}$ | $4.36 \pm 2.32 \times 10^{12}$ | - |
|  | YAG 40 | $3 \times 10^{13}$ | - | - | - | $1.55 \pm 1.27 \times 10^{13}$ | $3.15 \times 10^{13}$ |
| Navajo | YAG 39 | $1.6 \times 10^{14}$ | - | $5.2 \times 10^{18}$ | $3.40 \pm 0.72 \times 10^{13}$ | $1.54 \pm 0.41 \times 10^{13}$ | $3.15 \times 10^{13}$ |
|  | Horizon | - | $5.98 \pm 1.02 \times 10^{13}$ | - | $\cdots-$ | - | - |
|  | YAG 40 | $4.4 \times 10^{13}$ | - | - | - | $6.05 \pm 1.26 \times 10^{12}$ | - |
| Tewa | YAG 30 | $2.2 \times 10^{15}$ |  | $3.6 \times 10^{15}$ | $2.75 \pm 0.88 \times 10^{15}$ | $1.11 \pm 0.76 \times 10^{15}$ | $2.08 \times 10^{15}$ |
|  | Horizon | - | $3.00 \pm 0.77 \times 10^{15}$ T | - | - | - |  |
|  | Y AG 40 | $1.1 \times 10^{15} \dagger$ | - | - | - | $4.70 \pm 3.20 \times 10^{14}$ | $8.85 \times 10^{14}$ |

* For cases of essentially single-wind deposition.
$\dagger$ Not corrected for material possibly lost by settling below stirred layer.
$\ddagger$ Considerable motion of shlp during lallout pertod.
1 Average of profiles taken at Horizon atations 4, 4A, 5, 7, and 8 from 18.6 to 34.3 hours (Table B.33).
I Average of profiles taken at Horizon stations 2-5, 6A, 6, and 12 from 21.3 to 81.2 hours (Table B.33).

TABLE 4.7 DIP-COUNTER CONVERSION FACTORS
Unless otherwise noted, all factors given are based on a direct dip count and radiochemical analysis for Mo". Sample designators and bottio numberi are given in parentheses.

| Station | Source | Shot Zuni | Shot Flathead | Shot Navajo | Shot Tewa |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\times 10^{6}$ | $\times 10^{6}$ | $\times 10^{6}$ | $\times 10^{6}$ |  |

A. Fissions/(dip counta/min at 100 hrs )

| YAG 39 | OCC | 0.530 (C-21)* | 0.945 (C-21) | 1.285 (C-21) | 1.02 (C-21) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dacay tank | 1.853 (T-1B, 8,035) $\dagger$ | 0.774 (T-1B, 8,549) | 0.960 (T-3B, 8,585) | 0.645 (T-1B, 8,350) |
|  | Ocean surface | 4.537 (S-1B, 8,030) | 1.137 (S-1B, 8,544) | 1.430 (S-3B, 8,581) | 1.525 (S-1B, 8,326) |
| Y AG 40 | Occ | 1.02 (B-6) | 1.006 (B-4)* | 1.248 (B-4)* | 0.817 (B-4)* |
|  | Ocean surface | 0.906 (S-1B, 8,254) | - | - | 1.709 (S-2B, 8,289) |
| McGinty | Ocean surface | - | - | 0.726 (MS-5A, 8,052) | - |
|  | Ocean surface | - | - | 1.09 (MS-5B, 8,053) | - |
| B. Fissions/(dip counts/min at 200 hrs ) $\ddagger$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Y AG 39 | OCC | 1.37 | 2.16 | 3.38 | 2.45 |
|  | Decay tank | 4.80 | 1.77 | 2.51 | 1.55 |
|  | Ocean surface | 11.75 | 2.61 | 3.73 | 3.66 |
|  | Method I | 2.33 | 2.46 | 4.03 | 2.46 |
|  | Method II |  |  | $3.23 \pm 0.39$ | $2.90 \pm 0.51$ |

* No OCC aliquot counted in dip counter; computed from Table B. 13 and doghouse/dip average ratio in Table B.15.
$t$ Tank unacidified and unstirred when sample taken.
$\ddagger$ Values in A corrected to 200 hours by average photon-decay factors 2.59, 2.29, 2.61, and 2.40 for Shots Zuni, Flathead, Navajo, and Tewa, respectively. These decay-curve shapes are practically identical to those shown in Figure B. 14 over this time period.


| Station | Shot Zun! |  |  | Shot Flathead |  |  | Shot Navajo |  |  | Shot Tewa |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Film Dose | TIR Dose | $\begin{gathered} \text { Exposure } \\ \text { Time } \end{gathered}$ | Film Dobe | TIR Dose | $\begin{gathered} \text { Expoaure } \\ \text { Time } \end{gathered}$ | Fllm Dose | TIR Dose | $\begin{gathered} \text { Exposura } \\ \text { Time } \end{gathered}$ | Film Dose | TUM Dose | $\begin{gathered} \text { Exponuru } \\ \text { Time } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{r}$ | r | to $\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{hr}$ | $\mathbf{r}$ | $r$ | to $\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{hr}$ | r | r | to $\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{hr}$ | r | $r$ | to $\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{hr}$ |
| Y AG 40-B | 30 | 19.8 | 28.2 | 2.5 | 1.7 | 33.6 | 1.77 | 0.8 | 32.8 | 41.6 | 31.0 | 32.6 |
| Y AG 39-C | 0.2 | 0.2 | 34.6 | 0.05 | 0.5 | 26.1 | 10 | 4.6 | 50.3 | 68 | 67.0 | 51.3 |
| LST 611-D | < 0.05 | 0.0 | 62.0 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 51.6 | 0.81 | 0.3 | 26.6 | 3.62 | 3.4 | 31.7 |
| YFNB 13-E | 44 | 17.8* | 26.7 | 400 | 74.6* | 26.7 | 68.5 | 13.7 | 58.3 | 20.3 | 8.7 | 7.8 |
| YFNB 29 -G | 20 | 23.6 | 6.9 | 7.5 | 3.7 | 5.7 | 1.64 | 0.2 | 6.5 | 310 | 158.0* | 51.1 |
| YFNB 29-H | 43 | 41.7 | 27.7 | 12 | 3.9 | 25.9 | 1.65 | 0.7 | 5.5 | 320 | 284.0* | 75.6 |
| How $\mathbf{F}$ | 19 | 6.7 | 11.1 | 0.22 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 1.82 | $\dagger$ | 6.7 | 4.5 | 0.8 | 8.3 |
| How K | 51 | - | 30.2 | 3.1 | - | 6.3 | 3.37 | - | 10.7 | 6.7 | - | 8.4 |
| George L | 260 | - | 32.7 | 230 | - | 31.7 | 150 | - | 32.5 | $\dagger$ | - | $\dagger$ |
| Charlie M | - | - | - | - | - | - | 107 | - | 32.7 | $\dagger$ | - | $\dagger$ |
| William M | 110 | - | 31.6 | 5.2 | - | 30.9 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Raft 1 | 25 | - | 30.8 | 1.5 | - | 29.4 | 1.32 | - | 27.3 | 3.35 | - | 31.7 |
| Raft 2 | 40 | - | 29.8 | 24 | - | 28.6 | 4.62 | - | 28.1 | 45.5 | - | 32.3 |
| Raft 3 | 34 | - | 28.6 | 19 | - | 27.8 | 16.1 | - | 28.8 | 204 | - | 33 |
| $\stackrel{\sim}{\omega}$ Skiff AA | 17 | - | 52.1 | 25 | - | 24.2 | 13.2 | - | 59.9 | 45.5 | - | 63.25 |
| $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ Skiff BB | 33 | - | 56.9 | 59 | - | 28.3 | $\dagger$ | - | $\dagger$ | 141 | - | 37.9 |
| Skiff CC | 20 | - | 72.9 | 9.4 | - | 30.6 | 5.2 | - | 53.2 | 42.5 | - | 36.6 |
| Skiff DD | 17 | - | 74.6 | $\dagger$ | - | $t$ | 2.56 | - | 50.3 | 1.28 | - | 33.4 |
| Skiff EE | 2.3 | - | 171.9 | 0.6 | - | 48.4 | 1.45 | - | 48.8 | 9.87 | - | 31.7 |
| Skiff FF | $\ddagger$ | - | $\ddagger$ | 1.1 | - | 55.1 | 0.56 | - | 29.3 | 0.3 | - | 26.5 |
| $\square^{\text {Skiff GG }}$ | 10 | - | 59.3 | $\ddagger$ | - | $\ddagger$ | - | - | - | 295 | - | 60.1 |
| D Skiff HH | 16 | - | 60.8 | 20 | - | 32.7 | 29.5 | - | 52.3 | 61 | - | 39.8 |
| - Skiff KK | 6.8 | - | 75.7 | 2.0 | - | 51.4 | 6.3 | - | 33.0 | 0.62 | - | 34.7 |
| - Skiff LL | $\dagger$ | - | $\dagger$ | 1.0 | - | 53.4 | 2.05 | - | 31.0 | 1.40 | - | 29.8 |
| $\underset{\omega}{\omega}$ Skiff MM | 1.8 | - | 50.1 | $\pm$ | - | $\ddagger$ | $\dagger$ | - | $\dagger$ | 410 | - | 61.5 |
| $O$ Skiff PP | - | - | S | 16 | - | 34.8 | 77 | - | 35.4 | 60 | - | 58.3 |
| / Skiff RR | 2.4 | - | 77.1 | 2.0 | - | 60.8 | 11.7 | - | 33.8 | 0.6 | - | 41.9 |
| Skiff SS | 1.1 | - | 155.3 | 3.6 | - | 58.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Skiff TT | 1.2 | - | 168.7 | 1.2 | - | 56.4 | 1.09 | - | 27.8 | 0.3 | - | 28.0 |
| O Skiff UU | t | - | $\dagger$ | 0.45 | - | 59.3 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\frac{7}{0}$ Skiff VV | $t$ | - | $\dagger$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 8. Skiff ww | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | 154 | - | 56.7 |
| Skiff $\mathbf{X X}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2.05 | - | 54.6 |
| Skiff YY | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1.41 | - | 52.6 |

[^0]t Instrument malfunctioned or lost.
$\ddagger$ Not Instrumented.

TABLE 4.10 PERCENT OF FILM DOSLMETER READING RECORDED BY TIR

| Station | Shot Zuni | Shot Flathead | Saot Navajo | Shot Tewa |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | pct | pct | pct | pct |
| YAG 40-B | 66 | 68 | 45 | 75 |
| YAG 39-C | 100 | $\sim 100$ | 46 | 97 |
| LST 611-D |  | 76 | 37 | 94 |
| YFNB 13-E | $41 \dagger$ | $19 \dagger$ | 20 | 43 |
| YFNB 29-G | $\sim 100 \ddagger$ | 49 | 12 | $51 \dagger$ |
| YFNB 29-H | 97 | 32 | 42 | $89 \dagger$ |
| How F | $35!$ |  | 5 | 18 |

* No fallout occurred.
+ TLR saturated.
$\ddagger$ Dosimeter location varied from other shots.
5 Instrument malfunctioned.

TABLE 4.11 COMPARISON OF THEORETICAL DOGHOUSE ACTIVITY OF STANDARDCLOUD SAMPLES BY GAMMA SPECTROMETRY AND RADIOCHEMISTRY

| Time of Spectral Run | Obeerved Doghouse Activity | Computed Activity and Errora |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Spectrometer | Error | Radiocbemical | Error |
| $\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{hr}$ | counts/min | counts/min | pet | counte/min | pet |
| Shot Zuni Standard Cloud, $9.84 \times 10^{12}$ fiseiona |  |  |  |  |  |
| 53 | 142,500 | 95,300 | -33.1 | 163,541 | +14.8 |
| 117 | 70,000 | 47,450 | -32.2 | 74,981 | +7.11 |
| 242 | 26,700 | 20,640 | -22.7 | 29,107 | +9.01 |
| 454 | 0,500 | 7,516 | -20.9 | 10,745 | +13.1 |
| 790 | 3,700 | 3,790 | +2.43 | 4,546 | +22.9 |
| 1,295 | 1,550 | 1,973 | +27.3 | 1,984 | +28.0 |
| Shot Flathead Standard Cloud, $2.79 \times 10^{13}$ fissiona |  |  |  |  |  |
| 96.5 | 171,000 | 142,090 | -16.9 | 154,008 | -9.93 |
| 195 | 72,000 | 51,490 | -28.5 | 66,960 | -7.00 |
| 262 | 45,000 | 29,850 | -33.7 | 43,022 | -4.39 |
| 334 | 30,500 | 22,760 | -25.4 | 29,128 | -4.49 |
| 435 | 19,300 | 14,920 | -22.7 | 19,084 | -1.11 |
| 718 | 8,200 | 6,778 | -17.3 | 7,985 | -2.62 |
| 1,031 | 4,400 | 3,341 | -22.5 | 4,152 | -5.63 |
| 1,558 | 2,130 | 2,243 | +5.31 | 2,076 | -2.53 |
| Shot Navajo Standard Cloud, $3.46 \times 10^{12}$ fisatons |  |  |  |  |  |
| 51.5 | 34,000 | 27,470 | -19.2 | 31,350 | -7.79 |
| 69 | 25,500 | 20,724 | -18.7 | 22,630 | -11.3 |
| 141 | 11,000 | 2,432 | -14.2 | 9,757 | -11.3 |
| 191 | 7,000 | 7,411 | +5.87 | 6290 | -10.1 |
| 315 | 3,050 | 2,834 | -7.08 | 2,927 | -4.03 |
| 645 | 980 | 958 | -2.24 | 1,038 | + 5.92 |
| Shot Tewa Standard Cloud, $4.71 \times 10^{18} \mathrm{fisatons}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| 71.5 | 442,000 | 244,930 | -44.6 | 429,600 | -2.81 |
| 93.5 | 337,000 | 194,170 | -42.4 | 325,000 | -3.56 |
| 117 | 262,000 | 157,890 | -39.7 | 255,800 | -2.37 |
| 165 | 169,000 | 134,910 | -20.2 | 161,000 | -4.73 |
| 240 - | 97,000 | 74,780 | -22.8 | 91,000 | -6.19 |
| 334 | 54,000 | 38,770 | -28.2 | 52,280 | -3.19 |
| 429 | 34,500 | 25,200 | -27.0 | 33,200 | -3.77 |
| 579 | 20,200 | 14,770 | -26.9 | 19,640 | -2.77 |
| 766 | 12,400 | 10,860 | -12.4 | 12,150 | -2.02 |
| 1,269 | 5,200 | 5,660 | +8.85 | 4,974 | -4.35 |
| 1,511 | 3,850 | 4,550 | +18.2 | 3,759 | -2.36 |

TABLE 4.12 COMPARISON OF ACTIVITIES PER UNIT AREA COLLECTED BY THE HIGH VOLUME FILTER AND OTHER SAMPLING INSTRUMENTS

| Shot | Designation and Exposure Period, $\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{hr}$ |  |  |  |  | Fisalons $/ \mathrm{ft}^{2}\left(\mathrm{Mo}^{\text {¹ }}\right.$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HVF |  | IC | , | OCC and $\mathrm{AOC}_{1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { HVF (area } \\ & 0.06696 \mathrm{ft}^{2} \text { ) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IC (area }= \\ & \left.0.05584 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $O C C$ and $A O C_{1}$ (area $=2.60 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ ) |
| Zund | Yag 40-B-9 | 3.4 to 4.8 |  |  |  | $10.14 \times 10^{13}$ |  |  |
|  | YAG 40-B-10 | 5.3 |  |  |  | 23.48 |  |  |
|  | Y AG 40-B-11 | 5.8 |  |  |  | 23.73 |  |  |
|  | YAG 40-B-12 | 6.3 |  |  |  | 21.79 |  |  |
|  | YAG $40-\mathrm{B}-13$ | 6.8 |  |  |  | 6.42 |  |  |
|  | YAG 40-B-14 | 7.3 |  |  |  | 6.93 |  |  |
|  | YAG 40-B-15 | 7.8 |  |  |  | 0.39 |  |  |
|  | YAG 40-B-8 | 16.4 |  |  |  | 3.97 |  |  |
|  | -HVF to | 16.4 | Y AG 40-B-7 | to 15.6 | To 16.3 and 28.2* | $9.68 \times 10^{14}$ | $6.06 \times 10^{14}$ | $3.71 \pm 0.88 \times 10^{14}$ |
| Flathead | YAG 40-B-8 | to 26.4 | Y AG 40-B-7 | to 19.9 | To 26.4 | $2.03 \times 10^{12}$ | $3.87 \times 10^{12}$ | $16.3 \pm 13.4 \times 10^{12}$ |
|  | YAG 39-C-25 | to 26.1 | YAG 39-C-20 | to 18.2 | To 23.8 | $1.67 \times 10^{12} \dagger$ | $4.85 \times 10^{12}$ | $4.37 \pm 2.37 \times 10^{12}$ |
| Navajo | Y 4 G 40-B-8 | to 19.1 | Y AG 40-B-7 | to 15.5 | To 8.7 and 19.7* | $3.72 \times 10^{12}$ | $3.70 \times 10^{12}$ | $6.08 \pm 1.26 \times 10^{12}$ |
|  | Y AG 39-C-25 | to cessation | YAG 39-C-20 | to 16.1 | To 15.9 and 24.1* | $5.50 \times 10^{12}$ | $11.9 \times 10^{12}$ | $14.6 \pm 3.5 \times 10^{12}$ |

* Short-exposure trays as active as long.
$\dagger$ DMT apilled on recovery.

TABLE 4.13 NORMALIZED IONIZATION RATE (SC), CONTAMINATION INDEX, AND YIELD RATIO

A number in parentheses indicates the number of zeros between the decimal point and first significant figure.

| Shot | Age | $\mathrm{r} / \mathrm{hr}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | fissions/ $/ \mathrm{ft}^{\mathbf{2}}$ |
| Hypothetical, 100 pct fission, unfractionated fission producta, no induced activities | 1.12 hrs | (12)6254 |
|  | 1.45 days | (14)6734 |
|  | 9.82 days | (15)6748 |
|  | 30.9 days | (15)1816 |
|  | 97.3 days | (16)3713 |
|  | 301 days | (17)5097 |
| Zuni, lagoon-area composition | 1.12 hrs | (12)3356 ${ }^{\circ}$ |
|  | 1.45 days | (14)4134 |
|  | 9.82 day | (15)3197 |
|  | 30.9 days | (16)9165 |
|  | 97.3 days | (16)4097 |
|  | 301 days | (17)7607 |
| Zuni, cloud composition | 1.12 hrs | (12)7093 |
|  | 1.45 days | (13)1407 |
|  | 9.82 days | (14)1766 |
|  | 30.9 days | (15)4430 |
|  | 97.3 day | (16)8755 |
|  | 301 days | (16)1121 |
| Flathead, average composition | 1.12 hrs | (12)5591 |
|  | 1.45 days | (14)6994 |
|  | 9.82 days | (15)7924 |
|  | 30.9 days | (15)1893 |
|  | 97.3 days | (16)3832 |
|  | 301 day | (17)5230 |
| Navajo, average composition | $1.12 \mathrm{hrs}$ | (12)6864 |
|  | 1.45 daye | (14)9481 |
|  | 9.82 days | (15)7816 |
|  | 30.9 dxy | (15)2160 |
|  | 97.3 day | (16)5933 |
|  | 301 days | (16)1477 |
| Tewa, lagoon-area composition | 1.12 hrs | (12)3321 |
|  | 1.45 days | (14)3564 |
|  | 9.82 days | (15)3456 |
|  | 30.9 days | (16)9158 |
|  | 97.3 days | (16)2843 |
|  | 301 days | (17)4208 |
| Tewa, cloud and outer fallout comporition | 1.12 hrs | (12)6446 |
|  | 1.45 days | (14)8913 |
|  | 9.82 daye | (15)8670 |
| - | 30.9 days | (15)1971 |
|  | 97.3 days | (16)4019 |
|  | 301 daye | (17)6009 |

* Ratio of ( $\mathrm{r} / \mathrm{hr}$ )/(Mt(total)/ft ${ }^{2}$ ) at $t$ for device to $(\mathrm{r} / \mathrm{hr}) /\left(\mathrm{Mt}(\mathrm{total}) / \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$ at $t$ for hypothetical device.


Figure 4.1 . Approximate station locations and predicted fallout pattern, Shot Cherokee.


Figure 4.2 Survey-meter measurement of rate of arrival on YAG 40, Shot Cherokee.


Figure 4.6 Predicted and observed fallout pattern, Shot Flathead.


Figure 4.7 Predicted and observed fallout pattern, Shot Navajo.


Flgure 1.8 Predicted end pbegred fallout patterne khot zunia


Figure 4.9 Predicted and observed fallout pattern, Shot Tewa.


Figure 4.9 Predicted and observed fallout pattern, Shot Tewa.


Figure 4.10 Close and distant particle collections, Shot Zuni.


Figure 4.11 Cloud model for fallout prediction.


Figure 4.12 Comparison of incremental-collector, particle-slze frequency distributions, Shots Zunl and Tewa.
E—

Figure 4.13 Comparison of incremental-collector, mass-arrival rates and variation with particle size, Shots Zuni and Tewa.

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| - |  |  |  |
| $5_{8}^{8}$ |  |  |  |
| - |  |  |  |
| - |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| - | - ${ }^{2-1}-2-2$ |  |  |
| $17$ | - | - | $\triangle$ |
|  | 1 | Y | - |
| 1 | + |  | $\square$ |
| , | 1 /, | , | $\pm$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| тши. | , | шши |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Figure 4.14 Comparative particle-size variation with time, YAG 39, Shot Tewa.

## Chapter 5

## CONCLUSIONS and RECOMMENDATIONS

### 5.1 CONCLUSIONS

5.1.1 Operational. The following features of project operations are concluded to have been satisfactory:

1. Emphasis on complete documentation of the fallout at a few points, rather than limited documentation at a large number of points. Because of this, integrated sets of data were obtained, better control of all measurements was achieved, and a number of important correlations became possible for the first time. It is a related conclusion that the care taken to locate project stations, and the close coordination maintained with the aerial and oceanographic survey projects, were necessary.
2. Concentration on specific measurements required by fallout theory, instead of on general observations and data collection. The results obtained by emphasizing time-dependent data promise to be of particular value in fallout research, as do the early-time measurements of particle properties made in the YAG 40 laboratory.
3. Devotion of laboratory work on the YAG 40 and Site Elmer to relative activity and assocrated measurements. In several cases, data were obtained that would otherwise have been lost or obscured by radioactive decay. Counting statistics were improved, and the confidence in all measurements and observations was increased by the elimination of intermediate handing. Conversely, chemical and radiochemical measurements, which require a disproportionate amount of effort in the field, could be made under more favorable conditions, although at the sacrifice of information on short-lived induced activities.
4. Utilization of standardized instrument arrays and procedures. Without this, measuremints made at different locations could not have been easily related, and various correlations could not have been achieved. Instrument maintenance, sample recovery, and laboratory processing were considerably simplified. Because the use of the How Island station as a datum plane for all standardized instrumentation was an integral part of the overall concept, it should be noted that the station functioned as intended and obtained information of fundamental impertance for data reduction and correlation.
5. Preservation of station mobility. It if had not been possible to move both major and minor sampling arrays to conform with changes in shot location and wind conditions, much valuable data would have been lost. Some of the most useful samples came from the barges that were relocated between shots. Coordination of ship sampling operations from the Program 2 Control Center on the basis of late meteorological information and early incoming data also proved pactical; sampling locations were often improved and important supplementary measurements added.
6. Determination of station locations by Loran. Despite the fact that it was difficult for the ships to hold position during sampling, adequate information on their locations as a function of time was obtained. Ideally, of course, it would be preferable for ships to remain stationary during sampling, using Loran only to check their locations. The deep-anchoring method used for the skiffs gave good results and appears to be appropriate for future use.
7. Establishment of organizational flexibility. The use of small teams with unified areas of responsibility and the capability of independent action during the instrument-arming and sample-recovery periods was a primary factor in withstanding operational pressures. The stabilizing influence provided by the sample-processing centers on Bikini and Eniwetok contributed significantly to the effectiveness of the system.

There were also certain features of project operations which were unsatisfactory:

1. The large size of the project. If more-limited objectives had been adopted, and the measurements to accomplish these objectives allotted to several smaller projects, the amount of field administrative work and the length of time key personnel were required to spend in the field could probably have been reduced. In future tests, the total number of shot participations should be kept to the minimum compatible with specific data requirements.
2. The difficulty of maintaining adequate communications between the test site and NRDL. Despite arrangements to expedite dispatches, frequent informal letters, and messages transmitted by sample couriers, several cases occurred where important information was delayed in transit.
3. The use of instruments developed by other projects. Malfunctions were frequent in such cases but were probably due partly to lack of complete familiarity with the design of the instrument. This is the principal reason why the water-sampling results are incomplete and of uncertain reliability.
4. The operational characteristics of certain project instruments. The time-of-arrival detectors (TOAD) were developed for the operation and had not been proof-tested in the field. They tended to give good results when located on stable stations, such as barges or islands, and poor results when located on stations like the skiffs. It seems probable that minor design modifications would suffice to make this a dependable instrument. The honeycomb inserts used in the open-close total collector (OCC) exhibited a tendency to spall and should be modified for future use. The sizes of the collecting areas of the always-open collector, Type $2\left(\mathrm{AOC}_{2}\right)$, and incremental collector (IC) should be increased if possible. Complete redesign of the gamma timeintensity recorder (TIR) to improve its response characteristics, reduce its size, and make it a self-contained unit was obviously required for future work and was initiated during the field phase.
5. The commitments of the project to supply early evaluations of field data. Because of the nature of fallout studies, inferences drawn from unreduced data may be misleading. Despife the urgency associated with studies of this kind, interim project reports should be confined to presenting the results of specific field measurements.
5.1.2 Technical. The general conclusions given below are grouped by subject and presented for the most part in the same order that the subjects are discussed in the preceding chapters. In a sense, the values tabulated and plotted in the text constitute the detailed conclusions, because they represent the numerical results derived from the reduced data of the appendixes. For this reason, numerical values will be extracted from the text only if some generality is evident or to illustrate an observed range. Although the conclusions presented are not necessarily those of the authors whose works have been referenced in the text, interpretations are usually compatible.

Buildup Characteristics.

1. The time from fallout arrival to peak radiation rate was approximately equal to the time of arrival for all stations and shots. Activity-arrival rate was roughly proportional to massarrival rate for the solid-particle shots, Zuni and Tewa. A similar result was obtained for outlying stations during Shot Flathead, although this proportionality did not hold for Shot Navajo nor for the close-in collections from Shot Flathead.
2. The shape of the activity-arrival-rate curve was not markedly different for solid- and slurry-particle shots. In both types of events, the time from the onset of fallout to the time When the radiation rate peaked was usually much shorter than the time required for the remainder of the fallout to be deposited. There was some tendency for slurry fallout to be more protracted and less concentrated in a single major arrival wave; however, statistical fluctuations due to low concentrations of particles and small collector areas were responsible for most of the rapid changes observed after the time of peak. Where fallout concentrations were sufficiently high, good time correlation was ordinarily obtained between peak rate of arrival and peak radiation rate.
3. Particle-size distributions varied continuously with time at each station during the solidparticle shots, activity arrival waves being characterized by sharp increases in the concentra-
tions of the larger particles. Because of background dust and unavoidable debris on the trays, correlation of the concentrations of smaller particles with radiological measurements was more difficult. The concentrations of the smallest sizes remained almost constant with time. Particle diameters gradually decreased with time at each station during the slurry-particle shots, though remaining remarkably constant at $\sim 100$ to 200 microns on the ships during the entire fallout period.
4. In the vicinity of the ships, the gross body of fallout activity for the slurry-particle shots penetrated to the thermocline from a depth of 10 to 20 meters at the rate of 3 to $4 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{hr}$. A considerable fraction of the activity for the solid-particle shots penetrated to the thermocline at about the same rate. This activity remained more or less uniformly distributed above the thermocline up to at least 2 days after the shot, and is presumed to have been in solution or associated with fine particles present either at deposition or produced by the breakup of solid aggregates in sea water. An unknown amount of activity, perhaps as much as 50 percent of the total, penetrated at a higher rate and may have disappeared below the thermocline during the solidparticle shots. It is unlikely that any significant amount of activity was lost in this way during the slurry-particle shots.
5. Fractionation of $\mathrm{Mo}^{98}, \mathrm{~Np}^{239}$, and $\mathrm{I}^{131}$ occurred in the surface water layer following solidparticle deposition; a continuous variation in composition with depth is indicated. Only slight tendencies in this direction were noted for slurry fallout.

Physical, Chemical, and Radiological Characteristics.

1. The fallout from Shots Zuni and Tewa consisted almost entirely of solid particles similar to those observed after the land-surface shots during Operations Ivy and Castle, consisting of irregular, spheroidal, and agglomerated types varying in color from white to yellow and ranging in size from $<20$ microns to several millimeters in diameter. Most of the irregular particles consisted primarily of calcium hydroxide with a thin surface layer of calcium carbonate, although a few unchanged coral particles were present; while the spheroidal particles consisted of calcium oxide and hydroxide, often with the same surface layer of calcium carbonate. The agglomerates were composed of calcium hydroxide with an outer layer of calcium carbonate. The particles almost certainly were formed by decarbonation of the original coral to calcium oxide in the fireball, followed by complete hydration in the case of the irregular particles, and incomplete hydration in the case of the other particles; the surface layer, which may not have been formed by deposition time, resulted from reaction with $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ in the atmosphere. The densities of the particles were grouped around 2.3 and $2.7 \mathrm{gm} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$.
2. Radioactive black spherical particles, usually less than 1 micron in diameter, were observed in the fallout from Shot Zuni, but not in the fallout from Shot Tewa. Nearly all such particles were attached to the surfaces of irregular particles. They consisted partially of calcium iron oxide and could have been formed by direct condensation in the fireball.
3. The radionuclide composition of the irregular particles varied from that of the spheroidal and agglomerated particles. The irregular particles tended to typify the cloud-sample and distantfallout radiochemistry, while the spheroidal and agglomerated particles were more characteristic of the gross fallout near ground zero. The irregular particles tended to be enriched in $\mathrm{Ba}^{140}$ - La ${ }^{140}$ and slightly depleted in $\mathrm{Sr}^{89}$; the spheroidal and agglomerated particles were depleted in these nuclides but were much higher in specific activity. It should be recognized that this classification by types may be an oversimplification, and that a large sample of individual particles of all types might show a continuous variation of the properties described. The inference is strong, nevertheless, that the fractionation observed from point to point in the fallout field at Shot Zuni was due to the relative abundance and activity contribution of some such particle types at each location.
4. The activities of the irregular particles varied roughly as their surface area or diameter squared, while those of the spheroidal particles varied as some power higher than the third. Indications are that the latter were formed in a region of higher activity concentration in the cloud, with the activity diffusing into the interior while they were still in a molten state. Activity was not related to particle density but varied with the weight of irregular particles in a manner consistent with a surface-area function.
5. The fallout from Shots Flathead and Navajo collected at the ship stations was made up entirely of slurry particles consisting of about 80 percent sodium chloride, 18 percent water, and 2 percent insoluble solids composed primarily of oxides of calcium and iron. The individual insoluble solid particles were generally spherical and less than 1 micron in diameter, appearing to be the result of direct condensation in the fireball.
6. The radionuclide composition of individual slurry drops could not be assessed because of insufficient activity, but the results of combining a number of droplets were similar to those obtained from gross fallout collections. In general, much less fractionation of radionuclides was evident in the slurry-particle shots than in the solid-particle shots. The amount of chloride in a slurry drop appeared to be proportional to the drop activity for the ship stations at Shot Flathead; however, variability was experienced for Shot Navajo, and the relation failed for both shots at close-in locations. Conflicting data was obtained on the contribution of the insoluble solids to the total drop activity. While the slurry nature of the fallout and certain properties such as drop diameters, densities, and concentrations have been adequately described, further experimentation is required to establish the composition of the insoluble solids, and the partition of activity among the components of the drop.

Radionucifde Composition and Radiation Characteristics.

1. The activities of products resulting from slow-neutron fission of $U^{235}$ are sufficiently similar to those resulting from device fission to be quantitatively useful. It should also be noted that the absolute calibration of gamma counters is feasible, permitting calculation of the count-per-disintegration ratio of any nuclide whose photon-decay scheme is known. For establishing the quantity of a given nuclide in a complex mixture, radiochemistry is the method of choice; at the present time, gamma-ray spectrometry appears less reliable, even for nuclides readily identifiable. In addition, gross spectra obtained with a calibrated spectrometer led to computed counting rates for a laboratory gamma counter which were generally low.
2. Fractionation of radionuclides occurred in the fallout of all surface shots considered. By several criteria, such as R-values and capture ratios, Shot Navajo was the least fractionated, with fractionation increasing in Shots Flathead, Tewa, and Zuni. For Shot Zuni, the fractionation was so severe that the ionization per fission of the standard cloud sample was $\sim 5$ to 6 times greater than for close-in fallout samples. Important nuclides usually deficient in the fallout were members of the decay chains of antimony, xenon, and krypton, indicating that the latter products, because of their volatilities or rare-gas state, do not combine well with condensing or unaltered carrier particles. Although empirical methods have been employed to correct for fractionation in a given sample, and to relate the fractionation observed from sample to sample at Shot Zuni, the process is not well understood. As yet, no method is known for predicting the extent of fractionation to be expected for arbitrary yield and detonation conditions.
3. Tables of values are given for computing the infinite-field ionization rate for any point in the fallout field where the composition and fission density are known. The same tables permit easy calculation of the contribution of any induced nuclide to the total ionization rate. Based on How Island experience, rates so obtained are approximately twice as high as a survey meter would indicate. It is evident that unless fractionation effects, terrain factors, and instrumentresponse characteristics are quantitatively determined, accurate estimates of the fraction of the device in the local fallout cannot be obtained by summing observed dose-rate contours.

Correlations.

1. The maximum fission densities observed during the various shots were, in fissions per square foot, approximately $4 \times 10^{15}$ for Shot Tewa, $8 \times 10^{14}$ for Shot Zuni, $6 \times 10^{14}$ for Shot Flathead, $9 \times 10^{13}$ for Shot Navajo, and $9 \times 10^{10}$ for Shot Cherokee. The fallout which was deposited during Shot Cherokee arrived as slurry particles similar to those produced by Shots Flathead and Navajo and appeared to be relatively unfractionated with regard to radionuclide composition; the total amount deposited was small, however, and of no military significance.
2. Reasonable agreement between the predicted and observed perimeters and central axes of the preliminary fallout patterns for Shots Zuni and Tewa was achieved by assuming the radioactive material to be concentrated largely in the lower third of the cloud and upper third of the stem, restricting particles larger than 1,000 and 500 microns in diameter to the inner 10 per-
cent and 50 percent of the cloud radius, respectively, and applying methods based on accepted meteorological procedures. Modified particle fall-rate equations were used and corrections were made for time and spatial variation of the winds. With the same assumptions, rough agreement was also achieved for Shots Flathead and Navajo by neglecting spatial variation of the winds, in spite of the gross differences in the character of the fallout. The reason for this agreement is not well understood. Predicted fallout arrival times were often shorter by 10 to 25 percent than the measured times, and the maximum particle sizes predicted at the times of arrival, peak, and cessation were usually smaller by 10 to 50 percent than the measured sizes.
3. The weighted mean values of the activity collected per unit area on the standard platform constitute a set of relative measurements, varying as a function of wind velocity and particle terminal velocity. The exact form of this function is not known; it appears, however, that the airflow characteristics of the platform were sufficiently uniform over the range of wind velocities encountered to make particle terminal velocity the controlling factor. The activity-per-unit-area measurements made on the samples from the skiffs may constitute a second set of relative values, and those made on samples from the raft and island minor arrays, a third set, closely related to the second.
4. The maximum platform collections should be utilized as the best estimate of the total amount of activity deposited per unit area. An error of about $\pm 50$ percent should be associated with each value, however, to allow for measurement error, collection bias, and other uncertainties. Although this procedure is strictly applicable only in those cases where single-wind deposition prevailed, comparable accuracy may be achieved by doubling the mean platform value and retaining the same percent error.
5. Decay of unfractionated fission products according to $t^{-1.2}$ is adequate for planning and estimating purposes. Whenever fractionation exists or significant induced activities are present, however, an actual decay curve measured in a counter with known response characteristics, or computed for the specific radionuclide composition involved, should be used. Errors of 50 percent or more can easily result from misapplication of the $t^{-1.2}$ rule in computations involving radiological effects.
6. It is possible to determine fraction of device by iron or residual uranium with an accuracy comparable to a $\mathrm{Mo}^{99}$ determination, but the requirements for a large sample, low background, and detailed device information are severe. In general, fractions calculated from these elements tended to be high. Analysis of copper, aluminum, and lead produced very high results which were not reported. It is probable that backgrounds from all sources were principally responsible, because the amounts of these elements expected from the Redwing devices were quite small.
7. The time-intensity recorders consistently measured less gamma ionization dose than film dosimeters located on the same platforms. In those cases where the geometry remained neariy constant and comparisons could be made, this deficiency totaled $\sim 30$ to 60 percent, in qualitative agreement with the response characteristics of the instrument estimated by other methods.
8. Because nearly equal amounts of fallout per unit area were collected over approximately the same time interval by the incremental collector, high volume filter, and open-close collectors on the ship platforms, it appears that air filtration through a medium exposed to direct fallout at face velocities up to 1.7 mph offers no substantial advantage over passive fallout sampling. It is apparent that under such conditions the collections are not proportional to the volume of air filtered, and should not be interpreted as implying the existence of an independent aerosol hazard.
9. The contamination index, which provides a measure of the relative fallout ionization rate for unit device yield per unit area, is approximately proportional to the ratio of fission yield to total yield of the device.

### 5.2 RECOMMENDATIONS

It is believed that the preceding results emphasize the desirability of making the following additional measurements and analyses.

1. Time of fallout arrival, rate of arrival, time of peak, and time of cessation should be
measured at a number of widely separated points for as many different sets of detonation conditions as possible. Because these quantities represent the end result of a complex series of interactions between device, particle, and meteorological parameters, additional relationships between them would not only provide interim operational guides, but would also be useful as general boundary conditions to be satisfied by model theory.
2. The particle-size distributions with time reported herein should be further assessed to remove the effects of background dust collections and applied to a more detailed study of particle size-activity relationships. For future use, an instrument capable of rapidly sizing and counting fallout particles in the diameter-size range from about 20 to 3,000 microns should be developed. Several promising instruments are available at the present time, and it is probable that one of these could be adapted for the purpose. While appropriate collection and handling techniques would have to be developed as an integral part of the effort, it is likely that improved accuracy, better statistics, and large savings in manpower could be achieved.
3. Controlled measurements should be made of the amount of solid-particle activity which penetrates to depths greater than the thermocline at rates higher than $\sim 3$ to $4 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{hr}$. Supporting measurements sufficient to define the particle size and activity distribution on arrival would be necessary at each point of determination. Related to this, measurements should be made of radionuclide fractionation with depth for both solid and slurry particles; in general, the solubility rates and overall dispersion behavior of fallout material in ocean water should be studied further. Underwater gamma detectors with improved performance characteristics and underwater particle collectors should be developed as required. Underwater data are needed to make more-accurate estimates from measured contours of the total amount of activity deposited in the immediate vicinity of the Eniwetok Proving Ground.
4. A formation theory for slurry particles should be formulated. Separation procedures should be devised to determine the way in which the total activity and certain important radionuclides are partitioned according to physical-chemical state. Microanalytical methods of chemical analysis applicable both to the soluble and insoluble phases of such particles are also needed. The evidence is that the solids present represent one form of the fundamental radiological contaminant produced by nuclear detonations and are for this reason deserving of the closest study. The radiochemical composition of the various types of solid particles from fallout and cloud samples should also receive further analysis, because differences related to the history of the particles and the radiation fields produced by them appear to exist.
5. A fallout model appropriate for shots producing only slurry particles should be developed. At best, the fact that it proved possible to locate the fallout pattern for shots of this kind, using a solid-particle model, is a fortuitous circumstance and should not obscure the fact that the precipitation and deposition mechanisms are unknown. Considering the likelihood in modern warfare of detonations occurring over appreciable depths of ocean water near operational areas, such a model is no less important than a model for the land-surface case. It would also be desirable to expand the solid-particle model applied during this operation to include the capability of predicting radiation contours on the basis of conventional scaling principles or the particle size-activity relationships given earlier.
6. Theoretical and experimental studies of radionuclide fractionation with particle type and spatial coordinates should be continued. This is a matter of the first importance, for if the systematic variations in composition suggested herein can be established, they will not only make possible more accurate calculation of the radiation fields to be expected, but may also lead to a better understanding of the basic processes of fallout-particle formation and contamination.
7. A series of experiments should be conducted to determine the true ionization rates and those indicated by available survey meters for a number of well-known individual radionuclides deposited on various kinds of terrain. Although the absolute calibration of all gamma counters and a good deal of logistic and analytical effort would be required, the resulting data would be invaluable for comparison with theoretical results. Also in this connection, the proposed decay schemes of all fission products and induced activities should be periodically revised and brought up to date.
8. Some concept of fraction of device which is meaningful in terms of relative gammaradiation hazard should be formulated. The total ionization from all products of a given device could, for example, be computed for a $4-\pi$ ionization chamber. Decay-corrected measurement in the chamber of any fallout sample, whether fractionated or not, would then give a quantity representing a fraction of the total gamma-ray hazard. The definition of contamination index should also be expanded to include the concept of contamination potential at any point in the fallout area. In addition to the effects of the fission-to-total-yield ratio of the device on the result. ant radiation field, the final value should include the effects of the particle characteristics and chemical composition of the material as they affect chemical availability and decontamination. Ideally, the value should be derivable entirely from the parameters of the device and its environment, so that it could be incorporated in model theory and used as part of conventional prediction procedures.
9. Additional bias studies of collecting instruments and instrument arrays should be performed. If possible, a total collector, an incremental collector, and a standard collector array should be developed whose bias characteristics as a function of wind velocity and particle terminal velocity are completely known. This problem, which can be a source of serious error in fallout measurements, has never been satisfactorily solved. To do so will require full-scale tests of operational instruments using controlled airflow and particles of known shape, density, and size distribution. Collectors should be designed to present the largest collecting areas possible, compatible with other requirements, in order to improve the reliability of subsequent analyses.
10. More-detailed measurements of oceanographic and micro-meteorological variables should accompany any future attempt to make oceanographic or aerial surveys of fallout regions, if contour construction is to be attempted. It appears, in fact, that because of the difficulty of interpreting the results of such surveys, their use should be restricted to locating the fallout area and defining its extent and generai features.
11. Based on the results presented in this report, and the final reports of other projects, a corrected set of fraction-of-device contours should be prepared for the Redwing shots. These contours may represent the best estimate of local fallout from megaton detonations available to date; however, more-accurate estimates could be made in the future by collecting and analyzing enough total-fallout samples of known bias to permit the construction of iso-amount contours for various important radionuclides.
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## Appendix A <br> INSTRUMENTATION

## A. 1 COLLECTOR IDENTIFICATION

Collector designations are shown in Figure A.1.

## A. 2 DETECTOR DATA

A.2.1 End-Window Counter.

Crystal dimensions and type: $11 / 2$-inch diameter $\times 1 / 2$ inch thick, $\mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{T} 1)$, Harshaw

Photomultiplier tube type: 6292 DuMont
Scaler types: Model 162 Nuclear Instrument Corporation, and Model 182 Nuclear-Chicago (in tandem)

Pb shield dime nsions: $81 / 2$-inch outside diameter $\times 20$ inches high $\times 11 / 2$ inches thick; additional 2 -inch thickness in Site Elmer labor atory

Counting chamber dimensions: $51 / 2$-inch diameter $\times 4$ inches high

Al absorber thickness: $1 / 4$ inch
Shelf distances from bottom of absorber:

| Shelf |  | Distance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  | cm |  |
| 1 |  | 1.0 |
| 2 |  | 2.6 |
| 3 |  | 4.2 |
| 4 |  | 5.8 |
| 5 |  | 7.4 |

Ratios to Shelf 5 (most commonly used) for centered Cs ${ }^{137}$ point source:

| Shelf |  | Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | 5.87 |
| 2 |  | 3.02 |
| 3 |  | 1.88 |
| 4 |  | 1.31 |
| 5 |  | 1.00 |

Minimum count rate requiring coincidence loss correction: $1.0 \times 10^{6}$ counts $/ \mathrm{min}$

Counting procedure: ordinarily 3 - to 1 -minute intervals for each sample
A.2.2 Beta Counter:

Gas proportions: 90 percent $A, 10$ percent $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$
Pb shield dimensions: $81 / 2$-inch outside diameter $\times 12$ inches high $\times 1 \frac{1}{2}$ inches thick; additional 2 -inch thickness in Site Elmer laboratory

Counting chamber dimensions: $51 / 2$-inch diameter $\times 4$ inches high

Al window thickness: $0.92 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$

Shelf geometries from bottom of window:

| Shelf | Distance | Physical Geometry Correction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.85 | 0.2628 |
| 2 | 1.50 | 0.1559 |
| 3 | 2.15 | 0.0958 |
| 4 | 3.75 | 0.0363 |
| 5 | 5.35 | 0.0177 |

Minimum count rate requiring coincidence loss correction: $3.0 \times 10^{5}$ counts $/ \mathrm{min}$
A.2.3 $4-\pi$ Ionization Chamber (Analytical and Standards Branch). (Two newer chambers of modified design were also used. The response of these to $100 \mu g$ of $\mathrm{Ra} \simeq 700 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{ma}$ at 600 psi ; therefore, all readings were normalized to the latter value. Use of precision resistors (1 percent) eliminated scale correction factors.)

Gas type and pressure: A~600 psi
Shield dimensions: $\mathrm{Pb} \sim 19$-inch outside diameter $\times 22$ inches high $\times 4$ inches thick; additional 1 -foot thickness of sandbags in Site Elmer laboratory

Counting chamber dimensions: 11-inch diameter $\times 14$ inches high

Thimble dimensions: $13 / 4$-inch inside diameter $\times$ 12 inches deep

Useful range: $\sim 217 \times 10^{-11} \mathrm{ma}$ (background) to $200 \times 10^{-8} \mathrm{ma}$

Correction factors to equivalent $10^{9}$ scale :

| $\underset{\sim}{\text { Scale }}$ ohms | Factor |
| :---: | :---: |
| 10 |  |
| $10^{11}$ | 0.936 |
| $10^{10}$ | 0.963 |
| $10^{9}$ | 1.000 |
| $10^{8}$ | 1.000 |

Response versus sample (Ra) position:

| Distance from <br> Bottom of Tube | Relative <br> in |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{100}{\text { pesponse }}$ |  |

Response to $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{Ra}: 5.58 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{ma}$ at $\sim 600 \mathrm{psi}$
Efficiency factors relative to $\mathrm{Co}^{60}$ for various nuclides:

| Nuclide | Factor |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Ce}^{141}$ | 0.186 |
| $\mathrm{Hg}^{203}$ | 0.282 |
| Au ${ }^{198}$ | 0.355 |
| Cs ${ }^{137}$ | 0.623 |
| $\mathrm{Sc}^{46}$ | 0.884 |
| $\mathrm{Co}^{60}$ | 1.000 |
| $\mathrm{K}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | 1.205 |
| $\mathrm{Na}^{\mathbf{2 4}}$ | 1.312 |

## A.2.4 Well Counter.

Nuclear-Chicago Model DS-3
Crystal dimensions and type: $13 / 4$-inch diameter $\times 2$ inches thick, $\mathrm{NaI}(\mathrm{T} 1)$

Well dimensions: $3 / 4$-inch diameter $\times 1 / 2$ inches doep

Photomultiplier tube type: 6292 DuMont
Scaler type: Model MPC-1 Berkeley, or Nuclear Instrument Corporation 162 with Nuclear-Chicago 182 in tandem

Pb shield thickness: $1 / 2$ inches, with $3 / 4$-inch diameter hole above crystal well; additional 2-inch thickness in YAG 40 laboratory

Counting rate versus sample volume in test tube ( $15 \times 125 \mathrm{~mm}$ ):

| Sample <br> Volume | Relative <br> Count Rate |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\frac{\text { pll }}{}$ | 100 |
| 0.01 | 99.2 |
| 1.81 | 90.6 |

Efficiency for several nuclides:


Minimum count rate requiring coincidence loss correction: $1.0 \times 10^{6}$ counts $/ \mathrm{min}$

Counting procedure: minimum of $10^{6}$ counts to maintain a statistical error of $\boldsymbol{\sim} \mathbf{1 . 0}$ percent
A.2.5 20-Channel Analyzer.

Crystal dimensions and type: 2 -inch diameter $\times 2$ inches thick, $\mathrm{NaI}(\mathrm{T} 1)$

Glow transfer tube types: GC-10B and GC-10D
Fast register type: Sodeco
Voltage gain (with delay line puise shaping): 1,000
Attenuation (with ladder attenuator): 63 decibels in
1 -decibel steps
Pb shield thickness: $\sim 2$ Inches
Counting chamber dimensions: 8-inch diameter
$\times 31 / 2$ inches high
Shelf distances from bottom of detector:

| Shelf |  | Distances |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| 1 |  | 2.07 |
| 2 |  | 4.76 |
| 3 |  | 5.25 |
| 4 |  | 6.84 |

Tray distance from bottom of detector when outside of $1 / 2$-inch diameter collimator: 13.95 cm

Calibration standards: $\mathrm{Ba}^{133}, \mathrm{Ca}^{141}, \mathrm{Hg}^{203}, \mathrm{Na}^{22}$, and $\mathrm{Cs}^{137}$

Calibration procedure: one per day and one following each adjustment of amplifier or detector voltage

Counting procedure: equal counting times for each series on a given sample
A.2.6 Doghouse Counter (Reference 43)

Crystal dimensions and type: 1-inch diameter $\times 1$ inch thick, Nal(T1), Harshaw aluminum absorber $1 / 4$ inch thick

Photomultiplier tube type: 6292 DuMont
Scaler type: Model 162 Nuclear Instrument Corporation, and Model 182 Nuclear-Chicago (in tandem)

Pb shield dimensions (detector): 10 -inch diameter $\times 20$ inches high $\times 1 / 2$ inches thick

Pb shield thickness (counting chamber): 2 inches
Counting chamber dimensions: $20 \times 24 \times 34$ inches high

Size of hole in roof of counting chamber for detector: 7-inch diameter

Distance from bottom of sample tray to bottom of crystal: 36 inches

Sample tray dimensions: $18 \times 21 \times 2$ inches deep
Counting efficiency for several point-source nuclides, centered in bottom of tray with $1 / 4$-inch aluminum cover in place:

| Nuclide | counts/dis $\times 10^{-6}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Na}^{22}$ | 1.70 |
| $\mathrm{Na}^{24}$ | 0.936 |
| $K^{2}$ | 0.151 |
| $\mathrm{Sc}^{48}$ | 1.16 |
| $\mathrm{Co}^{80}$ | 1.02 |
| $\mathrm{Nb}^{95}$ | 0.506 |
| $\mathrm{Cs}^{137}-\mathrm{Ba}^{137 m}$ | 0.548 |
| $\mathrm{Ce}^{141}$ | 0.622 |
| $\mathrm{Al}^{198}$ | 0.711 |
| $\mathrm{Hg}^{203}$ | 0.842 |

Relative counter photon efficiency, computed for total aluminum thickness $=1 / 2$ inch ( $3.43 \mathrm{gm} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ ):

| $\frac{\text { Energy }}{\text { Mev }}$ | Efficiency |
| :---: | :---: |
| pct | 0 |
| 0.01 | 0.0034 |
| 0.02 | 3.24 |
| 0.03 | 33.3 |
| 0.05 | 48.7 |
| 0.07 | 57.8 |
| 0.10 | 63.7 |
| 0.15 |  |


| 0.20 | 61.5 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0.30 | 54.0 |
| 0.50 | 43.3 |
| 0.70 | 37.5 |
| 1.00 | 33.4 |
| 1.50 | 29.5 |
| 2.00 | 27.1 |
| 3.00 | 25.3 |
| 4.00 | 24.4 |

Minimum count rate requiring coincidence loss correction: $1.0 \times 10^{6}$ counts $/ \mathrm{min}$

Counting procedure: ordinarily 3 - to 1-minute intervals for each sample; trays decontaminated and counted with $1 / 4$-inch aluminum cover in place
A.2.7 Dip Counter.

Crystal dimensions and type: $11 / 2$-inch diameter $\times 1$ inch thick, $\mathrm{NaI}(\mathrm{T} 1)$

Photomultiplier tube type: 6292 DuMont
Scaler type: Same as doghouse counter
Shield thickness and counting chamber dimensions: Same as doghouse counter

Sample volume: $2,000 \mathrm{ml}$ (constant geometry)
Counting efficiency for several nuclides: (Private communication from J. $\mathrm{O}^{\prime}$ Connor, NRDL)

| Nuclide | counts/dis $\times 10^{-2}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Ce}^{141}$ | 1.20 |
| $\mathrm{Hg}^{203}$ | 1.72 |
| $\mathrm{Au}^{198}$ | 1.28 |
| Cs ${ }^{137}$ | 0.916 |
| $\mathrm{Nb}^{95}$ | 0.870 |
| $\mathrm{Sc}^{46}$ | 1.76 |
| Co ${ }^{\text {en }}$ | 1.56 |
| $\mathrm{Na}^{26}$ | 1.29 |

Minimum count rate requiring coincidence loss correction: $2 \times 10^{6}$ counts $/ \mathrm{min}$

Counting procedure: $2,000-\mathrm{ml}$ samples at constant geometry; counting intervals selected to maintain a statistical error < 1.0 percent

A-2.8 Single-Channel Analyzer (Nuclear Radiation Branch) (Reference 57)

Crystal dimensions and type: 4 -inch diameter $\times 4$ inches thick, $\mathrm{NaI}(\mathrm{T} 1)$

Photomultiplier tube type: 6364 DuMont
Pulse-height analyzer type: Model 510-SC Atomic Instruments

Pb shield thickness: $21 / 2$ inches
Collimator dimensions: $1 / 2$-inch diameter $\times 6$ inche: long

Sample container type and size: glass vial, $1 / 2$-inch diameter $\times 2 \frac{1}{2}$ inches long

Distance from bottom of sample to collimator opening: 2 inches

Calibration standards: $\mathrm{Na}^{22}$, and $\mathrm{Hg}^{\mathbf{2 0 8}}$
A.2.9 Gamma Time-Intensity Recorder. The energy and directional response characteristics of the standard TIR detector, consisting of four ion chambers ( $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{Am}, \mathrm{Bm}$, and Cm ) with a protective dome, were determined at NRDL. (Measurements and calculations were carried out by G. Hitchcock, T. Shirasawa, and R. Caputi.)

A special jig permitted both horizontal and vertical rotation about the center of the chamber under study. Directional response was measured and recorded continuously for 360 degrees in planes at 30 -degree increments through the longitudinal axis of the Cm chamber. Relative response data was obtained by effectively exposing the chamber to a constant ionization rate at six different energies - four X-ray energies: $35 \mathrm{kev}, 70 \mathrm{kev}, 120 \mathrm{kev}$ and 180 kev ; and two source energies: $\mathrm{Cs}^{137}$ ( 0.663 Mev ) and $\mathrm{Co}^{\omega 0}$ ( 1.2 Mev ).

The results for three mutually perpendicular planar responses have been illustrated graphically to show: (1) shadowing interference by other chambers in the horizontal plane (Figure A.2), (2) maximum shadowing interference by othe $r$ chambers in the vertical plane (Figure A.3), and (3) minimum shadowing interference by other chambers in the vertical plane (Figure A.4).



Figure A. 2 Shadowing interference in horizontal plane for TIR.


Figure A. 3 Maximum shadowing interference in vertical plane for TIR.


Figure d. 4 Minimum shadowing interference in vertical plane for TIR.

## Appendix $B$ MEASUREMENTS

## B. 1 BUILDUP DATA

TABLE B. 1 OBSERVED IONIZATION RATE, BY TIME-INTENSITY RECORDER


TABLE B. 1 CONTINUED

table b. 1 Continued

| Station and Shot |  | Station and Shot |  | Station and Shot |  | Station and Shot |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YFNB 29 HFL |  | YAG 40-B, No. 9 NA |  | YAG 40, No. 13 (Deck) NA |  | YAG 40, No. 13 (Deck) NA |  |
| H+min | $\mathrm{r} / \mathrm{hr}$ | $\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{hr}$ | mr/hr | $\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{hr}$ | $\mathrm{mr} / \mathrm{hr}$ | H+hr | $\mathrm{mr} / \mathrm{hr}$ |
| 35 | 0.004 | 11.0 | 45.7 | 7.18 | 6. 64 | 50.2 | 9.15 |
| 36 | 0.0046 | 11.3 | 49.3 | 7.30 | 10.0 | 52.1 | 7.84 |
| 38 | 0.011 | 11.6 | 51.2 | 7.47 | 11.4 | 54.0 | 7. 62 |
| 40 | 0.018 | 11.9 | 52.7 | 7.63 | 12.4 | 56.0 | 4. 79 |
| 42 | 0.042 | 12.1 | 52.7 | 7.80 | 13.7 | 57.9 | 4.46 |
| 44 | 0.075 | 12.3 | 55.3 | 7.95 | 14.3 | 60.1 | 4. 35 |
| 45 | 0.10 | 12.5 | 55.3 | 8.10 | 13.1 | 64.0 | 4. 08 |
| 51 | 0.27 | 12.7 | 57.8 | 8.33 | 13.0 | 68.1 | 3.81 |
| 53 | 0.38 | 12.9 | 55.3 | 8. 48 | 13.5 | 72.0 | 3.48 |
| 54 | 0.49 | 14.0 | 55.3 | 8.62 | 16.0 | 74.9 | 3.32 |
| 56 | 0.57 | 15.0 | 55.3 | 8.75 | 18.6 |  |  |
| 58 | 0.63 | 16.0 | 55.3 | 8. 85 | 27.4 |  | No. 9 NA |
| 77 | 0.96 | 17.0 | 55.3 | 9.02 | 38.2 | $\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{hr}$ | mr/hr |
| 91 | 0.98 | 17.6 | 51.4 | 9.27 | 51.4 | 1.97 | 0.161 |
| 100 | 0.94 | 18.0 | 50.2 | 9.47 | 56.5 | 2.22 | 4.00 |
| 175 | 0.55 | 19.0 | 48. 8 | 9.67 | 63.9 | 2.38 | 14.4 |
| 250 | 0.33 | 20.0 | 46. 3 | 9.98 | 74.5 | 2.47 | 21.4 |
| 470 | 0.14 | 21.0 | 25.9 | 10.3 | 80.2 | 2.55 | 33. 5 |
| 630 | 0.077 | 22.0 | 21.0 | 10.6 | 92.0 | 2. 65 | 48.2 |
| 850 | 0.055 | 23.0 | 18.4 | 11.0 | 103 | 3. 00 | 68.3 |
| 1,100 | 0.043 | 24.0 | 17.7 | 11.3 | 120 | 3.30 | 88.2 |
| 1,500 | 0.024 | 25.0 | 16.6 | 11.6 | 122 | 3.50 | 95.7 |
| 1,800 | 0.0198 | 26.0 | 16.2 | 12.0 | 125 | 3. 70 | 144 |
| YAG 40-B, No. 9 NA |  | 27.0 | 14. 3 | 12.2 | 129 | 3.87 | 207 |
|  |  | 28.0 | 13.9 | 12.3 | 126 | 4.18 | 372 |
|  | $\mathrm{mr} / \mathrm{hr}$ | 29.0 | 13.1 | 12.5 | 129 | 4.42 | 431 |
| 5.07 | 0.146 | 30.0 | 12.5 | 12. 7 | 120 | 4.62 | 481 |
| 6.02 | 0.120 | 32.0 | 11.8 | 13.0 | 116 | 4.85 | 485 |
| 6.23 | 0.175 | 34. 0 | 10.8 | 13.5 | 113 | 5.17 | 498 |
| 6.38 | 0.260 | 36.0 | 10.3 | 14.0 | 113 | 5.33 | 525 |
| 6.62 | 0.370 | 38.0 | 9.80 | 15.0 | 105 | 5.48 | 507 |
| 6. 87 | 0.590 | 40.0 | 9. 20 | 15.9 | 103 | 5.67 | 516 |
| 6.98 | 0.800 | 42.0 | 9. 40 | 16.9 | 101 | 5.85 | 516 |
| 7.09 | 1.44 | 44.0 | 9.10 | 18.0 | 91.4 | 6.02 | 512 . |
| 7.14 | 1. 30 | 46.0 | 8.20 | 18.9 | 87.0 | 6. 37 | 481 |
| 7.18 | 1.88 | 48.0 | 7. 70 | 20.0 | 82.5 | 6.57 | 471 |
| 7.26 | 2. 31 | 51.0 | 7.40 | 20.2 | 70.1 | 6.77 | 445 |
| 7.36 | 3. 61 | 54.0 | 6.05 | 20.4 | 36.2 | 7.18 | 422 |
| 7.52 | 3. 55 | 55.0 | 6.55 | 21.0 | 27.4 | 7.40 | 400 |
| 7. 73 | 4. 30 | 56.0 | 6. 30 | 22.0 | 24.1 | 7.63 | 386 |
| 7.93 | 4. 80 | 58.0 | 6. 18 | 23.0 | 21.3 | 8.10 | 361 |
| 8.10 | 5. 55 | 59.0 | 5. 55 | 24.0 | 21.9 | 8.37 | 347 |
| 8.45 | 7.05 | 60.0 | 5. 49 | 25.0 | 20.8 | 8. 62 | 329 |
| 8.69 | 9. 30 | 62.0 | 5.30 | 26.0 | 19.7 | 9.18 | 304 |
| 8. 90 | 13.1 | 65.0 | 4.93 | 27.0 | 17.0 | 9.48 | 289 |
| 9.12 | 19.0 | 69.0 | 4. 68 | 28.0 | 16.4 | 9.78 | 267 |
| 9. 27 | 22. 2 | 75.0 | 4.18 | 29.0 | 15.4 | 10.2 | 259 |
| 9.42 | 24.1 | YAG 40, | 3 Deck) NA | 30.0 | 14.9 | 10.5 | 246 |
| 9.55 | 26.0 | $\frac{Y A G 40,}{H+h r}$ | $\mathrm{mr} / \mathrm{hr}$ | 32.0 | 14.3 | 10.9 | 232 |
| 9. 70 | 28.3 | H+ $\mathrm{Hr}^{\text {r }}$ | mr/ar | 34.0 | 13.4 | 11.3 | 222 |
| 9. 90 | 31.0 | 4.83 | 0.200 | 36.0 | 12.9 | 11.6 | 207 |
| 10.1 | 33.6 | 5.57 | 0.556 | 38.0 | 12.0 | 12.1 | 203 |
| 10.3 | 34.8 | 6.12 | 0.808 | 40.0 | 11.7 | 12.6 | 193 |
| 10.5 | 38. 7 | 6.65 | 1.80 | 42.0 | 11.1 | 13.0 | 184 |
| 10.8 | 42.5 | 6.97 | 3.15 | 44.0 | 10.6 | 14.1 | 168 |
|  |  |  |  | 46.0 | 10.2 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 48.0 | 9.58 |  |  |

table b. 1 CONTINUED


TABLE B. 1 CONTINUED

| Station and Shot |  | Station and Shot |  | Station and Shot |  | Station and Shot |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YAG 40-B, No. 9 TE |  | YAG 40-B, No. 9 TE |  | YAG 40, No. 13 (Deck) TE |  | YAG 39-C, No. 9 TE |  |
| $\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{hr}$ | $\mathrm{r} / \mathrm{hr}$ | $\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{hr}$ | $\mathrm{r} / \mathrm{hr}$ | $\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{hr}$ | r/hr | $\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{hr}$ | $\mathrm{r} / \mathrm{hr}$ |
| 4. 35 | 0.0017 | 44.2 | 0.262 | 24.0 | 2. 74 | 3. 32 | 1. 70 |
| 4.60 | 0.0057 | 46. 2 | 0. 207 | 25.0 | 2. 64 | 3. 37 | 1.88 |
| 4.73 | 0.0134 | 48.2 | 0.193 | 26.0 | 2.52 | 3.42 | 2.05 |
| 4.95 | 0.127 | 50.2 | 0.191 | 26.6 | 2.08 | 3.45 | 2.05 |
| 5. 20 | 0.598 | 52.2 | 0.179 | 27.0 | 1. 47 | 3. 50 | 2.33 |
| 5. 43 | 1. 08 | 54.2 | 0.173 | 28.0 | 1. 42 | 3.53 | 2.51 |
| 5. 58 | 1. 33 | 56.2 | 0.167 | 29.0 | 1. 42 | 3.57 | 2.51 |
| 5. 88 | 1. 76 | 58.2 | 0.159 | 30.0 | 1. 36 | 3. 62 | 2.69 |
| 6. 10 | 1. 86 | 60.2 | 0.152 | 31.0 | 1. 35 | 3.63 | 2.69 |
| 6. 38 | 1. 90 | 62.2 | 0.139 | 32.0 | 1. 30 | 3.67 | 3.05 |
| 6.62 | 1. 98 | 64.2 | 0.133 | 33.0 | 1. 25 | 3. 70 | 3.14 |
| 6. 85 | 2. 13 | 66.2 | 0.129 | 34.0 | 1. 22 | 3.73 | 3.14 |
| 7.10 | 2. 23 | 68.2 | 0.127 | 35.0 | 1.19 | 3. 85 | 3.59 |
| 7. 28 | 2. 24 | 70.2 | 0.126 | 36.0 | 1. 14 | 3.93 | 4. 96 |
| 7.70 | 2.21 | 72.2 | 0.118 | 37.0 | 1. 08 | 3.95 | 5.43 |
| 8.23 | 2.03 | 75.2 | 0.113 | 38.0 | 0.730 | 4.00 | 5. 89 |
| 8. 75 | 1.94 | YAG 40 | 3 (Deck) TE | 39.0 | 0.660 | 4.03 | 6. 34 |
| 9. 25 | 2. 09 | $\frac{\text { YAG } 40}{H+h r}$ | $\mathbf{r} / h_{r}$ | 40.0 | 0.588 | 4. 10 | 6. 72 |
| 9. 75 | 1.89 |  | $\mathbf{r / h r}$ | 41.0 | 0.572 | 4.13 | 7.28 |
| 10.3 | 1.85 | 4.48 | 0.0040 | 42.0 | 0.566 | 4.15 | 7.55 |
| 10.8 | 1. 79 | 4.62 | 0.0097 | 43.0 | 0.512 | 4. 20 | 7.55 |
| 11.2 | 1.80 | 4.75 | 0.0252 | 44.0 | 0.478 | 4. 22 | 8.20 |
| 11.7 | 1. 56 | 4.90 | 0.111 | 45.0 | 0.470 | 4.25 | 8.67 |
| 12.2 | 1.60 | 4.97 | 0.233 | 46.0 | 0.260 | 4.28 | 8. 20 |
| 12.8 | 1.57 | 5.07 | 0. 793 | 48.0 | 0. 243 | 4. 30 | 8.67 |
| 13.2 | 1. 48 | 5.15 | 1. 20 | 50.0 | 0.215 | 4. 31 | 9.15 |
| 13.8 | 1. 40 | 5.32 | 2. 41 | 52.0 | 0.203 | 4.32 | 8.67 |
| 14.2 | 1. 35 | 5.48 | 3. 52 | 54.0 | 0.172 | 4.35 | 9. 15 |
| 14.7 | 1. 32 | 5.73 | 5. 08 | 55.0 | 0.181 | 4.42 | 10.1 |
| 15.2 | 1.25 | 6. 00 | 6. 31 | 57.0 | 0.172 | 4.47 | 11.0 |
| 15.8 | 1. 21 | 6. 23 | 6. 76 | 59.0 | 0.154 | 4.52 | 11.0 |
| 16.2 | 1.15 | 6.73 | 7.22 | 61.0 | 0.154 | 4.58 | 11.5 |
| 16. 7 | 1.13 | 7.00 | 7.22 | 63.0 | 0.152 | 4.62 | 11.0 |
| 17.2 | 1.09 | 7.23 | 7.43 | 65.0 | 0.140 | 4.73 | 9.15 |
| 17.8 | 1.05 | 7.73 | 6. 65 | 68.0 | 0.132 | 5.07 | 8.20 |
| 18. 2 | 1.01 | 8.00 | 6. 19 | 72.0 | 0.123 | 5.15 | 8. 20 |
| 19.2 | 0.992 | 8.23 | 5. 97 | 75.0 | 0.115 | 5.23 | 7.55 |
| 20.2 | 0.927 | 8.57 | 5. 97 | YAG 39-C | O. 9 TE | 6.15 | 5.43 |
| 21.2 | 0.881 | 9.00 | 6. 54 |  |  | 7.15 | 4.52 |
| 22.2 | 0.832 | 9.23 | 6. 65 | H+hr | r/hr | 8.15 | 4.06 |
| 23.2 | 0. 784 | 10.0 | 6. 65 | 2.00 | 0.0017 | 9.15 | 3. 59 |
| 24. 2 | 0. 770 | 11.0 | 6. 65 | 2.20 | 0.0175 | 10.2 | 2. 96 |
| 25. 2 | 0.702 | 11.6 | 6. 65 | 2. 23 | 0.0308 | 11.2 | 2. 70 |
| 26.2 | 0.670 | 12.0 | 6. 54 | 2. 28 | 0.0467 | 12.2 | 2. 33 |
| 27.3 | 0.608 | 13.0 | 5. 64 | 2. 30 | 0.0591 | 13.2 | 2.15 |
| 28. 2 | 0.596 | 14.0 | 5. 42 | 2.33 | 0.0714 | 14.2 | 1.88 |
| 29.3 | 0.576 | 15.0 | 4. 29 | 2. 35 | 0.0837 | 15.2 | 1. 70 |
| 30.2 | 0. 568 | 16.0 | -3.97 | 2. 37 | 0.109 | 16.2 | 1.52 |
| 31. 2 | 0.554 | 17.0 | 3. 84 | 2. 70 | 0.514 | 17.2 | 1. 30 |
| 32.2 | 0.527 | 18.0 | 3. 52 | 2. 85 | 0. 728 | 18.1 | 1.13 |
| 33.4 | 0.439 | 19.0 | 3. 29 | 2. 97 | 0.906 | 19.2 | 1.07 |
| 34. 1 | 0. 432 | 20.0 | 3.18 | 3.05 | 1.08 | 20.2 | 0. 995 |
| 35. 3 | 0.415 | 21.0 | 3.08 | 3.13 | 1.29 | 21.1 | 0.942 |
| 36.1 | 0.403 | 22.0 | 2.96 | 3. 20 | 1. 41 | 22.1 | 0. 888 |
| 38. 4 | 0. 339 | 23.0 | 2.86 | 3. 27 | 1. 60 | 24.2 | 0. 763 |
| 40.4 | 0. 307 |  |  |  |  | 26.2 | 0.594 |
| 42. 2 | 0.292 |  |  |  |  | 28. 2 | 0.505 |

TABLE B. 1 CONTINUED

| Station and Shot |  | Station and Shot |  | Station and Shot |  | Station and Shot |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YAG $39 \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Na} 9$ TE |  | YAG 39, No. 13 (Deck) TE |  | LST 611-D, No. 1 TE |  | How F TE |  |
| H+hr | $\mathrm{r} / \mathrm{hr}$ | H+hr | $\mathrm{r} / \mathrm{hr}$ | $\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{hr}$ | $\mathrm{r} / \mathrm{hr}$ | H+min | $\mathrm{r} / \mathrm{hr}$ |
| 30.1 | 0.465 | 20.0 | 3. 88 | 10.73 | 0.24 | 101 | 0.0069 |
| 32.2 | 0.461 | 21.0 | 3. 61 | 10.98 | 0.18 | 107 | 0.016 |
| 34.2 | 0.412 | 22.0 | 3. 52 | 11.23 | 0.182 | 109 | 0.024 |
| 36.2 | 0.381 | 23.0 | 3. 52 | 11. 73 | 0.187 | 112 | 0.032 |
| 38.3 | 0.378 | 24.0 | 3. 07 | 12.23 | 0.198 | 113 | 0.036 |
| 40.1 | 0.310 | 25.0 | 2. 98 | 12. 35 | 0. 205 | 115 | 0.041 |
| 42.2 | 0. 292 | 26.0 | 2. 90 | 12.98 | 0.224 | 116 | 0.044 |
| 44.0 | 0.290 | 27.0 | 2. 36 | 13. 56 | 0. 256 | 117 | 0.051 |
| 48.0 | 0. 243 | 28.0 | 2. 28 | 14.23 | 0.247 | 118 | 0.060 |
| 50.1 | 0. 238 | 29.1 | 2.19 | 14.85 | 0.236 | 119 | 0.064 |
| 53.2 | 0.215 | 30.1 | 2. 10 | 15.48 | 0.215 | 128 | 0.101 |
| 56.2 | 0.192 | 31.0 | 2.10 | 21. 11 | 0.146 | 142 | 0.15 |
| 60.1 | 0.171 | 32.1 | 1. 92 | 24.23 | 0.112 | 149 | 0.19 |
| 63.9 | 0.158 | 33.1 | 1. 84 | 31. 73 | 0.085 | 152 | 0.20 |
| 66.2 | 0.151 | 34.0 | 1.75 | 34. 48 | 0.066 | 173 | 0.22 |
| 70.5 | 0.139 | 35.0 | 1. 49 | 38. 48 | 0.054 | 195 | 0.21 |
| 72.4 | 0.136 | 36.0 | 1.44 | 40.48 | 0.051 | 221 | 0.19 |
| 74.4 | 0.131 | 37.1 | 1. 36 | YFNB | E TE | 251 | 0.173 |
| 78.4 | 0.123 | 38.1 | 1.37 | $\frac{\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{min}}{}$ | $\underline{r / h r}$ | 341 | 0.11 |
| 78.6 | 0.113 | 39.0 | 1.09 |  |  | 401 | 0.092 |
| 79.4 | 0.113 | 40.0 | 1.04 | 18 | 0.0056 | 599 | 0.061 |
| YAG 39, | No. 13 (Deck) TE | 41.0 | 1.00 | 26 | 0.013 | 749 | 0.051 |
| H+hr | $\mathrm{r} / \mathrm{hr}$ | 42.0 | 0.972 | 30 | 0.021 | 899 | 0.042 |
|  | r/ar | 42.9 | 0.955 | 32 | 0.022 | 1,289 | 0.029 |
| 1. 30 | 0.0002 | 45.0 | 0.894 | 35 | 0.020 | 1,589 | 0.024 |
| 2.10 | 0.0082 | 47.2 | 0.886 | 36 | 0.025 | 1,889 | 0.021 |
| 2.23 | 0.0479 | 49.0 | 0.825 | 37 | 0.019 | YFNB | 9-H TE |
| 2.32 | 0.138 | 51.0 | 0.799 | 40 | 0.018 | $\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{min}$ | $\mathrm{r} / \mathrm{hr}$ |
| 2. 35 | 0.172 | 53.0 | 0.772 | 43 | 0.020 | H+min |  |
| 2.38 | 0.263 | 55.0 | 0.711 | 46 | 0.022 | 1 | 0.00056 |
| 2.57 | 0.691 | 57.0 | 0.659 | 50 | 0.030 | 3 | 0.00046 |
| 2.73 | 1.55 | 59.0 | 0.642 | 61 | 0.090 | 14 | 0.0016 |
| 3.00 | 2.81 | 61.0 | 0.616 | 71 | 0.20 | 16 | 0.015 |
| 3.23 | 4. 41 | 63.1 | 0.564 | 81 | 0.52 | 20 | 0.047 |
| 3. 32 | 5. 31 | 64.9 | 0.555 | 91 | 1.11 | 22 | 0. 30 |
| 3.57 | 8.02 | 66.0 | 0.529 | 101 | 1.87 | 24 | 0.60 |
| 4. 00 | 13.6 | 67.0 | 0.516 | 111 | 2.13 | 25 | 0.80 |
| 4.07 | 14.5 | 69.0 | 0.499 | 114 | 2. 34 | 26 | 0. 90 |
| 4. 32 | 18.4 | 71.0 | 0.485 | 116 | 2.5 | 28 | 2.0 |
| 4. 57 | 19.3 | 73.0 | 0.459 | 118 | 2.34 | 34 | 3.8 |
| 5. 00 | 20.2 | 75.0 | 0.451 | 123 | 2.21 | 38 | 7.4 |
| 5.57 | 18.7 | 77.0 | 0.424 | 177 | 2.25 | 44 | 10.0 |
| 6. 00 | 18. 9 | 79.0 | 0.376 | 204 | 1.9 | 49 | 13.2 |
| 6.57 | 15.5 | 80.2 | 0.374 | 309 | 1.0 | 490 | 9.9 |
| 7.00 | 14.5 | LST 61 | No. 1 TE | 429 | 0.7 | 670 | 7.1 |
| 7.57 | 13.4 | H+hr | $\frac{\text { No. } 1 / \mathrm{hr}}{}$ | 909 | 0. 30 | 730 | 6. 9 |
| 8.57 | 12.7 | H+hr | r/hr | 1,289 | 0.15 | 850 | 6.3 |
| 9.00 | 11.7 | 7.18 | 0.002 | 1,500 | 0.12 | 920 | 5. 9 |
| 9.57 | 10.8 | 7.23 | 0.0033 | 2,109 | 0.076 | 970 | 5.3 |
| 10.0 | 9.83 | 7.73 | 0.024 | 3,069 | 0.042 | 1,300 | 3.5 |
| 10.6 | 8.96 | 8.23 | 0.019 | 3,309 | 0.016 | 2,000 | 1.9 |
| 11.0 | 8.98 | 8.65 | 0.027 | 3,549 | 0.009 | 3,000 | 1.14 |
| 12.0 | 8. 49 | 8. 95 | 0.048 | 3,789 | 0.0085 | 3,200 | 0.72 |
| 13.0 | 7.12 | 9. 28 | 0.082 | 4,029 | 0.0081 |  |  |
| 14.0 | 6.19 | 9.51 | 0.10 | 4,509 | 0.0072 |  |  |
| 15.0 | 5. 84 | 9. 78 | 0.12 |  |  |  |  |
| 16. 0 | 5. 84 | 10.0 | 0.12 |  |  |  |  |
| 17.0 | 5.13 | 10.28 | 0.13 |  |  |  |  |
| 18.0 | 4.85 | 10.48 | 0.17 |  |  |  |  |

TABLE B. 2 INCREMENTAL COLLECTOR DATA

| Tray <br> Number | Exposure Began <br> (Mike Time) <br> 28 May 56 | Midpoint of Exposure <br> TSD | $\gamma$ Activity | $\gamma$ Activity <br> per Unit Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Designator: YAG 40-A-1 ZU
Counting Time: Corrected to $\mathrm{H}+12$ hours Nominal Exposure Interval: Variable

| 337, | 0915 | 3.4 | 36,330 | 2,400 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 330 | 0930 | 3.7 | 307,800 | 30,800 |
| 331 | 0940 | 3.8 | 298,900 | 29,890 |
| 332 | 0950 | 4.1 | $1,392,000$ | 69,600 |
| 333 | 1010 | 4.3 | $2,378,000$ | 237,800 |
| 334 | 1020 | 4.5 | $2,149,000$ | 214,900 |
| 335 | 1030 | 4.7 | $1,219,000$ | 121,900 |
| 336 | 1040 | 4.8 | $1,808,000$ | 180,800 |
| 324 | 1050 | 5.0 | $4,023,000$ | 402,300 |
| 325 | 1100 | 5.2 | $4,741,000$ | 474,000 |
| 326 | 1110 | 5.3 | $4,687,000$ | 468,700 |
| 327,328 | 1120 | 5.7 | $16,423,000$ | 547,400 |
| 329 | 1150 | 6.0 | $5,140,000$ | 514,000 |
| 318,319 | 1200 | 6.3 | $12,628,000$ | 451,000 |
| 320 | 1228 | 6.7 | $5,044,000$ | 229,300 |
| 321,322 | 1250 | 7.1 | $4,065,000$ | 176.700 |
| 323 | 1313 | 7.4 | 291,900 | 36,480 |
| 308 | 1321 | 7.5 | 349,200 | 23,280 |
| 309 | 1336 | 7.8 | 541,300 | 36,090 |
| 310 | 1351 | 8.1 | 316,500 | 16,660 |
| 311 | 1410 | 8.4 | 701,500 | 35,070 |
| 312 | 1430 | 8.7 | 189,540 | 9,480 |
| 313 | 1450 | 9.1 | 320,000 | 16,000 |
| 314 | 1510 | 9.4 | 309,500 | 15,480 |
| End of | 1530 |  |  |  |
| run |  |  |  |  |

Designator: YAG 40-B-7 ZU
Counting Time: $\mathrm{H}+\mathbf{5 5 . 1}$ to $\mathrm{H}+\mathbf{6 2 . 9}$ hours Nominal Exposure Interval: 15 minutes

| 401 | 0918 | 3.5 | 233,400 | 15,560 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 402 | 0932.7 | 3.7 | 349,300 | 23,287 |
| 403 | 0947.4 | 4.0 | 368,500 | 24,567 |
| 404 | 1002.1 | 4.2 | $1,225,000$ | 81,667 |
| 405 | 1017.1 | 4.5 | $2,089,000$ | 139,267 |
| 406 | 1031.8 | 4.7 | $2,091,000$ | 139,400 |
| 407 | 1047 | 5.0 | $2,626,000$ | 175,067 |
| 408 | 1102 | 5.2 | $4,299,000$ | 286,600 |
| 409 | 1117.4 | 5.5 | $4,146,000$ | 276,400 |
| 410 | 1132.6 | 5.7 | $4,928,000$ | 328,533 |
| 411 | 1147.8 | 6.0 | $3,916,000$ | 261,067 |
| 412 | 1203 | 6.3 | $1,469,000$ | 97,933 |
| 413 | 1218.2 | 6.5 | 908,600 | 60,573 |
| 414 | 1233.4 | 6.7 | $1,074,000$ | 71,600 |
| 415 | 1248.6 | 7.0 | $1,001,000$ | 66,733 |
| 416 | 1303.8 | 7.2 | 141,100 | 9,407 |
| 417 | 1319 | 7.5 | 110,200 | 7,347 |
| 418 | 1334.2 | 7.8 | 53,340 | 3,556 |
| 419 | 1349.4 | 8.0 | 26,830 | 1.789 |
| 420 | 1404.6 | 8.3 | 60,730 | 4,049 |

TABLE B. 2 CONTINUED

| Tray <br> Number | Exposure Began (Mike Time) 28 May 56 | Midpoint of Exposure TSD |  | $\gamma$ Activity | $\gamma$ Activity per Unit Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | hr | min | counts/min | counts/min ${ }^{2}$ |
| 421 | 1419.8 | 8.5 |  | 84, 300 | 5,620 |
| 422 | 1435.0 | 8.8 |  | 116,000 | 7,733 |
| 423 | 1450.2 | 9.0 |  | 148,600 | 9,907 |
| 424 | 1505.4 | 9.3 |  | 179, 200 | 11,946 |
| 425 | 1520.6 | 9.5 |  | 114, 300 | 7, 620 |
| 426 | 1535.8 | 9.8 |  | 95,720 | 6, 380 |
| 427 | 1551.0 | 10.1 |  | 113,900 | 7,593 |
| 428 | 1606.2 | 10.3 |  | 53,230 | 3,549 |
| 429 | 1621.4 | 10.6 |  | 63,720 | 4,248 |
| 430 | 1636.6 | 10.8 |  | 87,920 | 5,861 |
| 431 | 1651.8 | 11.0 |  | 57,860 | 3,857 |
| 432 | 1707 | 11.3 | - | 63,490 | 4,233 |
| 433 | 1722.2 | 11.6 |  | 42, 370 | 2,825 |
| 434 | 1737.4 | 11.8 |  | 32,260 | 2,151 |
| 435 | 1752.6 | 12. 1 |  | 32, 390 | 2.159 |
| 436 | 1807.8 | 12.3 |  | 18,430 | 1,229 |
| 437 | 1823 | 12.6 |  | 14, 260 | 951 |
| 438 | 1838.2 | 12.8 |  | 15, 610 | 1,041 |
| 439 | 1853.4 | 13.1 |  | 15,790 | 1,053 |
| 440 | 1908.6 | 13. 3 |  | 10,150 | 677 |
| 441 | 1923.8 | 13.6 |  | 20, 150 | 1,343 |
| 442 | 1939 | 13.9 |  | 16,950 | 1,130 |
| 443 | 1954. 2 | 14. 1 |  | 17,210 | 1,147 |
| 444 | 2009. 4 | 14.4 |  | 12,960 | 864 |
| 445 | 2024.6 | 14.6 |  | 12,150 | 810 |
| 446 | 2039. 8 | 14.8 |  | 12,460 | 831 |
| 447 | 2055 | 15.1 |  | 12,280 | 819 |
| 448 | 2110.2 | 15.4 |  | 4,462 | 297 |
| 449 | 2125.4 | 15.6 |  | 10,600 | 707 |
| 450 | 2140.1 | 16.1 |  | 111,600 | 3,434 |
| 451 | 2212.6 | - |  | 719,900 | 47,993 |
| End of run | End of <br> fallout |  |  |  |  |

Designator: YAG 39-C-20 ZU
Counting Time: $\mathrm{H}+66$ to $\mathrm{H}+70$ hours Nominal Exposure Interval: 15 minutes

| 229 | 1805 | 12.3 | 1,929 | 128 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 230 | 1820 | 12.5 | 1,690 | 112 |
| 231 | 1835 | 12.8 | 4,440 | 296 |
| 232 | 1850 | 13.0 | 1,474 | 98 |
| 233 | 1905 | 13.3 | 8,880 | 591 |
| 234 | 1920 | 13.5 | 2,540 | 169 |
| 235 | 1935 | 13.8 | 452 | 30 |
| 236 | 1950 | 14.0 | 1,093 | 73 |
| 237 | 2005 | 14.3 | 1,389 | 93 |
| 238 | 2020 | 14.5 | 2,412 | 161 |
| 239 | 2035 | 14.8 | 1,663 | 111 |
| 240 | 2050 | 15.0 | 3,552 | 236 |
| 241 | 2105 | 15.3 | 6,532 | 435 |
| 242 | 2120 | 15.5 | 12,860 | 859 |
| 243 | 2135 | 15.8 | 10,670 | 711 |
| 244 | 2150 | 16.0 | 6,076 | 405 |
| 245 | 2205 | 16.3 | 7,651 | 510 |
| 246 | 2220 | 16.7 | 14,880 | 425 |
| 247 | 2255 | 17.1 | 131,900 | 992 |
| 248 | 2309.3 | 19.0 | 18,400 | 570 |
| 249 | 0300 | 21.2 | 9,236 | 1,330 |
| 250 | 0314.2 | 21.4 | 2,767 | 615 |
| 251 | 0329.2 | 21.7 | 2,647 | 192 |
| 252 | 0344.2 | 21.9 | 5,074 | 177 |
| 253 | 0359.2 | 22.2 | 8.143 | 338 |
| 254 | 0414.2 | 22.4 | 7,990 | 541 |
| 255 | 0429.2 | 22.7 |  | 519 |

TABLE B. 2 CONTINUED

| Tray Number | Exposure Began (Mike Time) 28 May 56 | Midpoint of Exposure TSD |  | $\gamma$ Activity | $\gamma$ Activity per Unit Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | hr | min | counts/min | counts/min ${ }^{2}$ |
| 256 | 0444. 2 | 22. 9 |  | 6,497 | 433 |
| 257 | 0459.2 | 23.2 |  | 6,872 | 458 |
| 258 | 0514.2 | 23.4 |  | 6,776 | 452 |
| 259 | 0529.2 | 23.7 |  | 5,337 | 356 |
| 260 | 0544.2 | 23.9 |  | 8,816 | 588 |
| 261 | 0559.2 | 24.2 |  | 8,378 | 559 |
| 262 | 0614. 2 | 24.4 |  | 4,577 | 303 |
| 263 | 0629.2 | 24. 7 |  | 3,479 | 232 |
| 264 | 0644. 2 | 24. 9 |  | 4,396 | 292 |
| 265 | 0659. 2 | 25. 2 |  | 4,047 | 269 |
| 266 | 0714. 2 | 25.4 |  | 4,546 | 303 |
| 267 | 0729.2 | 25. 7 |  | 5, 055 | 336 |
| 268 | 0744.2 | 25. 9 |  | 4,137 | 276 |
| 269 | 0759.2 | 26.2 |  | 3,497 | 233 |
| 270 | 0814.2 | 26.4 |  | 3,400 | 226 |
| 271 | 0829.2 | 26. 7 |  | 5,780 | 385 |
| 272 | 0844. 2 | 26. 9 |  | 4,195 | 279 |
| 273 | 0859.2 | 27.2 |  | 5.464 | 354 |
| 274 | 0914.2 | 27.4 |  | 3,076 | 205 |
| 275 | 0929.2 | 27. 7 |  | 4,774 | 318 |
| 276 | 0944. 2 | 27.9 |  | 4,608 | 307 |
| 277 | 0959.2 | 28.2 |  | 3,303 | 220 |
| 278 | 1014. 2 | 28.4 |  | 149,800 | 9,970 |
| 279 | 1029. 2 | 28.7 |  | 3,005 | 200 |
| 280 | 1044. 2 | 28.9 |  | 2,610 | 176 |
| 281 | 1059.2 | 29. 2 |  | 1,814 | 121 |
| 282 | 1114.2 | 29.4 |  | 3,230 | 216 |
| 283 | 1129.2 | 29.7 |  | 2,849 | 190 |
| 284 | 1144. 2 | 29. 9 |  | 3,372 | 225 |
| End of run | 1159.2 |  |  |  |  |

Designator: YFNB 13-E-57 ZU
Counting Time: $\mathrm{H}+39.3$ to $\mathrm{H}+42.8$ hours
Nominal Exposure Interval: 15 minutes

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1200 | 0556 | 0.1 | 6 | 521 | 35 |
| 1201 | 0611 | 0.4 | 24 | 752,200 | 501,040 |
| 1202 | 0626 | 0.6 | 36 | $2,726,000$ | 181,733 |
| 1203 | 0641 | 0.9 | 54 | $5,819,000$ | 387,933 |
| 1204 | 0656 | 1.1 | 166 | $7,034,000$ | 468,933 |
| 1205 | 0711 | 1.4 | 84 | $3,870,000$ | 258,000 |
| 1206 | 0726 | 1.6 | 96 | $2,752,000$ | 183,467 |
| 1207 | 0741 | 1.9 | 114 | $1,248,000$ | 83,200 |
| 1208 | 0756 | 2.1 | 126 | 445,900 | 29,727 |
| 1209 | 0811 | 2.4 | 144 | 173,700 | 10,247 |
| 1210 | 0826 | 2.6 | 156 | 157,300 | 10,486 |
| 1211 | 0841 | 2.9 | 174 | 39,860 | 2,657 |
| 1212 | 0856 | 3.1 | 186 | 7,098 | 473 |
| 1213 | 0911 | 3.4 | 204 | 28,790 | 1,919 |
| 1214 | 0926 | 3.6 | 216 | 19,318 | 1,288 |
| 1215 | 0941 | 3.9 | 234 | 6,211 | 414 |
| 1216 | 0956 | 4.1 | 246 | 5,363 | 358 |
| 1217 | 1011 | 4.4 | 264 | 4,474 | 298 |
| 1218 | 1026 | 4.6 | 276 | 3,699 | 247 |
| 1219 | 1041 | 4.9 | 294 | 1,267 | 84 |
| 1220 | 1056 | 5.1 | 306 | 1,113 | 74 |
| 1221 | 1111 | 5.4 | 324 | 1,034 | 69 |
| 1222 | 1126 | 5.6 | 336 | 1,629 | 109 |
| 1223 | 1141 | 5.9 | 354 | 2,148 | 145 |
| 1224 | 1156 | 6.1 | 366 | 8,504 | 567 |

TABLE B. 2 CONTINUED

| Tray Number | Exposure Began <br> (Mike Time) 28 May 56 | Midpoint of Exposure TSD |  | $\gamma$ Activity | $\gamma$ Activity per Unit Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | hr | min | counts/min | counts/min ${ }^{2}$ |
| 1225 | 1211 | 6.4 | 384 | 800 | 53 |
| 1226 | 1226 | 6. 6 | 396 | 850 | 57 |
| 1227 | 1241 | 6.9 | 414 | 1.036 | 69 |
| 1228 | 1256 | 7.1 | 426 | 536 | 36 |
| 1229 | 1311 | 7.4 | 444 | 1,249 | 83 |
| 1230 | 1326 | 7.6 | 456 | 586 | 39 |
| 1231 | 1341 | 7.9 | 474 | 5,734 | 382 |
| 1232 | 1356 | 8.1 | 486 | 21.079 | 1. 405 |
| 1233 | 1411 | 8.4 | 504 | 12, 420 | 828 |
| 1234 | 1426 | 8.6 | 516 | 568 | 38 |
| 1235 | 1441 | 8.9 | 534 | 1,818 | 121 |
| 1236 | 1456 | 9.1 | 546 | 12,490 | 833 |
| 1237 | 1511 | 9.4 | 564 | - | - |
| 1238 | 1526 | 9.6 | 576 | 1,066 | 71 |
| 1239 | 1541 | 9.9 | 594 | 684 | 46 |
| 1240 | 1556 | 10.1 | 606 | 480 | 32 |
| 1241 | 1611 | 10.4 | 624 | 126 | 8 |
| 1242 | 1626 | 10.6 | 636 | 404 | 27 |
| 1243 | 1641 | 10.9 | 654 | 574 | 38 |
| 1244 | 1656 | 11.1 | 666 | 820 | 55 |
| 1245 | 1711 | 11.4 | 684 | 613 | 41 |
| 1246 | 1726 | 11.6 | 696 | 1,164 | 78 |
| 1247 | 1741 | 11.9 | 714 | - | - |
| 1248 | 1756 | 12.1 | 726 | Background |  |
| 1249 |  |  |  | Background |  |
| 1250 to |  |  |  | Background |  |
| 1254 | 1941 | 13.8 | 828 | Background |  |

Designator: How F-64 2U
Counting Time: $\mathrm{H}+20.2$ to $\mathrm{H}+22.8$ hours
Nominal Exposure lnterval: 15 minutes

| 858 | 0556 | 0.1 | 6 | 19 | 1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 859 | 0611 | 0.4 | 24 | 2,996 | 199 |
| 860 | 0626 | 0.6 | 36 | $2,082,000$ | 138,800 |
| 861 | 0641 | 0.9 | 54 | $1,113,000$ | 74,200 |
| 862 | 0656 | 1.1 | 66 | 710,200 | 46,747 |
| 863 | 0711 | 1.4 | 84 | 754,700 | 50,313 |
| 864 | 0726 | 1.6 | 96 | 907,800 | 60,520 |
| 865 | 0741 | 1.9 | 114 | 216,700 | 14,447 |
| 866 | 0756 | 2.1 | 126 | 74,300 | 4,953 |
| 867 | 0811 | 2.4 | 144 | 134,800 | 8,987 |
| 868 | 0826 | 2.6 | 156 | 50 | 3 |
| 869 | 0841 | 2.9 | 174 | 15 | 1 |
| 870 | 0856 | 3.1 | 186 | 46 | 1 |
| 871 | 0911 | 3.4 | 204 | 124 | 3 |
| 872 | 0926 | 3.6 | 216 | 15 | 8 |
| 873 | 0941 | 3.9 | 234 | 79 | 1 |
| 874 | 0956 | 4.1 | 246 | 64 | 5 |
| 875 | 1011 | 4.4 | 264 | 742 | 4 |
| 876 | 1026 |  | 4.6 | 276 | 47 |
| 877 to 899 |  |  |  | Background | 50 |
| End of run | 1641 | 10.7 |  | Background |  |

TABLE B. 2 CONTINUED

| Tray <br> Number | Exposure Began <br> (Mike Time) <br> 28 May 56 | Midpoint of Exposure <br> TSD | $\gamma$ Activity | $\gamma$ Activity <br> per Unit Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | hr | min | counts $/ \mathrm{min}$ | counts $/ \mathrm{min}^{2}$ |

Designator: YFNB 29-G-71 ZU
Counting Time: $\mathrm{H}+29.6$ to $\mathrm{H}+35.4$ hours
Nominal Exposure Interval: 2 minutes

| 1257 | 0558.2 | 3 | 274 | 137 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1258 | 0600 | 5 | 1,059 | 530 |
| 1259 | 0602 | 7 | 34 | 17 |
| 1260 | 0603.8 | 9 | -4 | -2 |
| 1261 | 0605.6 | 10 | -2 | -1 |
| 1262 | 0607.3 | 12 | -3 | -2 |
| 1263 | 0609.2 | 14 | 85 | 42 |
| 1264 | 0611 | 16 | 38 | 19 |
| 1265 | 0612.8 | 18 | 47 | 24 |
| 1266 | 0615 | 20 | 43 | 22 |
| 1267 | 0617 | 22 | 39 | 20 |
| 1268 | 0618.8 | 23 | 44 | 22 |
| 1269 | 0621 | 26 | 203 | 102 |
| 1270 | 0622.7 | 28 | 212 | 206 |
| 1271 | 0624.6 | 30 | 375 | 172 |
| 1272 | 0626.4 | 31 | 97,120 | 48,560 |
| 1273 | 0628.4 | 33 | 7, 320 | 3,660 |
| 1274 | 0630.3 | 35 | 768,900 | 384,450 |
| 1275 | 0632.1 | 37 | 289,100 | 144,500 |
| 1276 | 0634.1 | 39 | 1,569,000 | 784,500 |
| 1277 | 0636.2 | 41 | 58,000 | 29,000 |
| 1278 | 0638.3 | 43 | 35,200 | 17,600 |
| 1279 | 0640.5 | 46 | 1,321,000 | 660,500 |
| 1280 | 0642. 7 | 48 | 670, 700 | 335, 350 |
| 1281 | 0644.8 | 50 | 337, 700 | 168,850 |
| 1282 | 0646.8 | 52 | 138,000 | 69,000 |
| 1283 | 0648.7 | 54 | 1,666,000 | 833, 000 |
| 1284 | 0650.8 | 66 | 451,600 | 225,800 |
| 1285 | 0652.8 | 58 | 382, 200 | 191, 100 |
| 1286 | 0654.3 | 59 | 1,534,000 | 767, 000 |
| 1287 | 0656.5 | 62 | 2,581,000 | 1,290,500 |
| 1288 | 0658.8 | 64 | 1,466,000 | 733, 000 |
| 1289 | 0700.8 | 66 | 377,900 | 188,950 |
| 1290 | 0702.9 | 68 | 1,499,000 | 749, 500 |
| 1291 | 0705 | 70 | 1,089, 000 | 544,500 |
| 1292 | 0707 | 72 | 1,635,000 | 817,500 |
| 1293 | 0709.1 | 74 | 1,048,000 | 524, 000 |
| 1294 | 0711.2 | 76 | 321, 700 | 160,850 |
| 1295 | 0713 | 78 | 623,000 | 311, 500 |
| 1296 | 0715 | 80 | 1,386,000 | 693,000 |
| 1297 | 0716.7 | 82 | 531,600 | 265, 800 |
| 1298 | 0718.5 | 83 | 711,400 | 355, 700 |
| 1299 | 0720.7 | 85 | 610,200 | 305, 100 |
| 1300 | 0722.4 | 87 | 1,032,000 | 516,000 |
| 1301 | 0724.5 | 90 | 429,700 | 214,850 |
| 1302 | 0726.7 | 92 | 1,159,000 | 579,500 |
| 1303 | 0728.8 | 94 | 334, 600 | 167, 300 |
| 1304 | 0730.8 | 96 | 725, 000 | 362,500 |
| 1305 | 0733 | 98 | 416,900 | 208,450 |
| 1306 | 0735.1 | 100 | 172,400 | 86, 200 |
| 1307 | 0737 | 102 | 270, 400 | 135, 200 |
| 1308 | 0739.1 | 104 | 188, 300 | 94,150 |
| 1309 | 0741.2 | 106 | 239, 100 | 119,550 |
| 1310 | 0743.3 | 108 | 360, 300 | 180,150 |
| 1311 | 0745.5 | 110 | 1,032,000 | 516,000 |
| End of run | 0747. 2 |  |  |  |

TABLE B. 2 CONTINUED

| Tray <br> Number | Exposure Began <br> (Mike Time) <br> $12-13$ June 56 | Midpoint of Exposure <br> TSD | $\gamma$ Activity | $\gamma$ Activity <br> per Unit Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $h r$ | $\min$ | counts/min | counts/min2 |

Designator: YAG 40-A-1 FL
Counting Time: Corrected to $\mathrm{H}+12$ hours
Nominal Exposure Interval: Variable

| 3815 | 1145 | 5. 9 | 434 | 5.8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2690 | 1300 | 7.1 | 405 | 6.8 |
| 3814 | 1400 | 7.8 | 15,453 | 515 |
| 2689 | 1430 | 8.3 | 393 | 13.1 |
| 3813 | 1500 | 8.8 | 15,370 | 512 |
| 2688 | 1530 | 9. 3 | 22,130 | 738 |
| 3812 | 1600 | 9.8 | 76, 380 | 2,546 |
| 2687 | 1630 | 10.3 | 24,670 | 822 |
| 3811 | 1700 | 10.8 | 114,400 | 3,813 |
| 2686 | 1730 | 11.3 | 52, 230 | 1,741 |
| 3810 | 1800 | 11.8 | 45,700 | 1,523 |
| 2685 | 1830 | 12.3 | 4,495 | 150 |
| 3809 | 1900 | 13.1 | 192 | 3 |
| 2684 | 2000 | 13.8 | 175 | 6 |
| 3808 | 2030 | 14. 3 | 22,170 | 739 |
| 2683 | 2100 | 14.8 | 13,470 | 449 |
| 3807 | 2130 | 15.3 | 55,500 | 1,850 |
| 2682 | 2200 | 15. 8 | 79,590 | 2,653 |
| 3806 | 2230 | 16. 3 | 29,380 | 979 |
| 2681 | 2300 | 16. 8 | 75, 600 | 2,520 |
| 3805 | 2330 | 17.3 | 11,530 | 384 |
| 2680 | 2400 | 17.8 | 15,950 | 532 |
| 3804 | 0030 | 18.3 | 23, 920 | 797 |
| 2679 | 0100 | 18.8 | 84 | 3 |
| 3803 | 0130 | 19.3 | 18,520 | 617 |
| 2678 | 0200 | 19.8 | 64 | 2 |
| 3802 | 0230 | 20.3 | 89 | 3 |
| 2677 | 0300 | 20.8 | 6,609 | 220 |
| 3801 | 0330 | 21.3 | 27, 860 | 929 |
| 2676 | 0400 | 21.8 | 9.400 | 313 |
| 3800 | 0430 | 22. 3 | 202,000 | 6,733 |
| 2675 | 0500 | 22.8 | 16,070 | 537 |
| 3799 | 0530 | 23.3 | 73 | 2 |
| 2674 | 0600 | 23. 8 | 147 | 5 |
| 3798 | 0630 | 24.3 | 29 | 1 |
| 2673 | 0700 | 24. 8 | 196 | 6 |
| 3797 | 0730 | 25.3 | 126 | 4 |
| 2669 | 0800 | 25.8 | 356 | 11.9 |
| 3796 | 0830 | 26. 2 | 275 | 13.7 |
| 2871 | 0850 | 26. 7 | 3,801 | 95 |
| End of run | 0930 | 27.1 |  |  |

Designator: YAG 40-B-7 FL
Counting Time: Corrected to $\mathrm{H}+12$ hours
Nominal Exposure Interval: 15 minutes
12 June 56

| 2638 | 1235 | 6.3 | 1,273 | 84.8 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 3764 | 1250 | 6.5 | 1,301 | 86.7 |
| 2637 | 1305 | 6.8 | 714 | 47.6 |
| 3763 | 1320 | 7.0 | 414 | 27.6 |
| 2636 | 1335 | 7.3 | 392 | 28.1 |
| 3762 | 1350 | 7.5 | 3,347 | 223 |
| 2635 | 1405 | 7.8 | 146 | 9.7 |
| 3761 | 1420 | 8.0 | 1.525 | 102 |

TABLE B. 2 CONTINUED

| Tray Number | Exposure Began (Mike Time) 12 June 56 | Midpoint of Exposure TSD |  | $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ Activity | $\gamma$ Activity per Unit Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | hr | min | counts/min | counts/min ${ }^{2}$ |
| 2634 | 1435 | 8.3 |  | 520 | 34. 7 |
| 3760 | 1450 | 8.5 |  | 1,876 | 125 |
| 2633 | 1505 | 8.8 |  | 5,733 | 382 |
| 3759 | 1520 | 9.0 |  | 17,379 | 1,159 |
| 2632 | 1535 | 9.3 |  | 5,602 | 373 |
| 3758 | 1550 | 9.5 |  | 36,505 | 2,434 |
| 2631 | 1605 | 9.8 |  | 271 | 18.1 |
| 3759 | 1620 | 10.0 |  | 50,997 | 3,400 |
| 2630 | 1635 | 10.3 |  | 28, 380 | 1,892 |
| 3756 | 1650 | 10.5 |  | 163, 700 | 10,910 |
| 2629 | 1705 | 10.8 |  | 9,928 | 662 |
| 3755 | 1720 | 11.0 |  | 17, 720 | 1,181 |
| 2628 | 1735 | 11.3 |  | 11,990 | 799 |
| 3754 | 1750 | 11.5 |  | 3, 799 | 253 |
| 2627 | 1805 | 11.8 |  | 8,997 | 600 |
| 3753 | 1820 | 12.0 |  | 45,806 | 3,054 |
| 2626 | 1835 | 12.3 |  | 210 | 14 |
| 3752 | 1850 | 12.5 |  | 32,833 | 2,189 |
| 2625 | 1905 | 12. 8 |  | 7,223 | 482 |
| 3751 | 1920 | 13.0 |  | 960 | 64 |
| 2624 | 1935 | 13.3 |  | 293 | 19.5 |
| 3750 | 1950 | 13.5 |  | 804 | 53.6 |
| 2623 | 2005 | 13.8 |  | 290 | 19.3 |
| 3749 | 2020 | 14.0 |  | 717 | 47.8 |
| 2622 | 2035 | 14.3 |  | 41 | 3 |
| 3748 | 2050 | 14.5 |  | 807 | 53.8 |
| 2621 | 2105 | 14.8 |  | 118 | 7.9 |
| 3747 | 2120 | 15.0 |  | 22,809 | 1,521 |
| 2620 | 2135 | 15.3 |  | 4,565 | 304 |
| 3746 | 2150 | 15.5 |  | 193 | 12. 9 |
| 2619 | 2205 | 15.8 |  | 176 | 11.7 |
| 3745 | 2220 | 16.0 |  | 17,653 | 1,177 |
| 2618 | 2234 | 16.3 |  | 326 | 21.7 |
| 3744 | 2249 | 16.5 |  | 2,627 | 175 |
| 2617 | 2304 | 16.8 |  | 1,360 | 90.6 |
| 3743 | 2319 | 17.0 |  | 1,877 | 125 |
| 2618 | 2334 | 17.3 |  | 283 | 18.9 |
| 3742 | 2349 | 17.5 |  | 8,805 | 587 |
| 2615 | 0004 | 17.8 |  | 374 | 24.9 |
| 3741 | 0019 | 18.0 |  | 21,188 | 1,412 |
| 2614 | 0034 | 18.3 |  | 7,158 | 477 |
| 3740 | 0049 | 18.5 |  | 625 | 41.7 |
| 2613 | 0104 | 18.8 |  | 644 | 42.9 |
| 3739 | 0119 | 19.0 |  | 675 | 45.0 |
| 2612 | 0133 | 19.3 |  | 1,948 | 130 |
| 3738 | 0148 | 19.5 |  | 843 | 56.2 |
| 2611 | 0203 | 19.8 |  | 1,974 | 132 |
| End of run | 0218 | 19.9 |  |  |  |

Designator: YAG 39-C-20 FL
Counting Time: Corrected to H+12 hours Nominal Exposure Interval: 15 minutes

| 2176 | 1050 | 4.5 | 948 | 63.2 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 3318 | 1104.6 | 4.8 | 16,210 | 1,081 |
| 2177 | 1119.6 | 5.0 | 870 | 58.0 |
| 3319 | 1134.6 | 5.3 | 65,930 | 4,395 |
| 2178 | 1149.6 | 5.5 | 35,540 | 2,369 |
| 3320 | 1205.5 | 5.8 | 371,000 | 24,730 |
| 2179 | 1220.8 | 6.0 | 463 | 30.9 |

TABLE B. 2 CONTINUED

| Tray <br> Number | Exposure Began (Mike Time) 12 June 56 | Midpoint of Exposure TSD |  | $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ Activity | $\gamma$ Activity per Unit Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | hr | min | counts/min | counts/min ${ }^{2}$ |
| 3321 | 1236.1 | 6.3 |  | 994 | 66.3 |
| 2180 | 1251.2 | 6.5 |  | 213 | 14.2 |
| 3322 | 1306.2 | 6.8 |  | 13,220 | 881 |
| 2181 | 1321.5 | 7.1 |  | 23 | 1 |
| 3323 | 1326.9 | 7.3 |  | 852 | 56.8 |
| 2182 | 1352.2 | 7.6 |  | 12,960 | 864 |
| 3324 | 1407.5 | 7.8 |  | 2,218 | 148 |
| 2183 | 1422.9 | 8.1 |  | 275 | 18. 3 |
| 3325 | 1437.9 | 8.3 |  | 1,301 | 86. 1 |
| 2184 | 1452.9 | 8.6 |  | 1,054 | 70.3 |
| 3326 | 1508.3 | 8. 8 |  | 1,463 | 97.5 |
| 2185 | 1523.5 | 9.1 |  | 474 | 31.6 |
| 3327 | 1538.8 | 9.3 |  | 8,106 | 540 |
| 2186 | 1554. 1 | 9.6 |  | 211 | 14.1 |
| 3328 | 1609.3 | 9.9 |  | 904 | 60.3 |
| 2187 | 1624.4 | 10.1 |  | 1,275 | 85 |
| 3329 | 1639.4 | 10.4 |  | 26,870 | 1,791 |
| 2188 | 1654.7 | 10.6 |  | 26,920 | 1,795 |
| 3330 | 1710.0 | 10.8 |  | 30, 140 | 2,009 |
| 2189 | 1725 | 11.1 |  | 904 | 60.3 |
| 3331 | 1740 | 11.4 |  | 1,765 | 118 |
| 2190 | 1755 | 11.6 |  | 167 | 11.1 |
| 3332 | 1810.3 | 11.9 |  | 1,345 | 89.6 |
| 2191 | 1825.5 | 12.1 |  | 18,880 | 1,259 |
| 3333 | 1840.5 | 12.4 |  | 7,738 | 516 |
| 2192 | 1855.8 | 12.6 |  | 298 | 199 |
| 3334 | 1911.2 | 12.9 |  | 484 | 32.3 |
| 2193 | 1928. 2 | 13.1 |  | 172 | 11.5 |
| 3335 | 1941.2 | 13.4 |  | 19.360 | 1. 291 |
| 2194 | 1956.5 | 13.6 |  | 616 | 41.1 |
| 3336 | 2011.8 | 13.9 |  | 782 | 521 |
| 2195 | 2027.1 | 14.2 |  | 1,120 | 74.4 |
| 3337 | 2042.1 | 14.4 |  | 2,243 | 150 |
| 2196 | 2057.3 | 14.7 |  | 12,925 | 862 |
| 3338 | 2112.4 | 14.9 |  | 1,567 | 104 |
| 2197 | 2127.4 | 15.2 |  | 506 | 33.7 |
| 3339 | 2142.4 | 15.4 |  | 653 | 43.5 |
| 2198 | 2157.4 | 15.6 |  | 578 | 38.5 |
| 3340 | 2212.7 | 15.9 |  | 1,535 | 102 |
| 2199 | 2228.0 | 16.2 |  | 249 | 16.6 |
| 3341 | 2243 | 16.4 |  | 887 | 59.1 |
| 2200 | 2258. 3 | 16.7 |  | 619 | 41.3 |
| 3342 | 2313.6 | 16.9 |  | 1,250 | 83.3 |
| 2201 | 2328. 6 | 17.2 |  | 536 | 35. 7 |
| 3343 | 2343. 9 | 17.4 |  | 495 | 33.0 |
| 2202 | 2358. 9 | 17.7 |  | 308 | 20.5 |
| 3344 | 0013.9 | 17.9 |  | 1,125 | 75. 0 |
| 2203 | 0028.9 | 18. 2 |  | 460 | 30.6 |
| End of run | 0042.2 |  |  |  |  |

Designator: LST 611-D-50 FL
Counting Time: Corrected to $\mathrm{H}+12$ hours
Nominal Exposure Interval: 15 minutes

| 2667 | 1327 | 7.2 | 426 | 28.4 |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 3792 | 1342.3 | 7.4 | 1,079 | 72 |
| 2666 | 1357.5 | 7.7 | 28,757 | 1,915 |
| 3791 | 1412.7 | 7.9 | 622 | 41.5 |
| 2665 | 1427.9 | 8.1 | 18,747 | 1,250 |

TABLE B. 2 CONTINUED

| Tray <br> Number | Exposure Began (Mike Time) 12 June 56 | Midpoint of Exposure TSD |  | $\gamma$ Activity | $\gamma$ Activity per Unit Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | hr | min | counts/min | counts/min ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ |
| 3790 | 1443. 2 | 8.4 |  | 1,891 | 126 |
| 2664 | 1458.4 | 8.7 |  | 69,250 | 4,620 |
| 3789 | 1513.6 | 8.9 |  | 31. 126 | 2,070 |
| 2663 | 1528.8 | 9.2 |  | 6,348 | 422 |
| 3788 | 1544 | 9.4 |  | 785 | 52.4 |
| 2662 | 1559.2 | 9. 7 |  | 216 | 14.4 |
| 3787 | 1614.4 | 9.9 |  | 348 | 23.2 |
| 2661 | 1629.6 | 10.2 |  | 477 | 31.8 |
| 3786 | 1644.8 | 10.4 |  | 398 | 26.5 |
| 2660 | 1700 | 10.7 |  | 472 | 31.5 |
| 3785 | 1715.2 | 10.9 |  | 743 | 49.5 |
| 2659 | 1730.4 | 11.2 |  | 218 | 14.5 |
| 3784 | 1745.6 | 11.4 |  | 1,088 | 72.5 |
| 2658 | 1800.8 | 11.7 |  | 83 | 5.5 |
| 3783 | 1816 | 12.0 |  | 1,922 | 128 |
| 2657 | 1831.2 | 12.2 |  | 840 | 56 |
| 3782 | 1846.4 | 12.5 |  | 1,239 | 82.6 |
| 2656 | 1901.6 | 12.7 |  | 63 | 4 |
| 3781 | 1916.8 | 13.0 |  | 626 | 41. 7 |
| 2655 | 1932 | 13. 2 |  | 425 | 28. 3 |
| 3780 | 1947.2 | 13.5 |  | -425 | 28.3 |
| 2654 | 2002.6 | 13.7 |  | 432 | 29.8 |
| 3779 | 2017.8 | 14.0 |  | 2,482 | 165 |
| 2653 | 2033 | 14.2 |  | 93 | 6. 2 |
| 3778 | 2048. 1 | 14.5 |  | 11. 269 | 751 |
| 2652 | 2103.3 | 14.8 |  | 194 | 12.9 |
| 3777 | 2118.5 | 15.0 |  | 965 | 64.3 |
| 2651 | 2133.7 | 15. 3 |  | 697 | 48.5 |
| 3776 | 2148.9 | 15.5 |  | 536 | 36. 7 |
| 2650 | 2204.1 | 15.8 |  | 161 | 10.7 |
| 3775 | 2219.3 | 16.0 |  | 402 | 26.8 |
| 2649 | 2234.5 | 16.3 |  | 663 | 44.2 |
| 3774 | 2250 | 16.5 |  | 1,481 | 98.7 |
| 2648 | 2305.2 | 16.8 |  | 140 | 9. 3 |
| 3773 | 2320.4 | 17.0 |  | 402 | 26.8 |
| 2647 | 2435.6 | 17.3 |  | 536 | 35. 7 |
| 3772 | 2550.8 | 17.5 |  | 187 | 12.5 |
| 2646 | 0006 | 17.8 |  | 1,219 | 81.3 |
| 3771 | 0021.2 | 18.1 |  | 1,189 | -79.3 |
| 2645 | 0036.4 | 18.3 |  | 375 | 25.0 |
| 3770 | 0051.6 | 18.5 |  | 1,658 | 110 |
| 2644 | 0106.8 | 18.8 |  | 4,037 | 269 |
| 3769 | 0122 | 19.1 |  | 1,735 | 116 |
| 2643 | 0137.2 | 19.3 | ' | 519 | 34.6 |
| 3768 | 0152.4 | 19.6 |  | 409 | 27.3 |
| 2642 | 0207.6 | 19.8 |  | 1,209 | 80.6 |
| 3767 | 0222.8 | 20.1 |  | 1,112 | 74.1 |
| 2641 | 0238 | 20.3 |  | 2,184 | 145. 0 |
| 3766 | 0253.2 | 20.6 |  | 988 | 65.9 |
| 2640 | 0308.4 | 20.8 |  | 583 | 38. 9 |
| End of run | 0323.6 |  |  |  |  |

TABLE B. 2 CONTINUED

| Tray <br> Number | Exposure Began <br> (Mike Time) <br> 12 June 56 | Midpoint of Exposure <br> TSD | $\gamma$ Activity | $\gamma$ Activity <br> per Unit Time |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | hr | min | counts/min | counts/min $\mathbf{m}^{2}$ |

Designator: YFNB 29-H-78 FL
Counting Time: Corrected to $\mathrm{H}+12$ hours
Nominal Exposure Interval: 15 minutes

| 3067 | 0626 | 0.1 | 6 | 912 | 60.8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1917 | 0641 | 0.4 | 24 | 1,426 | 95.0 |
| 3068 | 0656 | 0.6 | 36 | 3,404 | 227 |
| 1918 | 0711 | 0.9 | 54 | 3,295 | 220 |
| 3069 | 0726 | 1.1 | 66 | 2,239,000 | 149,300 |
| 1919 | 0741 | 1.4 | 84 | 967,100 | 64,470 |
| 3070 | 0756 | 1.6 | 96 | 619,300 | 41,290 |
| 1920 | 0811 | 1.9 | 114 | Background | - |
| 3071 | 0826 to 0841 | 2. 1 | 126 | Background | - |
| to | ea. 15 min |  |  | Background | - |
| 1922 | 0911 | 2. 9 | 174 | Background | - |
| 3073 | 0926 | 3. 1 | 186 | 1,003 | 66.9 |
| 1923 | 0941 | 3.4 | 204 | 4,297 | 286 |
| 3074 | 0956 | 3. 6 | 216 | 5,459 | 364 |
| 1924 | 1011 to 1026 | 3. 9 | 234 | Background | - |
| to | ea. 15 min |  |  | Background | - |
| 1926 | 1111 | 4. 9 | 294 | Background | - |
| 3077 | 1126 | 5. 1 | 306 | 1,635 | 109 |
| 1927 | 1141 | 5.4 | 324 | Background | - |
| 3078 | 1156 | 5. 6 | 336 | Background | 106 |
| 1928 | 1211 | 5. 9 | 354 | Background | - |
| 3079 | 1228 | 6.1 | 366 | Background | 76.3 |
| 1929 | 1241 | 6. 4 | 384 | Background | - |
| 3080 | 1256 | 6. 6 | 396 | Background | - |
| 1930 | 1311 | 6. 9 | 414 | 6,248 | 416 |
| 3081 | 1326 | 7.1 | 426 | 3,719 | 248 |
| 1931 | 1341 to 1356 | 7.4 | 444 | Background | - |
| to | ea. 15 min |  |  | Background | - |
| 1933 | 1441 | 8. 4 | 504 | Background | - |
| 3084 | 1456 | 8. 6 | 516 | 6,312 | 421 |
| 1934 | 1511 to 1526 | 8. 9 | 534 | Background | - |
| to | ea. 15 min |  |  | Background | - |
| 3091 | 1826 | 12.1 | 726 | Background | - |
| End of | 1835 |  |  |  |  |

Designator: YAG 40-A-1 NA
Counting Time: Corrected to $\mathbf{H + 1 2}$ hours
Nominal Exposure Interval: Variable
11-12 July 56

| 1863 | 0700 | 1.6 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 3016 | 0745 | 2.1 |
| 1864 | 0815 | 2.6 |
| 3017 | 0900 | 3.6 |
| 1865 | 1003 | 4.5 |
| 3018 | 1046 | 5.1 |
| 1866 | 1115 | 5.6 |
| 3019 | 1145 | 6.1 |
| 1867 | 1222 | 6.9 |
| 3020 | 1315 | 7.6 |
| 1868 | 1345 | 8.1 |
| 3021 | 1418 | 8.6 |
| 1869 | 1446 | 9.1 |
| 3022 | 1515 | 9.6 |
| 1870 | 1545 | 10.1 |


| Background | - |
| ---: | ---: |
| Background | - |
| Background | - |
| Background | - |
| Background | - |
| Background | - |
| Background | - |
| Background | - |
| 12,290 | 232 |
| 10,360 | 345 |
| 6,036 | 183 |
| 30,350 | 1,084 |
| 99,110 | 3,418 |
| 89,020 | 2,967 |
| 93,970 | 3,132 |

TABLE B. 2 CONTINUED

| Tray Number | Exposure Began (Mike Time) 11-12 July 56 | Midpoint of Exposure TSD |  | $\gamma$ Activity | $\gamma$ Activity per Unit Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | hr | min | counts/min | counts/min ${ }^{2}$ |
| 3023 | 1615 | 10.6 |  | 72,090 | 2,403 |
| 1871 | 1645 | 11.1 |  | 27,380 | 913 |
| 3024 | 1715 | 11.6 |  | 50,380 | 1,679 |
| 1872 | 1745 | 12.1 |  | 50,340 | 1,678 |
| 3025 | 1815 | 12.6 |  | 48,960 | 1,632 |
| 1873 | 1845 | 13.1 |  | 28,440 | 948 |
| 3026 | 1915 | 13.6 |  | 40, 240 | 1,298 |
| 1874 | 1946 | 14.1 |  | 45,210 | 1,559 |
| 3027 | 2015 | 14.6 |  | 21,420 | 714 |
| 1875 | 2045 | 14.9 |  | 8,650 | 577 |
| 3028 | 2100 | 15.3 |  | 12,410 | 414 |
| 1876 | 2130 | 15.8 |  | 21,720 | 603 |
| 3029 | 2206 | 16.4 |  | 18,880 | 787 |
| 1877 | 2230 | 16.8 |  | 1,795 | 56 |
| 3030 | 2302 | 17.3 |  | 803 | 29 |
| 1878 | 2330 | 17.8 |  | 1,142 | 38 |
| 3031 | 2400 | 18.3 |  | 1,403 | 45 |
| 1879 | 0031 | -18.8 |  | 65 | 2 |
| End of run | 0100 | 19.1 |  |  |  |

Designator: YAG 40-B-7 NA
Counting Time: Corrected to $\mathrm{H}+12$ hours Nominal Exposure Interval: 15 minutes

## 11 July 56

| 3290 | 0717 | 1.5 | 431 | 29 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2148 | 0732.7 | 1.7 | 794 | 53 |
| 3291 | 0747.8 | 2.0 | 625 | 42 |
| 2149 | 0802.9 | 2.2 | 0 | - |
| 3292 | 0818 | 2.5 | 188 | 12 |
| 2150 | 0833.1 | 2. 7 | 79 | 5 |
| 3293 | 0848.2 | 3. 0 | 804 | 54 |
| 2151 | 0903.3 | 3. 2 | 0 | - |
| 3294 | 0918.4 | 3. 5 | 5,975 | 398 |
| 2152 | 0933.5 | 3.7 | 14 | 1 |
| 3295 | 0948.6 | 4.0 | 476 | 32 |
| 2153 | 1003. 7 | 4.2 | 2,987 | 199 |
| 3296 | 1018.8 | 4.5 | 218 | 14 |
| 2154 | 1033.9 | 4. 7 | 938 | 62 |
| 3297 | 1049.0 | 5. 0 | 2,590 | 173 |
| 2155 | 1104.1 | 5. 2 | 287 | 19 |
| 3298 | 1119.2 | 5. 5 | 71 | 5 |
| 2156 | 1134.3 | 5. 7 | 2,015 | 135 |
| 3299 | 1149.4 | 6. 0 | 147 | 10 |
| 2157 | 1204.5 | 6.2 | 1,233 | 82 |
| 3300 | 1219.6 | 6. 5 | 228 | 15 |
| 2158 | 1234.7 | 6. 7 | 314 | 21 |
| 3301 | 1249.8 | 7.0 | 1,350 | 90 |
| 2159 | 1304.9 | 7.2 | 12,562 | 837 |
| 3302 | 1320.0 | 7.5 | 14,150 | 943 |
| 2160 | 1335.1 | 7.7 | 12,110 | 807 |
| 3303 | 1350.2 | 8. 0 | 75,320 | 5,021 |
| 2161 | 1405.3 | 8.2 | 751 | 50 |
| 3304 | 1420.4 | 8.5 | 355 | 24 |
| 2162 | 1435.5 | 8.7 | 35,170 | 2,345 |
| 3305 | 1450.6 | 9.0 | 675 | 45 |
| 2163 | 1505.7 | 9.2 | 44,760 | 2,984 |
| 3306 | 1520.8 | 9.5 | 44,490 | 2,966 |

TABLE B. 2 CONTINUED

| Tray <br> Number | Exposure Began <br> (Mike Time) <br> 11 July 56 | Midpoint of Exposure TSD |  | $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ Activity | $\gamma$ Activity per Unit Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | hr | min | counts/min | counts/min ${ }^{2}$ |
| 2164 | 1535.9 | 9.7 |  | 6,659 | 444 |
| 3307 | 1551.0 | 10.0 |  | 36,910 | 2,461 |
| 2165 | 1606. 1 | 10.2 |  | 223 | 15 |
| 3308 | 1621. 2 | 10.5 |  | 51,410 | 3,427 |
| 2166 | 1636.3 | 10.7 |  | 7,156 | 447 |
| 3309 | 1651.4 | 11.0 |  | 5,568 | 3,709 |
| 2167 | 1706.5 | 11.2 | - | 2,553 | 170 |
| 3310 | 1721.6 | 11.5 |  | 25,350 | 1,690 |
| 2168 | 1736.7 | 11.7 |  | 649 | 43 |
| 3311 | 1751.8 | 12.0 |  | 15, 144 | 1,050 |
| 2169 | 1806.9 | 12.2 |  | 22,710 | 1,514 |
| - 3312 | 1822 | 12.5 |  | 4,844 | 323 |
| 2170 | 1837.1 | 12.7 |  | 5,514 | 368 |
| 3313 | 1852.5 | 13.1 |  | 24,940 | 1,663 |
| 2171 | 1907.6 | 13.3 |  | - 13,990 | 933 |
| 3314 | 1922. 7 | 13.6 |  | 2,190 | 146 |
| 2172 | 1937.8 | 13.8 |  | 17,990 | 1,200 |
| 3315 | 1952.9 | 14.1 |  | 2,633 | 176 |
| 2173 | 2008 | 14.3 |  | 11,540 | 769 |
| 3316 | 2023. 1 | 14.6 |  | 824 | 55 |
| 2174 | 2038. 2 | 14.8 |  | 11,081 | 739 |
| 3317 | 2053. 3 | 15.1 |  | 1,067 | 71 |
| 2175 | 2108.4 | 15.3 |  | 19,981 | 1,332 |
| End of run | 2123.5 | 15.5 |  |  |  |

Designator: YAG 39-C-20 NA
Counting Time: Corrected to $\mathrm{H}+12$ hours
Nominal Exposure Interval: 15 minutes

| 1312 | 0800 |  | 2.2 | 105 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1313 | 0815 |  | 2.4 | 118,320 | 7,888 |
| 1314 | 0830 |  | 2.7 | 21, 020 | 1,401 |
| 1315 | 0845 |  | 2. 9 | 44, 430 | 2,962 |
| 1316 | 0900 |  | 3. 2 | 49,500 | 3,300 |
| 1317 | 0915 |  | 3.4 | 46 | 3 |
| 1318 | 0930 |  | 3.7 | 111,060 | 7,404 |
| 1319 | 0945 |  | 3. 9 | 143, 380 | 9,559 |
| 1320 | 1000 |  | 4. 2 | 365, 370 | 24,360 |
| 1321 | 1015 | i | 4. 4 | 128, 200 | 8,547 |
| 1322 | 1030 |  | 4. 7 | 101, 500 | 6,767 |
| 1323 | 1045 |  | 4. 9 | 75, 770 | 5,051 |
| 1324 | 1100 |  | 5. 2 | 147,700 | 9,850 |
| 1325 | 1115 |  | 5. 4 | 23,030 | 1,535 |
| 1326 | 1130 |  | 5. 7 | 47,730 | 3,182 |
| 1327 | 1145 |  | 5. 9 | 15,450 | 1,030 |
| 1328 | 1200 |  | 6.2 | 89,620 | 5,975 |
| 1329 | 1215 |  | 6. 4 | 0 | - |
| 1330 | 1230 |  | 6. 7 | 6,823 | 455 |
| 1331 | 1245 |  | 6.9 | 172 | 11 |
| 1332 | 1300 |  | 7.2 | 2, 386 | 159 |
| 1333 | 1315 |  | 7.4 | 8,483 | 432 |
| 1334 | 1330 |  | 7.7 | 164 | 11 |
| 1335 | 1345 |  | 7.9 | 1,896 | 126 |
| 1336 | 1400 |  | 8.2 | 43,180 | 288 |
| 1337 | 1415 |  | 8.4 | 4,945 | 330 |
| 1338 | 1430 |  | 8.7 | 3,978 | 262 |
| 1339 | 1445 |  | 8.9 | 85 | 6 |
| 1340 | 1500 |  | 9. 2 | 72 | 5 |

TABLE B. 2 CONTINUED

| Tray Number | Exposure Began (Mike Time) 11 July 56 | Midpoint of Exposure TSD |  | $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ Activity | $\gamma$ Activity per Unit Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | hr | min | counts/min | counts/min ${ }^{2}$ |
| 1341 | 1516 | 9.4 |  | 3,483 | 232 |
| 1342 | 1531 | 9.7 |  | 1,299 | 86 |
| 1343 | 1546 | 9.9 |  | 147 | 10 |
| 1344 | 1601 | 10.2 |  | 3,144 | 210 |
| 1345 | 1616 | 10.4 |  | 4,528 | 302 |
| 1346 | 1630 | 10.7 |  | 1,271 | 85 |
| 1347 | 1646 | 10.9 |  | 6,906 | 460 |
| 1348 | 1701 | 11.2 |  | 5,309 | 354 |
| 1349 | 1716 | 11.4 |  | 7,442 | 496 |
| 1350 | 1731 | 11.7 |  | 4,778 | 318 |
| 1351 | 1746 | 11.9 |  | 139 | 9 |
| 1352 | 1801 | 12.2 |  | 2,655 | 177 |
| 1353 | 1816 | 12.4 |  | 0 | - |
| 1354 | 1831 | 12.7 |  | 3,118 | 208 |
| 1355 | 1845 | 12.9 |  | 6,136 | 409 |
| 1356 | 1901 | 13.2 |  | 13,890 | 926 |
| 1357 | 1916 | 13.4 |  | 4,381 | 292 |
| 1358 | 1931 | 13.7 |  | 252 | 17 |
| 1359 | 1946 | 13.9 |  | 535 | 36 |
| 1360 | 2001 | 14.2 |  | 15,940 | 1,063 |
| 1361 | 2016 | 14.4 |  | 436 | 29 |
| 1362 | 2031 | 14. 7 |  | 1,137 | 76 |
| 1363 | 2046 | 14. 9 |  | 1,243 | 83 |
| 1364 | 2101 | 15.2 |  | 22,240 | 1,483 |
| 1365 | 2116 | 15.4 |  | 22,142 | 1,476 |
| 1366 | 2131 | 15.7 |  | 91,205 | 6,080 |
| 1367 | 2146 | 15.9 |  | 8,506 | 567 |
| End of run | 2201 | 16.1 |  |  |  |

Designator: LST 611-D-41 NA
Counting Time: Corrected to $\mathrm{H}+12$ hours Nominal Exposure Interval: 12 minutes

| 2898 | 0904 | 3.2 | 933 | 78 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1742 | 0916 | 3. 4 | 185 | 16 |
| 2899 | 0927.8 | 3. 6 | Background | - |
| 1743 | 0939.7 | 3.8 | Background | - |
| 2900 | 0951.8 | 4.0 | 261 | 22 |
| 1744 | 1003.7 | 4.2 | 223 | 19 |
| 2901 | 1015.5 | 4.4 | 67 | 5.5 |
| 1745 | 1027. 7 | 4.6 | 634 | 53 |
| 2902 | 1040.0 | 4.8 | 406 | 34 |
| 1746 | 1052.2 | 5. 0 | 3,822 | 318 |
| 2903 | 1104.0 | 5.2 | 30,480 | 2,540 |
| 1747 | 1116.1 | 5.4 | 15,060 | 1,255 |
| 2904 | 1127.9 | 5. 8 | 4,232 | 353 |
| 1748 | 1139.8 | 5.8 | Background | - |
| 2905 | 1151.7 | 6. 0 | B. 637 | 718 |
| 1749 | 1203.6 | 6.2 | Bkg | - |
| 2906 | 1215.4 | 6. 4 | 1,085 | 90 |
| 1750 | 1227.3 | 6. 6 | 1,201 | 100 |
| 2907 | 1239.2 | 6.8 | 247 | 21 |
| 1751 | 1251.0 | 7.0 | 288 | 24 |
| 2908 | 1302.8 | 7.2 | 1.598 | 133 |
| 1752 | 1314.7 | 7.4 | 1,802 | 150 |
| 2909 | 1326.6 | 7.6 | 2, 201 | 183 |
| 1753 | 1338.5 | 7.8 | Background | - |
| 2910 | 1350.3 | 8.0 | 453 | 38 |

TABLE B. 2 CONTINUED

| Tray <br> Number | Exposure Began (Mike Time) 11 July 56 | Midpoint of Exposure TSD |  | $\gamma$ Activity | $\gamma$ Activity per Unit Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | hr | min | counts/min | counts/min ${ }^{2}$ |
| 1754 | 1402.3 | 8.2 |  | 417 | 35 |
| 2911 | 1414.2 | 8. 4 |  | 323 | 27 |
| 1755 | 1426.3 | 8.6 |  | 579 | 48 |
| 2912 | 1438.3 | 8.8 |  | 222 | 18 |
| 1756 | 1450.1 | 9.0 |  | 163 | 14 |
| 2913 | 1502.0 | 9. 2 |  | 97 | 8 |
| 1757 | 1513.8 | 9.4 |  | 129 | 11 |
| 2914 | 1525.7 | 9.6 |  | 125 | 10 |
| 1758 | 1537. 6 | 9.8 |  | 191 | 16 |
| 2915 | 1549.4 | 10.0 |  | 191 | 16 |
| 1759 | 1601. 2 | 10.2 |  | 145 | 12 |
| 2916 | 1613.1 | 10.4 |  | Background | - |
| 1760 | 1624.9 | 10.6 |  | 211 | 18 |
| 2917 | 1636.8 | 10.8 |  | 111 | 9 |
| 1761 | 1648.8 | 11.0 |  | 199 | 17 |
| 2918 | 1700. 7 | 11.2 |  | 288 | 24 |
| 1762 | 1712.7 | 11.4 |  | 122 | 10 |
| 2919 | 1724.5 | 11.6 |  | 222 | 18 |
| 1763 | 1736.5 | 11.8 |  | 159 | 13 |
| 2920 | 1748.4 | 12.0 |  | 69 | 6 |
| 1764 | 1800.2 | 12.2 |  | 214 | 18 |
| 2921 | 1812.2 | 12.4 |  | 203 | 17 |
| 1765 | 1824.1 | 12.6 |  | 145 | 12 |
| 2922 | 1835.8 | 12.8 |  | 277 | 23 |
| 1766 | 1847.8 | 13.0 |  | 127 | 11 |
| 2923 | 1859.6 | 13.2 |  | 672 | 48 |
| 1767 | 1911.5 | 13.4 |  | 567 | 47 |
| 2924 | 1923.3 | 13.6 |  | 940 | 78 |
| 1768 | 1935.2 | 13.8 |  | 123 | 10 |
| 2925 | 1947.2 to 1959 | 14.0 |  | 284 | 24 |
| End of run |  |  |  |  |  |

Designator: YFNB 13-E-57 NA
Counting Time: Corrected to $\mathrm{H}+12$ hours Nominal Exposure Interval: 15 minutes

| 2351 | 0556 | 0.1 | 6 | 58,590 | 3,773 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 3487 | 0611 | 0.4 | 24 | $1,743,300$ | 116,200 |
| 2352 | 0626 | 0.6 | 36 | 918,500 | 61,230 |
| 3488 | 0641 | 0.9 | 54 | 931,600 | 62,100 |
| 2353 | 0656 | 1.1 | 66 | 194,600 | 12,970 |
| 3489 | 0711 | 1.4 | 84 | 146,400 | 9,760 |
| 2354 | 0726 | 1.6 | 96 | 100,000 | 6,666 |
| 3490 | 0741 | 1.9 | 114 | 57,400 | 3,827 |
| 2355 | 0756 | 2.1 | 126 | 69,600 | 4,640 |
| .3491 | 0811 | 2.4 | 144 | 82,110 | 5,473 |
| 2356 | 0826 | 2.6 | 156 | 10,580 | 705 |
| 3492 | 0841 | 2.9 | 174 | 10,300 | 687 |
| 2357 | 0856 | 3.1 | 186 | 1,595 | 106 |
| 3493 | 0911 | 3.4 | 204 | 1,028 | 69 |
| 2358 | 0926 | 3.6 | 216 | 4,496 | 300 |
| 3494 | 0941 | 3.9 | 234 | 2,365 | 158 |
| 2359 | 0956 | 4.1 | 246 | 5,278 | 352 |
| 3495 | 1011 | 4.4 | 264 | 495 | 33 |
| 2360 | 1026 | 4.6 | 276 | 616 | 41 |
| 3496 | 1041 | 4.9 | 294 | 420 | 28 |
| 2361 | 1056 | 5.1 | 306 | 573 | 38 |

TABLE B. 2 CONTINUED

| Tray <br> Number | Exposure Began (Mike Time) 11 July 56 | Midpoint*of Exposure TSD |  | $\gamma$ Activity | $\gamma$ Activity per Unit Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | hr | $m \times n$ | counts/min | counts/min ${ }^{2}$ |
| 3497 | 1111 | 5.4 | 324 | 552 | 37 |
| 2362 | 1126 | 5.6 | 336 | 878 | 58 |
| 3498 | 1141 | 5.9 | 354 | 1,103 | 74 |
| 2363 | 1156 | 6.1 | 366 | 2,548 | 170 |
| 3499 | 1211 | 6.4 | 384 | 828 | 55 |
| 2364 | 1226 | 6.6 | 396 | 1,536 | 102 |
| 3500 | 1241 | 6.9 | 414 | 567 | 38 |
| 2365 | 1256 | 7.1 | 426 | 557 | 37 |
| 3501 | 1311 | 7.4 | 444 | 482 | 32 |
| 2366 | 1326 | 7.6 | 456 | 520 | 35 |
| 3502 | 1341 | 7.9 | 474 | 492 | 33 |
| 2367 | 1356 | 8.1 | 486 | 617 | 41 |
| 3503 | 1411 | 8.4 | 509 | 648 | 43 |
| 2368 | 1426 | 8.6 | 516 | 742 | 49 |
| 3504 | 1441 | 8.9 | 534 | 35, 000* | 2,333 |
| End of run | 1456 | 10.0 | 600 |  |  |

Designator: How F-64 NA
Counting Time: Corrected to $\mathbf{H}+12$ hours
Nominal Exposure Interval: 15 minutes

| 3543 | 0550 | - | - | Background | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2410 | 0605 | - | - | Background | - |
| 3544 | 0620 | - | - | Background | - |
| 2411 | 0635 | 0. 75 | 45 | 127 | 8.5 |
| 3545 | 0650 | 1.0 | 60 | 24,410 | 1,627 |
| 2412 | 0705 | - | 75 | Background | - |
| 3546 | 0720 | - | 1 | Background | - |
| 2413 | 0735 | - | - | Background | - |
| 9547 | 0750 | - | - | Background | - |
| 2414 | 0805 | - | 135 | Bacieground | - |
| 3548 | 0820 | 2.5 | 150 | 250 | 17 |
| 2415 | 0835 | 2. 8 | 168 | 11,020 | 736 |
| 3549 | 0850 | 3. 0 | 180 | 372 | 25 |
| 2416 | 0905 | 3.3 | 198 | Background | - |
| 3550 | 0920 | 3.5 | 210 | 573 | 38 |
| 2417 | 0935 | 3. 8 | 228 | 2,450 | 163 |
| 3551 | 0950 | 4.0 | 240 | Background | - |
| 2418 | 1005 | 4.3 | 258 | 16.670 | 1,111 |
| 3552 | 1020 | 4.5 | 270 | 242 | 16 |
| 2419 | 1035 | 4.8 | 288 | 129 | 9 |
| 3553 | 1050 | 5.0 | 300 | 122 | 8 |
| 2420 | 1105 | 5. 3 | 318 | Background | - |
| 3554 | 1120 | 5. 5 | 330 | 133 | 9 |
| 2421 | 1135 | 5.8 | 348 | Background | - |
| 3555 | 1150 | 6. 0 | 360 | Background | - |
| 2422 | 1205 | 6. 3 | 378 | Background | - |
| 3556 | 1220 | 6.5 | 390 | 602 | 40 |
| 2423 | 1235 | 6.8 | 408 | 5,739 | 383 |
| End of | 1250 |  |  |  |  |

Designator: YFNB 29-H-78 NA
Counting Time: Corrected to $\mathrm{H}+12$ hours
Nominal Exposure Interval: 15 minutes

| 914 | - | - | - | Background | - |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 915 | 0556 | 0.1 | 6 | Background | - |
| 916 | 0611 | 0.4 | 24 | 892 | 59 |
| 917 | 0626 | 0.6 | 36 | 740 | 49 |

TABLE B. 2 CONTINUED

| Tray <br> Number | Exposure Began (Mike Time) 11 July 56 | Midpoint of Exposure TSD |  | $\gamma$ Activity | $\gamma$ Activity per Unit Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | hr | min | counts/min | counts/min ${ }^{2}$ |
| 918 | 0641 | 0.9 | 54 | 78,010 | 5. 201 |
| 919 | 0656 | 1.1 | 66 | 173,514 | 11,970 |
| 920 | 0711 | 1.4 | 84 | Background | - - |
| 921 | 0726 | 1.6 | 96 | Background | - |
| 922 | 0741 | 1.9 | 114 | Background | - |
| 923 | 0756 | 2.1 | 126 | Background | - |
| 924 | 0811 | 2.4 | 144 | Background | - |
| 925 | 0826 | 2.6 | 156 | Background | - |
| 926 | 0841 | 2. 9 | 174 | 26,850 | 1,790 |
| 927 | 0856 | 3.1 | 186 | 8,913 | 594 |
| 928 | 0911 | 3. 4 | 204 | 703 | 47 |
| 929 | 0926 | 3.6 | 216 | Background | - |
| 930 | 0941 | 3. 9 | 234 | 4,887 | 326 |
| 931 | 0956 | 4.1 | 246 | Background | - |
| 932 | 1011 to 1026 | 4.4 | 264 | Background | - |
| to | ea. 15 min |  |  | Background | - |
| 969 | 1926 | 13. 6 | 816 | Background | - |
| End of run | 1941 | 13.8 | 828 | , |  |

Designator: YAG 40-A-1 TE
Counting Time: Corrected to $\mathrm{H}+12$ hours
Nominal Exposure Interval: Variable

| 1850 | 0810 | 2. 7 | 35 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2994 | 0951 | 4.4 | 147, 748 | 3,890 |
| 1839 | 1029 | 4. 9 | 607,100 | 40,470 |
| P-2999 | 1044 | 5.1 | 537,776 | 48,890 |
| 1842 | 1055 | 5.3 | 3,761, 285 | 188,060 |
| 3000 | 1115 | 5. 7 | 11,624, 936 | 465,000 |
| 1956 | 1140 | 6.1 | 17,325,405 | 866,300 |
| P-2993 | 1200 | 6.4 | 3,116, 723 | 207, 780 |
| 1834 | 1215 | 6. 6 | 6,376,846 | 425,100 |
| 2986 | 1230 | 6.9 | 5,266, 514 | 309, 790 |
| 1844 | 1247 | 7. 1 | 7, 439, 262 | 572,300 |
| P-2991 | 1300 | 7.4 | 1, 608, 283 | 100,517 |
| 1838 | 1316 | 7.6 | 5,194, 303 | 346, 300 |
| 2992 | 1331 | 7. 9 | 3,440,155 | 172,007 |
| 1837 | 1351 | 8.3 | 10,462,893 | 373,700 |
| P-2997 | 1419 | 8.8 | 2,885, 754 | 96, 190 |
| 1832 | 1449 | 9. 3 | 11,137,524 | 484, 200 |
| 2988 | 1512 | 9.6 | 776,442 | 51,760 |
| 1855 | 1527 | 9. 9 | 5,835, 239 | 291,800 |
| P-3005 | 1547 | 10.2 | 767,586 | 38,380 |
| 1843 | 1607 | 10.5 | 3,709,095 | 185.400 |
| 2990 | 1627 | 10.9 | 2.940,929 | 117,637 |
| 1852 | 1652 | 11.4 | 2,911,091 | 80,863 |
| P-2989 | 1728 | 12.0 | 1, 123, 353 | 35, 104 |
| 1836 | 1800 | 12.5 | 1,859,308 | 58,110 |
| 3004 | 1832 | 13.0 | 482, 186 | 17,220 |
| 1841 | 1900 | 13.5 | 354, 591 | 11.440 |
| P-2995 | 1931 | 14.0 | 43,616 | 1,504 |
| 1849 | 2000 | 14.5 | 43,530 | 1,451 |
| 3002 | 2030 | 15.0 | 5,831 | 188 |
| 1840 | 2101 | 15.5 | 1,356, 448 | 46,770 |
| P-2987 | 2130 | 16.0 | 4,611 | 140 |
| 1835 | 2203 | 16.5 | 833 | 25 |
| 3008 | 2236 | 16.9 | 4,888 | 444 |
| 1848 | 2247 | 17.2 | 1,287 | 46 |
| P-3003 | 2315 | 17.5 | - | - |
| 1851 | 2316 | 17.7 | $\overline{1,031}$ | 34 |
| 3008 | 2346 | 18.0 | - | - |
| 1833 | 2347 | 18.2 | 803 | 26 |

TABLE B. 2 CONTINUED

|  | Tray <br> Number | Exposure Began <br> (Mike Time) <br> 21 July 56 | Midpoint of Exposure <br> TSD | $\gamma$ Activity | $\gamma$ Activity <br> per Unit Time |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Designator: YAG-40-A-1, 2 TE
Counting Time: Corrected to $\mathrm{H}+12$ hours
Nominal Exposure Interval: Variable
Grease Trays only from each instrument

| A-1 | 1850 | 0810 to 0951 | 2. 7 | ~35 | 0. 315 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A-1 | 1839 | 1029 to 1044 | 4. 9 | 607,100 | 40,470 |
| A-1 | 1842 | 1055 to 1115 | 5.3 | 4,455,285 | 405, 020 |
| A-2 | 2142 | 1115 to 1140 | 5. 7 | 18,777,802 | 1,252,000 |
| A-1 | 1856 | 1140 to 1200 | 6. 1 | 17,325,405 | 866, 300 |
| A-2 | 2145 | 1200 to 1215 | 6.4 | 9, 013,823 | 600,921 |
| A-1 | 1834 | 1215 to 1230 | 6.6 | 6,376,846 | 425,100 |
| A-2 | 2144 | 1230 to 1247 | 6. 9 | 8,920,405 | 524,700 |
| A-1 | 1844 | 1247 to 1300 | 7.1 | 7,439,262 | 572, 300 |
| A-2 | 2125 | 1300 to 1316 | 7.4 | 7,289,977 | 449,400 |
| A-1 | 1838 | 1316 to 1331 | 7.6 | 5,194,303 | 346, 300 |
| A-2 | 2129 | 1331 to 1351 | 7. 9 | 6, 666,000 | 333, 300 |
| A-1 | 1837 | 1351 to 1419 | 8.3 | 10,462,893 | 373. 700 |
| A-2 | 2132 | 1419 to 1449 | 8. 8 | 18,810,709 | 627,000 |
| A-1 | 1832 | 1449 to 1512 | 9. 3 | 11,137,524 | 484, 200 |
| A-2 | 2131 | 1512 to 1527 | 9.6 | 2,518,337 | 167,900 |
| A-1 | 1855 | 1527 to 1547 | 9.9 | 5,835, 239 | 291,800 |
| A-2 | 2133 | 1547 to 1607 | 10.2 | 4,602, 232 | 230,110 |
| A-1 | 1843 | 1607 to 1627 | 10.5 | 3,709, 095 | 185,400 |
| A-2 | 2137 | 1627 to 1652 | 10.9 | 4,649,959 | 186,000 |
| A-1 | 1852 | 1652 to 1728 | 11.4 | 2,911, 091 | 80,863 |
| A-2 | 2136 | 1728 to 1800 | 12.0 | 5,283, 346 | 165,100 |
| A-1 | 1836 | 1800 to 1832 | 12.5 | 1,859, 306 | 58,110 |
| A-2 | 2139 | 1832 to 1900 | 13.0 | 633,986 | 22,640 |
| A-1 | 1841 | 1900 to 1931 | 13.5 | 354, 591 | 11,440 |
| A-2 | 2138 | 1931 to 2000 | 14.0 | 66,707 | 2,300 |
| A-1 | 1849 | 2000 to 2030 | 14.5 | 43,530 | 1,451 |
| A-1 | 1840 | 2101 to 2130 | 15.5 | 1,356,448 | 46,770 |
| A-1 | 1835 | 2203 to 2236 | 16.5 | 833 | 25 |

Designator: YAG 40-B-7 TE
Counting Time: Corrected to $\mathbf{H}+12$ hours Nominal Exposure Interval: $\mathbf{1 5}$ minutes

| 3094 | 1002 | 4.4 | 790 | 53 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1945 | 1017 | 4.6 | 13,193 | 879 |
| 3095 | 1032 | 4.9 | 83,782 | 5,591 |
| 1946 | 1047 | 5.1 | $1,526,080$ | 101,740 |
| 3096 | 1102 | 5.4 | 481,080 | 32,072 |
| 1947 | 1117 | 5.6 | $3,543.120$ | 236,200 |
| 3097 | 1132 | 5.9 | 747,536 | 49,840 |
| 1948 | 1147 | 6.1 | $3,064,320$ | 204,290 |
| 3098 | 1202 | 6.4 | 528,960 | 35,260 |
| 1949 | 1217 | 6.6 | $2,190,320$ | 146,020 |
| 3099 | 1232 | 6.9 | 908,048 | 60,536 |
| 1950 | 1247 | 7.1 | $3,155,520$ | 210,370 |
| 3100 | 1302 | 7.4 | 946,960 | 63,130 |
| 1951 | 1317 | 7.6 | $2,745,120$ | 183,008 |
| 3101 | 1332 | 7.9 | 535,040 | 35,670 |
| 1952 | 1347 | 8.1 | $1,551,920$ | 103,460 |
| 3102 | 1402 | 8.4 | 843,600 | 56,240 |

TABLE B. 2 CONTINUED

| Tray Number | Exposure Began (Mike Time) 21 July 56 | Midpoint of Exposure TSD |  | $\gamma$ Activity | - $\gamma$ Activity per Unit Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | hr | min | counts/min | counts/min ${ }^{2}$ |
| 1953 | 1417 | 8.6 |  | 1,749, 520 | 116,630 |
| 3103 | 1432 | 8.9 |  | 513,760 | 34,250 |
| 1954 | 1447 | 9.1 |  | 3, 302,960 | 220, 200 |
| 3104 | 1502 | 9.4 |  | 826, 880 | 55, 130 |
| 1955 | 1517 | 9.6 |  | 1, 744,960 | 116, 300 |
| 3105 | 1532 | 9.9 |  | 568,480 | 37,890 |
| 1956 | 1547 | 10.1 |  | 1,130,880 | 75, 390 |
| 3106 | 1602 | 10.4 |  | 607,544 | 40,500 |
| 1957 | 1617 | 10.6 |  | 669,864 | 44,660 |
| 3107 | 1632 | 10.9 |  | 298, 224 | 19,880 |
| 1958 | 1647 | 11.1 |  | 922, 792 | 61,520 |
| 3108 | 1702 | 11.4 |  | 218, 272 | 14,550 |
| 1959 | 1717 | 11.6 |  | 322, 088 | 21,470 |
| 3109 | 1732 | 11.9 |  | 36, 328 | 2,421 |
| 1960 | 1747 | 12.1 |  | 140,448 | 9, 363 |
| 3110 | 1802 | 12.4 |  | 112,875 | 7,525 |
| 1961 | 1817 | 12.6 |  | 322, 088 | 21,470 |
| 3111 | 1832 | 12.9 |  | 56,118 | 3,741 |
| 1962 | 1847 | 13.1 |  | 88,524 | 5,901 |
| 3112 | 1902 | 13.4 |  | 31,692 | 2,112 |
| 1963 | 1917 | 13. 6 |  | 35,902 | 2,393 |
| 3113 | 1932 | 13.9 |  | 4,985 | 332 |
| 1964 | 1947 | 14.1 |  | 14.029 | 935 |
| 3114 | 2002 | 14.4 |  | 18,057 | 1,203 |
| 1965 | 2017 | 14.6 |  | 32,132 | 2,142 |
| 3115 | 2032 | 14.9 |  | 5,563 | 370 |
| 1966 | 2047 | 15.1 |  | 37, 240 | 2,482 |
| 3116 | 2102 | 15.4 |  | 19,912 | 1,327 |
| 1967 | 2117 | 15. 6 |  | 44, 323 | 2,954 |
| $\checkmark 117$ | 2132 | 15.9 |  | 2,553 | 170 |
| 1968 | 2147 | 16.1 |  | 7,174 | 478 |
| 3118 | 2202 | 16.4 |  | 1,398 | 93 |
| 1969 | 2217 | 16.6 |  | 56,513 | 3, 767 |
| 3119 | 2232 | 16.9 |  | 10,396 | 693 |
| 1970 | 2247 | 17.1 |  | 54,476 | 3,631 |
| 3120 | 2302 | 17.4 |  | 19,456 | 1,297 |
| 1971 | 2317 | 17.6 |  | 43,502 | 2,900 |
| 3121 | 2332 | 17.9 |  | 668 | 44 |
| 1972 | 2347 | 18.1 |  | 322,513 | 21,510 |
| End of run | 0002 | 18.3 |  | - |  |

Deaignator: YAG 39-C-20 TE
Counting Time: $\mathrm{H}+36.4$ to $\mathrm{H}+40.8$ hours
Nominal Exposure Interval: 15 minutes

| 2813 | 0747 | 2.1 | 63,740 | 4,249 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 3933 | 0802 | 2.4 | 143,380 | 9,558 |
| 2812 | 0817 | 2.6 | $1,132,000$ | 75,430 |
| 3932 | 0832 | 2.9 | $1,148,000$ | 76,560 |
| 2811 | 0847 | 3.1 | $4,362,000$ | 290,780 |
| 3931 | 0902 | 3.4 | $2,458,000$ | $\mathbf{1 6 3 , 9 0 0}$ |
| 2810 | 0917 | 3.6 | $8,359,000$ | 557,200 |
| 3930 | 0932 | 3.9 | $4,875,000$ | 325,000 |
| 2809 | 0947 | 4.1 | $18,570,000$ | $1,238,000$ |
| 3929 | 1002 | 4.4 | $9,457,000$ | 630,400 |
| 2808 | 1017 | 4.6 | $19,780,000$ | $1,318,000$ |
| 3928 | 1032 | 4.9 | $1,074,000$ | 71,580 |
| 2807 | 1047 | 5.1 | $1,868,000$ | 124,600 |

TABLE B. 2 CONTINUED

| Tray <br> Number | Exposure Began (Mike Time) 21 July 56 | Midpoint of Exposure TSD |  | $\gamma$ Activity | $\gamma$ Activity per Unit Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | hr | min | counts/min | counts/min2 |
| 3927 | 1102 | 5.4 |  | 916, 700 | 61,110 |
| 2806 | 1117 | 5.6 |  | 507,400 | 33, 820 |
| 3926 | 1132 | 5. 9 |  | 105, 700 | 6,607 |
| 2805 | 1148 | 6.1 |  | 731,100 | 48,740 |
| 3925 | 1203 | 6.4 |  | 193, 300 | 12,880 |
| 2804 | 1218 | 6.6 |  | 188, 900 | 12,590 |
| 3924 | 1233 | 6.9 |  | 291, 200 | 19,410 |
| 2803 | 1248 | 7.1 |  | 1,869,000 | 124,600 |
| 3923 | 1303 | 7.4 |  | 553, 600 | 36, 910 |
| 2802 | 1318 | 7.6 |  | 674,900 | 44.990 |
| 3922 | 1333 | 7.9 |  | 139,400 | 9,293 |
| 2801 | 1348 | 8.1 |  | 374,000 | 24,940 |
| 3921 | 1403 | 8. 4 |  | 130,800 | 8,721 |
| 2800 | 1418 | 8.6 |  | 379,400 | 25,290 |
| 3920 | 1433 | 8.9 |  | 21,900 | 1,459 |
| 2799 | 1448 | 9.1 |  | 57,380 | 3,825 |
| 3919 | 1503 | 9.4 |  | 76, 740 | 5,116 |
| 2798 | 1518 | 9.6 |  | 57.040 | 3,802 |
| 3918 | 1533 | 9.9 |  | 20,660 | 1,377 |
| 2797 | 1548 | 10.1 |  | 100,400 | 6,695 |
| 3917 | 1603 | 10.4 |  | 20.820 | 1,388 |
| 2796 | 1618 | 10, 6 |  | 39,890 | 2,659 |
| 3916 | 1633 | 10.9 |  | 4,680 | 312 |
| 2795 | 1648 | 11.1 |  | 13,260 | 884 |
| 3915 | 1703 | 11.4 |  | 13,650 | 909 |
| 2794 | 1718 | 11.6 |  | 58,060 | 3,870 |
| 3914 | 1733 | 11.9 |  | 7,248 | 483 |
| 2793 | 1748 | 12.1 |  | 6,096 | 406 |
| 3913 | 1803 | 12.4 |  | 6,096 | 406 |
| 2792 | 1818 | 12.6 |  | 14,670 | 978 |
| 3912 | 1833 | 12.9 |  | 57,940 | 3,862 |
| 2791 | 1848 | 13.1 |  | 56,020 | 3,734 |
| 3911 | 1903 | 13.4 |  | 46,260 | 3, 084 |
| 2790 | 1918 | 13.6 |  | 136,800 | 9,118 |
| 3910 | 1933 | 13.9 |  | 27,860 | 1,857 |
| 2789 | 1948 | 14.1 |  | 8,144 | 543 |
| 3909 | 2003 | 14.4 |  | 1,616 | 108 |
| 2788 | 2018 | 14.6 |  | 8.656 | 577 |
| 3908 | 2033 | 14.9 |  | 9,296 | 619 |
| 2787 | 2048 | 15.1 |  | 89,810 | 5,987 |
| 3907 | 2103 | 15.4 |  | 12,530 | 835 |
| 2786 | 2118 | 15.6 |  | 726,900 | 48,458* |
| End of run | 2133 | 15.8 |  |  |  |

Designator: LST 611-D-41 TE
Counting Time: $\mathrm{H}+321$ to $\mathrm{H}+297$ hours
Nominal Exposure Interval: 12 minutes

| 2262 | 1303 | 7.4 | 5,416 | -451 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 3401 | 1315 | 7.6 | 3,606 | 301 |
| 2261 | 1327 | 7.8 | $\mathbf{6 , 2 7 2}$ | 523 |
| 3400 | 1339 | 8.0 | 1,448 | 121 |
| 2260 | 1351 | 8.2 | 2,286 | 190 |
| 3399 | 1403 | 8.4 | 1,130 | 94 |
| 2259 | 1415 | 8.6 | 3,516 | 293 |
| 3398 | 1427 | 8.8 | 3,800 | 317 |
| 2258 | 1439 | 9.0 | 7,370 | 614 |
| 3397 | 1451 | 9.2 | 6,196 | 516 |

TABLE B. 2 CONTINUED

| Tray <br> Number | Exposure Began (Mike Time) 21 July 56 | Midpoint of Exposure TSD |  | $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ Activity | $\gamma$ Activity per Unit Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | hr | min | counts/min | counts/min ${ }^{2}$ |
| 2257 | 1503 | 9.4 |  | 11.660 | 971 |
| 3396 | 1515 | 9.6 |  | 9,432 | 786 |
| 2256 | 1527 | 9.8 |  | 18,920 | 1,576 |
| 3395 | 1539 | 10.0 |  | 6,984 | 582 |
| 2255 | 1551 | 10.2 |  | 24,090 | 2,007 |
| 3394 | 1603 | 10.4 |  | 11,690 | 974 |
| 2254 | 1615 | 10.6 |  | 79,410 | 6,620 |
| 3393 | 1627 | 10.8 |  | 20,380 | 1,698 |
| 2253 | 1639 | 11.0 |  | 36,000 | 3, 000 |
| 3392 | 1651 | 11.2 |  | 9,464 | 789 |
| 2252 | 1703 | 11.4 |  | 17,260 | 1,438 |
| 3391 | 1715 | 11.6 |  | 7,680 | 640 |
| 2251 | 1727 | 11.8 |  | 12,000 | 1,000 |
| 3390 | 1739 | 12. 0 |  | 2,978 | 248 |
| 2250 | 1751 | 12.2 |  | 10,360 | 863 |
| 3389 | 1803 | 12.4 |  | 5,664 | 472 |
| 2249 | 1815 | 12.6 |  | 9,900 | 825 |
| 3388 | 1827 | 12.8 |  | 7,626 | 636 |
| 2248 | 1839 | 13.0 |  | 8,192 | 683 |
| 3387 | 1851 | 13.2 |  | 10,580 | 882 |
| 2247 | 1903 | 13. 4 |  | 35,800 | 2,984 |
| 3386 | 1915 | 13.6 |  | 12,620 | 1,052 |
| 2246 | 1927 | 13.8 |  | 8,488 | 707 |
| 3385 | 1939 | 14.0 |  | 2.400 | 200 |
| 2245 | 1951 | 14.2 |  | 3,468 | 289 |
| 3384 | 2003 | 14. 4 |  | 3,480 | 290 |
| 2244 | 2015 | 14.6 |  | 3,648 | 304 |
| 3383 | 2027 | 14.8 |  | 2,144 | 179 |
| 2243 | 2039 | 15.0 |  | 3,774 | 314 |
| 3382 | 2051 | 15.2 |  | 946 | 79 |
| 2242 | 2103 | 15.4 |  | 406 | 34 |
| 3381 | 2115 | 15. 6 |  | 510 | 42 |
| 2241 | 2127 to 2139 | 15.8 |  | 214 | 18 |
| to | ea. 12 min |  |  | Background | - |
| 2235 | 2351 | 18. 2 |  | Background | - |
| End of run | 0003 | 18.3 |  |  |  |

Designator: YFNB 13-E-57 TE
Counting Time: $\mathrm{H}+17.4$ to $\mathrm{H}+17.8$ hours
Nominal Exposure Interval: 15 minutes

| 1974 | 0546 | 7 | 20,608 | 1,375 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 3123 | 0601 | 22 | 22,530 | 1,472 |
| 1975 | 0616 | 37 | 291,600 | 19,420 |
| 3124 | 0631 | 52 | $2,351,000$ | 156,700 |
| 1976 | 0646 | 67 | $1,603,000$ | 106,800 |
| 3125 | 0707 | 82 | $1,483,000$ | 98,900 |
| 1977 | 0716 | 97 | $13,780,000$ | 917,500 |
| 3128 | 0731 | 112 | $3,032,000$ | 200,000 |
| End of | 0746 | 120 |  |  |
| run |  |  |  |  |

TABLE B. 2 CONTINUED

| Tray <br> Number | Exposure Began <br> (Mike Time) <br> 21 July 56 | Midpoint of Exposure <br> TSD | YActivity | $\gamma$ Activity <br> per Unit Time |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | hr | min | counts $/ \mathrm{min}$ |
| counts $/ \mathrm{min}^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |

Designator: How-F-64 TE
Counting Time: $\mathrm{H}+19.2$ to $\mathrm{H}+20.4$ hours Nominal Exposure Interval: 15 minutes

| 2206 | 0546 | 0.1 | 6 | 784 | 52 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3347 | 0601 | $0.4{ }^{\text {, }}$ | 24 | 0 | 0 |
| 2207 | 0616 | 0.6 | 36 | 1,040 | 69 |
| 3348 | 0631 | 0.9 | 54 | 784 | 52 |
| 2208 | 0646 | 1.1 | 66 | 1,424 | 95 |
| 3349 | 0701 | 1.4 | 84 | 0 | 0 |
| 2209 | 0716 | 1.6 | 96 | 784 | 52 |
| 3350 | 0731 | 1.9 | 114 | 0 | 0 |
| 2210 | 0746 | 2.1 | 126 | 880 | 59 |
| 3351 | 0801 | 2.4 | 144 | 188,500 | 12,560 |
| 2211 | 0816 | 2. 6 | 156 | 260,100 | 17,300 |
| 3352 | 0831 | 2. 9 | 174 | 194,900 | 13,000 |
| 2212 | 0846 | 3.1 | 186 | 320,800 | 21,400 |
| 3353 | 0901 | 3.4 | 204 | 16 | 1 |
| 2213 | 0916 | 3. 6 | 216 | 0 | 0 |
| 3354 | 0931 | 3. 9 | 234 | 1,040 | 69 |
| 2214 | 0946 | 4. 1 | 246 | 14.480 | 965 |
| 3355 | 1001 | 4.4 | 264 | 16 | 1 |
| 2215 | 1016 | 4.6 | 276 | 400 | 27 |
| 3356 | 1031 | 4. 9 | 294 | 656 | 44 |
| 2216 | 1046 | 5.1 | 306 | 1. 040 | 69 |
| 3357 | 1101 | 5.4 | 324 | 0 | 0 |
| 2217 | 1116 | 5.6 | 336 | 528 | 35 |
| 3358 | 1131 | 5. 9 | 354 | 7, 688 | 512 |
| 2218 | 1146 | 6.1 | 366 | 400 | 27 |
| 3359 | 1201 | 6. 4 | 384 | 0 | 0 |
| 2219 | 1216 | 6.6 | 396 | 144 | 9 |
| 3360 | 1231 | 6.9 | 414 | 2. 318 | 155 |
| 2220 | 1246 | 7.1 | 426 | 17,170 | 1,142 |
| 3361 | 1301 | 7.4 | 444 | 2,192 | 146 |
| 2221 | 1316 | 7.6 | 456 | 2,064 | 138 |
| 3362 | 1331 | 7.9 | 474 | 3,216 | 212 |
| 2222 | 1346 | 8.1 | 486 | 3,348 | 223 |
| End of rus | 1357 | 8.2 | 492 |  |  |

Designator: YFNB-29-H-78 TE
Counting Time: $\mathrm{H}+79.2$ to $\mathrm{H}+81.6$ hours Nominal Exposure Interval: 15 minutes

| 1371 | 0546 | 0.1 | 6 | 2,016 | 134 |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1372 | 0601 | 0.4 | 24 | 9,184 | 610 |
| 1373 | 0616 | 0.6 | 36 | $2,379,000$ | 162,000 |
| 1374 | 0631 | 0.9 | 54 | $4,874,000$ | 325,000 |
| 1375 | 0646 | 1.1 | 66 | $7,905,000$ | 525,000 |
| 1376 | 0701 | 1.4 | 84 | $7,930,000$ | 527,000 |
| 1377 | 0716 | 1.6 | 96 | $9,919,000$ | 612,000 |
| 1378 | 0731 | 1.9 | 114 | $7,897,000$ | 525,000 |
| 1379 | 0746 | 2.1 | 126 | $6,577,000$ | 438,000 |
| 1380 | 0801 | 2.4 | 144 | $8,594,000$ | 570,000 |
| 1381 | 0816 | 2.6 | 156 | $2,962,000$ | 198,000 |
| 1382 | 0831 | 2.9 | 174 | $9,229,000$ | 615,000 |
| 1383 | 0845.5 | 3.1 | 186 | $10,560,000$ | 700,000 |
| 1384 | 0900 | 3.4 | 204 | $15,715,000$ | $1,040,000$ |
| 1385 | 0915 | 3.6 | 216 | $9,448,000$ | 630,000 |

TABLE B. 2 CONTINUED.

| Tray Number | Exposure Began (Mike Time) 21 July 56 | Midpoint of Exposure TSD |  | $\gamma$ Activity | $\gamma$ Activity per Unit Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | hr | min | counts/min | counts/min ${ }^{2}$ |
| 1386 | 0930 | 3.9 | 234 | 6,331,000 | 422,000 |
| 1387 | 0945 | 4.1 | 246 | 3,128,000 | 209,000 |
| 1388 | 1000 | 4.4 | 264 | 1,944,000 | 129, 000 |
| 1389 | 1015 | 4.6 | 276 | 2,067,000 | 138,000 |
| 1390 | 1030 | 4.9 | 294 | 841,900 | 56,100 |
| 1391 | 1045 | 5. 1 | 306 | 370,600 | 24,600 |
| 1392 | 1100 | 5.4 | 324 | 311, 200 | 20,800 |
| 1393 | 1115 | 5.6 | 336 | 58,530 | 3,900 |
| 1394 | 1130 | 5.9 | 354 | 8,740 | 580 |
| 1395 | 1145 | 6.1 | 366 | 1,316 | 87 |
| 1396 | 1200 | 6.4 | 384 | 15,650 | 1,040 |
| 1397 | 1215 | 6.6 | 396 | 2,340 | 150 |
| 1398 | 1230 | 6.9 | 414 | 2,852 | 190 |
| 1399 | 1245 | 7.1 | 426 | 4,900 | 326 |
| 1400 | 1300 | 7.4 | 444 | 17,840 | 1,180 |
| 1401 | 1315 | 7.6 | 456 | 46,880 | 3,120 |
| 1402 | 1330 | 7.9 | 474 | 8,484 | 565 |
| 1403 | 1345 | 8.1 | 486 | 2,596 | 173 |
| 1404 | 1400 | 8.4 | 504 | 5,924 | 400 |
| 1405 | 1415 | 8.6 | 516 | 23,300 | 1,550 |
| 1406 | 1430 | 8.9 | 534 | 35, 750 | 2,300 |
| 1407 | 1445 | 9.1 | 546 | 78, 240 | 5,200 |
| 1408 | 1500 | 9.4 | 564 | 12, 200 | 800 |
| 1409 | 1515 | 9.6 | 576 | 5,540 | 370 |
| 1410 | 1530 | 9.9 | 594 | 4,004 | 268 |
| 1411 | 1545 | 10.1 | 606 | 14.120 | 920 |
| 1412 | 1600 | 10.4 | 624 | 9.892 | 655 |
| 1413 | 1615 | 10.6 | 636 | 33,570 | 2,200 |
| 1414 | 1630 | 10.9 | 654 | 45,600 | 3,000 |
| 1415 | 1645 | 11.1 | 666 | 76, 320 | 5,000 |
| 1416 | 1700 | 11.4 | 684 | 28,070 | 1,870 |
| 1417 | 1715 | 11.6 | 696 | 83,600 | 5,550 |
| 1418 | 1730 | 11.9 | 714 | 8,868 | 590 |
| 1419 | 1745 | 12.1 | 726 | 34,340 | 2,300. |
| 1420 | 1800 | 12.4 | 744 | 35,880 | 2,360 |
| 1421 | 1815 | 12.6 | 756 | 21,170 | 1,410 |
| 1422 | 1830 | 12.9 | 774 | 16,800 | 1,120 |
| 1423 | 1845 | 13.1 | 786 | 114,980 | 7,600 |
| 1424 | 1900 | 13.4 | 804 | 131, 360 | 8,700 |
| 1425 | 1915 | 13. 8 | 816 | 292,500* | 19,400 |
| End of run | 1945 | 14.0 | 840 |  |  |

*Probably crose-contaminated in transport
table bia meabured rate of particle depobition, bhots zuni and tewa

|  |  | Mean |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | er of Pa | $10100 / n^{\text {i }}$ | hr/micr | -Intervi |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | stauan | Collection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Meara | rucle 81 | e micr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Tlme (TBD) | 62.8 | 72.5 | 92.8 | 112.5 | 132. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 155 | 185 | 235 | 275 | 315 | 365 | 485 | 605 | 125 | 845 | 1,000 | 1,400 | 1,800 | 2. 200 | 2,400 |
|  |  | br |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | shot 2 un |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | , |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | YaO 40- | 3. 88 | 2.139 | 609 | 310 | 168 | 72 | 42 | 46 | 67 | 42 | 20 | 27 | 3 | 0.02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | B-1 20 | 4. 88 | 9, 229 | 3,042 | 2, 507 | 1,41 | 1.292 | 807 | 399 | 244 | 163 | 89 | 14 | 0.01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 4.98 | 2,134 | 3, 242 | 2,198 | 1,308 | 920 | 425 | 297 | 139 | 43 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1.00 | 1,330 | 1,584 | 928 | 898 | 344 | 270 | 121 | Qs | 14 | 10 | 13 | 0.01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 6. 02 | 750 | 224 | 18 | 49 | 22 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 9. 03 | 2,080 | 634 | 362 | 221 | 120 | 39 | 36 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 10. 04 | 1,180 | 260 | 109 | 92 | 87 | 32 | 15 | 16 | , | 0.4 | 0. 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 11.08 | 1,059 | 219 | 127 | ${ }^{3}$ | 66 | 13 | , | 1 | 0.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 12.07 | 530 | 237 | 92 | 23 | , | 2 | 0.4 | 0.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 13.08 | 142 | 201 | 104 | 43 | 28 | 40 | - | 0.4 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 14.08 | 386 | 240 | 148 | 8 | 82 | 1 | 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 18. 11 | 105 | 201 | 147 | 56 | 16 | 0.1 | 7 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | YaO 38- | 13. 03 | 918 | 322 | 141 | 28 | 20 | $\cdots$ | 5 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | C-20 2 U | 15. 03 | 183 | 125 | 49 | 25 | 12 | 1 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 17. 10 | 862 | 129 | 12 | 93 | 32 | 37 | - |  |  |  |  | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 19.14 | 2,837 | 817 | 162 | 85 | 14 | 19 | 8 | 2 | 3 | 0.2 |  |  |  | 0.2 | 0.01 |  |  |  |  |  |
| - |  | 21.18 | 361 | 126 | 161 | 78 | 4 | 36 | * | 0.1 |  |  |  | 0.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 23. 18 | 300 | 110 | 12 | 27 | 1 | 1 | 0.0 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 25. 18 | 200 | 69 | 32 | 22 | 4 | 5 | 0.3 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 27.18 | 780 | 273 | 133 | 31 | 14 | 11 | 13 | 3 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 29. 18 | 4 | 70 | 10 | 16 | 0.9 | 1 | ${ }^{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\infty}$ |  | 0.12 | 5, 607 | 209 | 624 | 431 | ${ }^{1}$ | 59 | 48 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0-11 20 | $0.23$ | 11,423 | 1.820 | 95: | 235 | 177 | $133$ | 91 | 17 | 16 | $17$ | 13 |  | 0.01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0.42 | 3,058 | 818 | 305 | 432 | 97 | 163 | 28 | 69 | 1 | 11 | 1 | $1$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0.60 | 8,700 | 1,100 | 398 | 133 | 102 | 126 | 58 | 12 |  |  | 3 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0.80 | 9,208 | .2,450 | 1.149 | 1.072 | 608 | 404 | 615 | 207 | 285 | 293 | 133 | 14 | 52 | 20 |  | 1 | 0.2 | 0.04 | 0.9 |  |
|  |  | 1.11 | 4.713 | 1.018 | 404 | 141 | 162 | 111 | 21 | 2 | 33 | 2 | 8 | 12 | \% | 4 |  | 1 | 0.4 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1.27 | 3,441 | 1,494 | 428 | 270 | ${ }^{6}$ | 10 | 38 |  | 10 | 10 | 20 | ${ }^{18}$ | : | 5 | 0.01 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1. 49 | 6,318 | 1,240 | 1,087 | 257 | 143 | 88 | 18 | 11 | 45 | 13 | 14 | 30 | - | 15 | 0.01 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1. 67 | 10,970 | 3,744 | 1,118 | 454 | 374 | 128 | 806 | 10 | 30 | 87 | - | , | 10 | 3 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 |  |  |  |
|  |  | $0.43$ | 608 | 299 | 179 | 12 | 78 | 54 | 20 |  |  |  |  | $3$ | $s$ | $0.1$ | $1$ | 0.8 | 1 | 0. 01 |  |  |
|  | E-67 2U | $\text { 2. } 13$ | $857$ | $235$ | $110$ | ${ }^{66}$ | 50 | $19$ | $8$ | 14 | $\%$ | $6$ | $0$ | $3$ | $3$ | $2$ | $0.04$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 3. 48 | 1.439 | 420 | 271 | 183 | 124 | 53 | 22 | 15 | 2 | 0.1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 6. 30 | 362 | 69 | ${ }^{6}$ | 29 | 10 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 6.63 | 30e | 43 | 41 | - | ${ }^{5}$ | 6 | 7 | , | * | 0.4 | 0. 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 8. 13 | 428 | 68 | 64 | 23 | 20 | ${ }^{80}$ |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0. 63 | 142 | 13 | 17 | 12 | 11 | 2 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 11.30 | 861 | 101 | 45 | 44 | 18 | 8 | 6 | 0. 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 12. 86 | 1,54e | 417 | 181 | ${ }^{6}$ | 82 | 45 | 4 | 4 | 0. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 |  | 1 |  | 0.2 |  |  |  |
|  | How 7-64 | 0.38 | 673 | 242 | 13: | 41 | 29 | 30 | 7 | 4 | 0.1 | , |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 20 | 1.38 | 443 | 254 | ${ }^{6}$ | 30 | 1 | 10 | - | - | 2 | 1 | 4 | 1 | $s$ | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.01 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2.38 | 352 | 171 | 118 | 35 | 3 | 10 | 1 | 0.9 |  | 1 | 3 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2. 30 | 897 | 284 | 112 | 22 | 18 | 6 | 0.1 | 1 | 1 |  | 0.5 | 0.02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 4.13 | 4.074 | 1,184 | 495 | 338 | 171 | 134 | 72 | 43 | 23 | 13 | 1 | 0.9 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 8. 30 | 188 | 92 | ${ }^{3}$ | 14 | 14 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 6. 38 | 642 | 158 | 8 | 21 | 29 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1. 46 | 2,178 | 184 | 374 | 238 | 12 | 42 | 2v | 14 | 18 | 1 | 3 | 0.2 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 6.13 | 1.010 | 428 | 161 | 41 | 24 | 28 | 10 | 1 |  |  | 0.3 | 0.02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2. 38 | 84 | 314 | 108 | 71 | 24 | 11 | ${ }^{8}$ | 1 | ${ }^{0.3}$ |  | $0.1$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.1 \\ & 0.1 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 10. 28 | 20 | 2 EB | 118 | 270 | 168 | 14 | 52 | 14 | 11 | 4 | 0.1 |  | 0.02 | 0.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

table ad continued

| 8utioa | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean } \\ & \text { Colloction } \\ & \text { Time (TBD) } \end{aligned}$ | Numbar of partiolea/ni hr/miuran-Inturval |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 32.8 | 12.8 | \$1. 5 | 1125 | 152.6 | 155 |  | 235 |  |  |  |  | 605 | 125 | 865 | 1,000 | 1,400 | 1.800 | 2.200 | 2.400 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 132.5 | 18. | 16 | 235 | 275 | 315 | 368 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bhot Tewa |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { YaQ to- } \\ & \text { B-7 TE } \end{aligned}$ | 4.4 | 1,267 | 271 | 139 | 119 | 36 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6.14 | 8.101 | 1,830 | 122 | 309 | 135 | 82 | 59 | 21 | 12 |  | 1 | 0.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1. 64 | 1.607 | 111 | 458 | 395 | 265 | 175 | 80 | 33 | 10 | 0. 05 |  | 1 | 0. 02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9.14 | 737 | 130 | 496 | 296 | 144 | 121 | $43^{\circ}$ | 18 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 10.64 | 1.104 | 484 | 272 | 194 | 144 | 46 | 41 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 12.14 | 392 | 151 | ${ }^{5}$ | 28 | 10 | 1 | 5 | 1 |  |  |  | 0.5 | 0. 02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 13.4 | 470 | 241 | 78 | 52 | 1 | 1 | 3 |  | 1 |  |  | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 15. 14 | 950 | 49 | 64 | 25 | 11 | 16 | 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 16.64 | 671 | 160 | 121 | 22 | 33 | 45 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { YAG-39- } \\ & \text { C-20 TE } \end{aligned}$ | 1.4 | 3. 952 |  | 459 | 126 | 11 | 110 | 154 | 196 | 128 | 84 | 42 | 1 | 0.01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | c. 4.4 | 424 | 508 | 202 | 30 | 4 | 16 | $12$ | 10 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | , |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | c. 66 | 1,802 | 260 | 124 | 35 | 9 | 11 | 11 | b |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9. 16 | 684 | 838 | 513 | 171 | 19 | 38 | 12 | 1 | $\checkmark$ | 2 | 2 | 0.02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9. 66 | 474 | 124 | 69 | 18 | 12 | 2 | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 21.18 | 971 | 190 | 9 | 25 | 32 | 7 | 2 | , | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 12.46 | 839 | 139 | 39 | 28 | 4 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 14.14 | 1,371 | 174 | 58 | 40 | 18 | 21 |  |  | 1 |  | 0.1 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 15. 16 | 863 | 206 | 114 | 69 | 24 | 20 | 8 | 2 | , | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { LST 611- } \\ & \text { D-41 TE } \end{aligned}$ | 0. 10 | 76 | 109 | 310 | 132 | 79 | 3 | 12 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | , |
|  | 3. 98 | 342 | 244 | 264 | 147 | 106 | 39 | 26 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 10. 18 | 764 | 272 | 201 | 122 | 11 | 50 | 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 10.94 | 157 | 602 | 412 | 150 | 124 | 31 | 18 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 12.14 | 114 | 214 | 245 | 42 | 16 | 61 | 10 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 12.98 | 385 | 100 | 134 | 112 | 1 | 65 | J |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 14.18 | 290 | 102 | 41 | 40 | 11 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 14. 89 | 428 | 268 | 122 | 4 | 19 | 10 |  |  |  |  | 0.03 | 0.03 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 16. 14 | 311 | 127 | 17 | 6 | 12 | 11 | 8 | 5 | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 16.94 | 17 | 166 | 14 | 92 | 24 | - | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { YFNB 13- } \\ & \text { E-57 TE } \end{aligned}$ | 0.13 | 1.354 | 565 | 125 | 838 | 352 | 94 | 31 | 15 | 7 | 2 | 0.2 |  |  | 0.4 | 0.4 |  | 0.1 |  |  |  |
|  | 0. 63 | 1.198 | 375 | 265 | 164 | 147 | 49 | 77 | 24 | 7 | 1 | 0.05 | 0.8 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1.18 | 352 | 831 | 591 | 812 | 335 | 120 | 43 | 13 | 23 | - | 4 | 0.5 |  | 0.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1.63 | 976 | 928 | 452 | 302 | 168 | 126 | 62 | 23 | 22 | 28 | 26 | 13 | 1 | 0.4 | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| YFNB 29- <br> H-78 TE | $0.13$ | 536 |  | 1,134 | 275 | 144 | 12 | 43 | 27 | * | 2 | 4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 2 | 0.1 | 0.09 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1. 38 | 310 | $1,120$ | 980 | 491 | 360 | 163 | 146 | 101 | 85 | 41 | 30 | 42 | 26 | , | 0.04 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2.37 | 135 | 808 | 481 | 229 | 151 | 19 | 43 | 45 | 150 | 125 | 142 | 47 | 0.3 | 8 | 0.02 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4.37 | 322 | 183 | 206 | ${ }^{6}$ | 51 | 46 | 33 | 68 | 72 | 21 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6. 17 | 256 | 68 | 14 | 10 |  | 16 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7. 37 | 113 | 97 | 50 | 13 |  | 9 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9. 87 | 512 | 99 | 17 | 9 |  |  | 1 | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 10. 37 | 188 | 247 | 70 | 25 |  | 4 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 11.87 | 314 | 268 | 106 | 31 | 18 | 1 | 4 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 13. 37 | 200 | 278 | 114 | 12 | 33 | 9 | , | 2 | 1 |  | 0.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { How F- } \\ & \text { G4TE } \end{aligned}$ | 0.13 | 860 | 361 | 128 | 94 | 30 | 29 | 14 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1.13 | 941 | 288 | 112 | 45 | 21 | 24 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2.13 | 164 | 199 | 102 | 90 | 75 | 20 | 12 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 0. 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.13 | 157 | 78 | 121 | 48 | 25 | 13 | 8 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4.15 | 462 | 301 | 162 | 91 | 10 | 62 | 11 |  |  |  | 0.02 | 0. 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6. 13 | 208 | 205 | 98 | 34 | 13 |  | - | - |  |  | 0.1 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6. 13 | 220 | 163 | 88 | 38 | 4 | 32 | 4 | - | 0. | 0.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7.13 | 2.189 | 518 | 151 | 204 | 32 | 54 | 7 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4. 13 | 842 | 404 | 145 | 00 | 32 | 19 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0.02 | 0.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

table b. 4 calculated rate or masa depoaition, bhote zuni and tewa

| 8140n | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { collecuon } \\ \text { Time (TBDD) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Mlerogram $/ n^{1} /$ ar/micron-inemerval |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mu \tilde{L} / n^{\prime}-\mathrm{hr} \\ & 132.5 \text { to } 2 \cdot 200 \mathrm{~m}) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mean Perticle Alie, microna |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 52.8 | 72.6 | 92.1 | 112.1 | 132.8 | 163 | 198 | 233 | 278 | 318 | 360 | 488 | 003 | 728 | 345 | 1,000 | 1.000 | 1.800 | 2,200 | 2, 600 |  |
|  | $\mathrm{mr}^{\text {r }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| stot zuni |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { yá } 40- \\ & \mathrm{B}-\mathrm{T} \end{aligned}$ | 3. 20 | 303 | 207 | 302 | 206 | 208 | 191 | 424 | 1,078 | 1,048 | 808 | 1,481 | 634 | 8 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | 364.035 |
|  | 4. 88 | 1.463 | 1.433 | 2,450 | 2,067 | 3.116 | 3. 112 | 3,469 | 3.921 | 4.819 | 3,411 | 438 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.051.050 |
|  | 8. 99 | 4,356 | 1. 514 | 2.148 | 2, 201 | 2,46 | 1,186 | 2, 229 | 1,010 | 1.124 | 215 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$23.070 |
|  | 1.00 | 1,312 | 140 | 301 | 1,054 | 491 | 1. 282 | 1.168 | 1.048 | 416 | 38 | 804 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 319.725 |
|  | 4. 02 | 136 | 108 | 60 | ${ }^{6}$ | 4 | 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9.830 |
|  | 9. 3 | 607 | 299 | 384 | 300 | 24 | 103 | 334 | 3 | 88 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 55,520 |
|  | 10.04 | 211 | 132 | 101 | 108 | 250 | 140 | 149 | 280 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 35.718 |
|  | 11.08 | 100 | 103 | 124 | 147 | 150 | 4 | 11 | 32 | 10 | 14 | 11 | 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 23. 103 |
|  | 12.09 | 0 | 112 | 11 | 48 | 4 | 11 | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,815 |
|  | 11.08 | 123 | 98 | 104 | 112 | 4 | 187 | 4 | 1 | 62 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20, 180 |
|  | 14.09 | 141 | 114 | 116 | 90 | 4 | 4 | 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12. 115 |
|  | 11.11 | 10 | 93 | 145 | $0{ }^{\circ}$ | 4 | 1 | $\omega$ | 2 | 2 | 82 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12.080 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { YAO 31- } \\ & \text { C-20 } \mathbf{U N} \end{aligned}$ | 12.02 | 16 | 132 | 150 | 47 | 110 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16.900 |
|  | 13.03 | 33 | ${ }^{6}$ | 4 | 48 | 17 | - | 30 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,293 |
|  | 11.10 | 101 | 60 | 11 | 104 | 18 | 178 | 50 |  |  |  |  | 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 23.250 |
|  | 19.14 | cst | 244 | 151 | 0 | 4 | 15 | 5 | 40 | 89 | 11 |  |  |  | 140 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  | 98.180 |
|  | 21.14 | as | 69 | 178 | 140 | 181 | 187 | 0 | 1 |  |  |  | 103 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 30, 870 |
|  | 33.19 | 8 | 12 | H | 48 | , | 10 | - | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.014 |
|  | 21. 10 | 47 | 30 | 32 | 38 | 12 | ${ }^{18}$ | 3 | 88 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8. 210 |
|  | 21. 16 | 142 | 129 | 121 | 50 | 4 | ${ }^{1}$ | 124 | 6 | 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13,980 |
|  | 29.14 | 11 | 23 | 11 | 85 | 8 | 34 | 5 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.100 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { YTNB 28- } \\ & 0-7120 \end{aligned}$ | 0.12 | 1.004 | 431 | 014 | 100 | 121 | 272 | 414 | 115 |  | 18 | 403 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 121. 820 |
|  | 4.22 | 2,001 | 361 | 830 | 418 | 311 | 012 | 838 | 314 | 47 | 614 | 150 | 438 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 341, 388 |
|  | 0.12 | . 84. | 344 | 285 | 190 | 201 | 76 | 257 | 1,118 | 31 | 46 | 13 | 140 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 164,070 |
|  | -6. 6 | 1,020 | 111 | 301 | 336 | 804 | S64 | 631 | 304 |  |  | 237 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 122.050 |
|  | C. 0 | 1,064 | 1,154 | 1.121 | 1.651 | 1.848 | 2.291 | 0.134 | 3. 384 | 1.000 | 11, 238 | 9.009 | 10.138 | 14.481 | 3.481 | 10, 078 | 9. 861 | 118 | 338 | 12,494 |  | 18.158.048 |
|  | 1.11 | . 64 | 478 | 295 | 250 | 40 | 830 | 154 | ${ }^{3} 1$ | 451 | $\cdots$ | 357 | 1,121 | 1,023 | 2, 321 | 3. 103 | 1,936 | 189 |  |  |  | 1.819. 100 |
|  | 1.27 | 014 | 691 | 410 | 419 | 141 | 41 | 211 | 1 | 259 | 412 | 1.254 | 2.104 | 1.003 | 3, 1.03 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  | -3s,960 |
|  | 1.49 | 1.460 | 029 | 1.033 | 438 | 418 | 407 | 142 | 1.142 | 1.144 | 18 | 178 | 4. 359 | 2,501 | 1.517 | 13 |  |  |  |  |  | 1.056.918 |
|  | 1. 18 | 1,923 | 1.119 | 1,058 | 789 | 1.017 | B94 | 1.688 | 172 | 131 | 2, 218 | 824 | 1.384 | 2.040 | 1,609 | 121 | 431 | 1,044 |  |  |  | 2.054 .285 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { YFNB 13- } \\ & \text { E-67 2U } \end{aligned}$ | 0.62 | 123 | 141 | 175 | 145 | 238 | 262 | 272 | 273 | 124 | sea | 409 | 14 | 1.sss | 317 | 014 | 1,004 | 3,432 | 70 |  |  | 2.030,000 |
|  | 2.13 | 163 | 111 | 147 | 91 | 146 | 4 | 48 | 235 | 241 | 214 | 371 | 450 | 883 | 1.412 | 304 |  |  |  |  |  | 425. 300 |
|  | 2. 43 | 350 | 186 | 204 | 209 | 368 | 245 | 208 | 244 | 4 | 14 | 102 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 63, 353 |
|  | 6. 36 | ${ }^{3}$ | 38 | 44 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8, 670 |
|  | 6. 3 | 58 | 30 | 40 | 16 | 16 | 20 | 13 | 131 | 6 | 17 | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16, 878 |
|  | 1.13 | 11 | 32 | ${ }^{3}$ | 42 | co | 41 |  | ${ }^{33}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.400 |
|  | - 39 | 23 | ${ }^{5}$ | 17 | 21 | 4 | 3 | 26 | 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.180 |
|  | 11.38 | 104 | 4 | 4 | 10 | 43 | ${ }^{37}$ | 4 | 3 | 10 | , |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10.503 |
|  | 12.111 | 211 | 211 | 176 | 138 | 160 | 200 | 4 | 4 | 11 | 4 | 10 | 18 | 37 | 1 | 1,019 | 5 | 850 | - |  |  | 328, 360 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { How } \mathrm{P}- \\ & \mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{U} \end{aligned}$ | 0.38 | 121 | 114 | 128 | 13 | ${ }^{6}$ | 131 | 4 | 9 | 4 | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21.100 |
|  | 1.31 | 19 | 120 | 11 | 4 | 23 | 4 | 4 | 166 | 4 | 307 | 255 | 241 | 1.440 | 211 | 158 | 20 |  |  |  |  | 375.050 |
|  | 2.36 | 43 | 11 | 114 | 48 | * | 43 | 11 | ${ }^{6}$ | 8 | 7 | 197 | $\cdots$ | 48 | 213 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.430 17.305 |
|  | 3.30 | 101 | 134 | 110 | 40 | 12 | ${ }^{18}$ | 1 | 89 | 3 |  | 32 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17. 305 |
|  | 4.13 | 129 | 86a | 448 | 590 | 434 | 110 | 61 | 701 | 402 | 58. | 438 | 13 | 200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 25, 945 |
|  | -134 | 30 | 41 | 83 | ${ }^{23}$ | 41 | 32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4. 283 |
|  | 4. 38 | 118 | 12 | 4 | 4 | ${ }^{\text {as }}$ | 32 | 32 | 22 | 33 | 158 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11,315 103 1030 |
|  | 7. 34 | 319 | 358 | 368 | 114 | 208 | 186 | 180 | 238 | 331 | ${ }^{4}$ | 185 | 42 | 37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 103.180 27,045 |
|  | 0.13 | 111 | 202 | 180 | 111 | 7 | 131 | * | 35 | 1 |  | 32 | ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 27.045 35.405 |
|  | 10. 38 | 17 | 101 120 | 101 | 126 | 8128 | 32 312 | 818 | 30 343 | 10 301 | 160 | 4 | 103 | 1 | 218 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 33, 1085 138.485 |

table a a conthuid

| Hsuon | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Moven } \\ & \text { Colloction } \\ & \text { Time ITROO } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 82.8 | 12.8 | 92.5 | 112.0 | 192.8 | 111 | 105. | 295 | 878 | 115 | 34 | 485 | 008 | 925 | 010 | 1,000 | 1,400 | 1.500 | 2,200 | 2, 200 | (52. 5 [ 2.600 m ) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| atol Tome |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{y n c} \text { so- } \\ & \mathrm{b-1} \mathrm{TK} \end{aligned}$ | 4.4 | 327 | 124 | 130 | 210 | 102 | 41 | 36 | 28 | 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20,310 |
|  | 4.14 | 341 | 64 | 603 | 4 | 363 | 31 | 42 | 250 | 238 | 36 | $\omega$ | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 135,560 |
|  | 1. 46 | 240 | 235 | 44 | 68 | 162 | 308 | 138 | 63 | 272 | 2 |  | 231 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 160, 763 |
|  | 0.14 | 192 | 34 | 484 | 322 | 474 | 501 | 408 | 208 | 81 | 88 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 98, 050 |
|  | 10.64 | 150 | 82 | 367 | $\boldsymbol{H 2}$ | 414 | 214 | 30 | 21 | 4 | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16, 540 |
|  | 12.14 | 70 | $\underline{1}$ | 12 | 81 | 32 | 31 | 4 | 22 |  |  |  | 13 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 22,045 |
|  | 12.6 | 84 | 114 | 18 | 38 | 2 | 4 | 36 | 1 | 3 |  | 17 | 37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20, 320 |
|  | 18.16 | 112 | 13 | 4 | 4 | 38 | 14 | 82 | 18 | 20 | 35 | - | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17, 645 |
|  | 16.4 | 102 | ${ }^{8}$ | 110 | 129 | ${ }^{5}$ | 203 | 30 | 12 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20,040 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { YAO } 38- \\ & C-20 \mathrm{TE} \end{aligned}$ | 2.64 | 100 | 419 | 440 | 272 | 208 | 509 | 1.414 | 2, 240 | 2. 200 | 2, 3 sp | 4.208 | 3,460 | 113 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 733, 650 |
|  | 4.4 | 10 | 860 | 104 | 124 | 141 | 14 | 112 | 168 | 118 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 37, 106 |
|  | -64 | 329 | 124 | 124 | 4 | - 31 | 11 | 148 | 10 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20, 100 |
|  | 0.16 | 122 | 240 | 608 | 201 | 228 | 178 | 111 | 20 | 18 | 109 | 124 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 43, 635 |
|  | 1. 6 | ${ }^{6}$ | 6 | $\omega$ | 11 | 38 | 12 | 4 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9. 335 |
|  | 11.16 | 174 | 0 | 18 | 4 | 82 | 3 | ${ }^{56}$ | 30 | 28 | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15, 225 |
|  | 12.46 | 104 | 4 | 38 | 4 | 11 | $\cdots$ | $\mu$ |  |  |  | ${ }^{69}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10, 315 |
|  | 14.16 | 246 | 4 | 17 | 18 | 40 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 4 |  | 164 | 131 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16,029 |
|  | 18. 16 | 15 | 13 | 112 | 118 | 10 | 8 | 0 | 48 | 164 | ${ }^{2}$ | 142 | 41 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 42, 085 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lit } 41 \\ & \text { D- } 415 \end{aligned}$ | C. 16 | 14 | 10 | 218 | 238 | 238 | 142 | 111 | 1 | ${ }^{6}$ | es |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 31.225 |
|  | A. 68 | ${ }^{1}$ | 118 | 258 | 238 | 508 | 139 | 231 | 34 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 35,500 |
|  | 19. 18 | 181 | 124 | 199 | 214 | 250 | 231 | 183 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 32,700 |
|  | 10.46 | 4 | 254 | 402 | 318 | 360 | 178 | 164 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$0,000 |
|  | 12.16 | 4 | 101 | 32\% | 110 | 184 | 349 | * | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24. 305 |
|  | 12.08 | 4 | 4 | 131 | 131 | 171 | 200 | 31 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 22, 905 |
|  | 14.16 | 5 | 4 | $\infty$ | 11 | 48 | 19 | 33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8. 105 |
|  | 14.46 | 11 | 128 | 110 | ${ }^{11}$ | 66 | 41 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 48 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24, 810 |
|  | 18.18 | 92 | - 0 | ${ }^{65}$ | 114 | 25 | 4 | ${ }^{6}$ | 12 | 4 | 2 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21, ces |
|  | 14.98 | 14 | 13 | 181 | 163 | 71 | 43 | ${ }_{6}$ | 11 |  |  |  |  |  | 270 | 80 |  |  |  |  |  | 33, 560 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { YFNB 1J- } \\ & \text { E-B7 TE } \end{aligned}$ | 0.15 | 229 | 261 | 100 | 94 | 1.014 | 420 | 246 | 360 | 188 | 116 | 18 |  |  | 100 | 495 | 2 | 104 | 46 |  |  | 629, 220 |
|  | 0.48 | 114 | 179 | 258 | 298 | 124 | 320 | 101 | 207 | 165 | 6 | 1 | 118 | 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 114,185 |
|  | 1.12 | 19 | 365 | 676 | 1,098 | 959 | 659 | 308 | 310 | 609 | 240 | 8,084 | 406 |  | 732 | 100 |  |  |  |  |  | 205, 410 |
|  | 1. 13 | 175 | 439 | 439 | 538 | 44 | 518 | 418 | 378 | 670 | 1,090 | 1,544 | 1,872 | 118 | 108 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 422,925 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { YFNB 20- } \\ & \mathbf{H - T B ~ T E} \end{aligned}$ | 0.12 | 0 | 1.035 | 1.100 | 608 | 420 | 23 | 401 | 434 | 231 | \% | 86 | © | 196 | 1,164 | 107 | 14 |  |  |  |  | 341,010 |
|  | 1. 46 | 5 | 121 | 94 | 36 | 1,034 | 108 | 1, 40 | 1,439 | 8.183 | 1.654 | 1,438 | 4, 8 ¢5 | 1,201 | 2,304 | 33 |  |  |  |  |  | 2, 384, 238 |
|  | 2.87 | 24 | 241 | 471 | 404 | 485 | 204 | 402 | 122 | 3.1810 | 4.090 |  | 0, 038 | 1,408 | 24 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  | 2, 266,935 |
|  | 4.12 | 8 | 17 | 202 | 114 | 147 | 210 | 111 | 1,108 | 1,144 | . 64 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 197, 675 |
|  | 6.67 | 40 | 32 | 48 | 10 | 2 | 16 | 20 | 42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,665 |
|  | 7.37 | 30 | 4 | 50 | 24 | 1 | 4 | 18 | 34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5.4.45 |
|  | 4.81 | 12 | 17 | 49 | 14 |  |  | 14 | 61 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  | * |  |  |  |  |  | 7,265 |
|  | 10. 37 | 4 | 118 | 6 | 4 |  | 13 | - | 22 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,780 |
|  | 11.41 | 9 | 121 | 104 | 34 | 63 | 33 | 4 |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12,715 |
|  | 13.31 | 36 | 131 | 118 | 12 | 4 | 48 | 4 | ${ }^{31}$ | 4 |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18,085 |
| $\operatorname{HE}_{\text {How }}$ | 0.18 | 150 | 170 | 125 | 14 | 112 | 131 | 108 | 93 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 27.130 |
|  | 1.18 | 169 | 138 | 110 | 130 | 6 | 113 | , | 60 | 11 | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16,475 |
|  | 2.18 | 38 | 94 | 102 | 159 | 219 | 8 | 111 | 22 | 118 | 48 | 341 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 31, 045 |
|  | 218 | 20 | ${ }^{4}$ | 118 | 14 | 14 | 41 | 17 | 2 | 48 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15, 195 |
|  | 4.11 |  | 142 | 150 | 111 | 203 | 208 | 131 | 13 |  |  | 1 | 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 32, 380 |
|  | 4.18 | 37 | 19 | 3 | 11 | 38 | 2 | 4 | 133 | 13 | 17 | 10 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 22,275 |
|  | 1.12 7.12 | +10 | 17 | ${ }^{148}$ | 4 | 12 | 18 | 2 | 15 | 23 | 18 |  | 31 | ${ }^{11}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 37, 000 |
|  | 4.18 | 152 | 191 | 143 | 104 | 04 | ${ }^{262}$ | 18 | 4 | H1 | 3 | 14 | 4 | 132 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 34,575 19,370 |

table b. s meabured rate of particle depogition, qupplementaby data, bhots zun and tewa

| Station | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mean } \\ \text { Collection } \\ \text { Time (TBD) } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| hr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| shot 2unt |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { YAG } 40- \\ & \text { B-7 } \mathrm{ZO} \end{aligned}$ | 3. 48 | 5,933 | 017 | 317 | 96 | 11 | 10 | 14 | 3 | 22 | 2 | 0.8 | 0.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3. 74 | 302 | 142 | 70 | 11 | 23 | 10 | 38 | 20 | 11 | 4 | - | 4 | 0.1 | 0.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4.47 | 2,500 | 719 | 381 | 246 | 229 | 218 | 295 | 250 | 239 | 141 | 62 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5. 23 | 13,014 | 8. 321 | 3, 203 | 2.251 | 1.483 | 1,274 | 619 | 647 | 248 | 20 | 20 | 0.5 | 0.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8. 48 | 12,143 | 3, 741 | 3, 142 | 1,820 | 1,180 | 1,016 | 418 | 189 | 134 | 22 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8. 14 | 29,427 | 8,738 | 2, 184 | 1.014 | 1,349 | 624 | 634 | 148 | 99 | 38 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4. 24 | 25,940 | 2,933 | 1, 194 | 737 | 468 | 180 | 142 | 6 | , | 1 | 0.02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6. 75 | 11,973 | 1,054 | 1,322 | 734 | s60 | 368 | 164 | 4 | 27 | 17 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1. 25 | 1,168 | 366 | 215 | 108 | 4 | 20 | 22 | 4 | - | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1. 16 | 123 | 212 | 128 | 63 | 41 | - | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4. 27 | 171 | 238 | 148 | 4 | 4 | 24 | \% | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2. 32 | 242 | 350 | 186 | 146 | 31 | 36 | 19 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6. 71 | 2, 200 | 228 | 182 | 100 | 44 | 31 | 18 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | P. 28 | 4.118 | 631 | 329 | 134 | 22 | 30 | 11 |  | 2 |  | 0.02 | 0.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2. 53 | 1.255 | 309 | 338 | 202 | 123 | 4 | 25 | 0 | 2 | 0.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3. 71 | 1.074 | 321 | 208 | 136 | 110 | 97 | 38 | 18 | - | 1 | 4 | 0.02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 20.65 | 882 | 223 | 146 | 107 | 41 | 32 | 15 | 1 |  | 4 | 0.04 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 10. 80 | 171 | 270 | 140 | 138 | 41 | ${ }^{68}$ | - | 7 | 1 | 0.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 11. 31 | 869 | 218 | 134 | 102 | 12 | 42 | 18 | 14 | , | 0.4 | 0.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 11.68 | 614 | 180 | 14 | 34 | 14 | - | 2 |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 11. 81 | 1,014 | 168 | 141 | 60 | 32 | , | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 12. 32 | 964 | 150 | 11 | 16 | 11 | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 12.58 | 378 | 160 | 101 | 11 | 33 | 4 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 12.83 | 498 | 97 | 101 | 81 | 4 | 27 | - | 4 |  |  | 0.5 | 0.02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 13. 33 | 126 | 172 | 109 | 6 | 41 | 10 | 12 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 13. 59 | 141 | 161 | cs | 24 | 11 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 13. 84 | 341 | 85 | $\stackrel{0}{0}$ | ${ }^{25}$ | 10 | , | 1 | 1 |  | 0. \% | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 14. 36 | 1,085 | 119 | 41 | 39 | 1 | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 14.60 | 801 | 168 | 41 | 12 | 10 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 14. 85 | 1.464 | 148 | 120 | ${ }^{53}$ | 18 | \% | , | 3 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 18.36 | 164 | 70 | 46 | 55 | , | 3 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 18.61 | 120 | 172 | 158 | 41 | 42 | 32 | 4 | 1 |  | 4 | 0.02 | 0.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| YAO 38- <br> C-20 20 | 12.63 | 367 | 94 | 39 | 21 | 10 | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 14. 09 | 1, 224 | 220 | 14 | 10 | , | 3 | 1 |  |  |  | 0.1 | 2 | 0.02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 14. 28 | 424 | 259 | 141 | 70 | 14 | 21 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 15. 28 | 11 | 87 | 11 | 17 | 10 | - | 3 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 15. 11 | 361 | 151 | ${ }^{31}$ | 33 | 16 | 3 | - |  |  | 0.8 | 0.04 | 0.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 14.03 | 159 | 123 | 78 | 31 | 14 | , |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 16. 28 | 390 | 15 | 4 | 30 | 14 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 22.16 | 91 | 12 | 10 | - | 14 | 4 |  | 0.4 |  | 0.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 24. 17 | 200 | 140 | 48 | 53 | 10 | , | 2 | 0.8 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 26.18 | 16 | 87 | 31 | $\bullet$ | 2 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 29.93 | 998 | 288 | 70 | B6 | 10 | 11 | 2 |  |  |  | 0.8 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { YFNB } 12- \\ & \text { E. } 51 \mathrm{ZU} \end{aligned}$ | 0. 18 | 1,090 | 416 | 271 | 131 | 10 | 30 | 21 | 7 | 2 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.86 | 4,068 | 982 | 663 | 468 | 359 | 398 | 158 | 104 | 69 | 21 | 11 | 14 | 14 | 2 | , | 3 | 0.07 |  |  |  |
|  | 1.13 | 16, 192 | 1,638 | 997 | 661 | 389 | 269 | 304 | 94 | 124 | 71 | ${ }^{38}$ | ${ }^{28}$ | \% | 10 | , | 0.04 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1. 63 | c. 031 | 1. 204 | 313 | 526 | 324 | 189 | 143 | 64 | 42 | 74 | 31 | 18 | 1 | 3 | 0.2 | 0.09 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1. 68 | 2, 939 | 843 | 678 | 278 | 124 | 128 | 94 | 21 | 18 | 13 | 16 | 18 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2.43 | 1.129 | 635 | 912 | ${ }^{88}$ | 22 | 18 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3. 38 | 1,071 | 288 | 161 | 9 | 10 | so | 38 | 20 | 1 | 0.1 . | 0.4 | 0.04 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4. 13 | 1,111 | 11 | 17 | 11 | 10 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1.18 | 338 | 181 | 48 | 13 | 13 | , | , |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5.81 | 362 | 119 | 14 | 17 | 1 |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4. 38 | 130 | 18 | 41 | 34 | 18 | - | - | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4. 81 | +818 | 180 | 86 | ${ }^{42}$ | 0 | ${ }^{2}$ | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | - $\begin{gathered}\text { \%. } \\ \text { i. } \\ \text { an }\end{gathered}$ | 1.800 1,170 | 312 | 230 180 | 4 | 14 | 11 | 1 | : 4 | $0.4$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \%. 14 | 84, | 110 | 1118 | 10 | 4 | ! | 1 | - - - | $\underline{1}$ | 08 |  |  |  | Her |  |  |  |  |  |  |

table b. s Continued


|  | 2.88 | 358 | 124 | 4 | 21 | 4 | 4 |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 10.38 | 352 | 90 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 11.68 | 948 | 152 | 63 | 14 | 13 | 11 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 12.13 | 780 | 108 | 27 | 37 | 15 | , |  | 0.4 | 0.4 |  | 0.5 | 0.02 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 12. 34 | 118 | 214 | 114 | 33 | 23 | 1 | 5 | 1 | . 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 12.43 | 1.056 | 331 | 177 | 38 | 36 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |
| Yfin 29 - | 0. 20 | 21.898 | 2,193 | 918 | 80 | 360 | 154 | 111 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0-7120. | 0. 40 | 4. 294 | 1.450 | 1,163 | 319 | 428 | 12 | 63 | 36 |  | 3 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.58 | 128 | 141 | 94 | 35 | 18 | 18 | 33 | 8 | 0.2 | 2 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.80 | 14,251 | 1,102 | 569 | 211 | 123 | 155 | 14 | 1 | 2 |  |  | 1 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0.04 |
|  | 0.98 | 4,950 | 2,501 | 1,561 | 1.004 | 720 | 253 | 237 | 104 | 49 | 21 | 63 | 42 | 40 | 3 | 0.01 | 3 | 1 | 0.04 |
|  | 1. 20 | 1,112 | 2,624 | 328 | 34 | 205 | 18 | 67 | 32 | 0.1 | 22 | 1 | 26 | 7 | 18 | 4 | 0.03 | 0. 02 |  |
|  | 1. 24 | 15.621 | 2. 393 | 308 | 141 | 248 | 228 | 145 | 36 | , | 0.04 | 29 | 12 | 50 | 14 | - | 1 | 8 | 0. 04 |
|  | 1. 38 | 12,965 | 1,734 | 320 | 44 | 412 | 81 | 5 | 122 | 04 | 38 | 6 | 33 | 13 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1. 60 | 20.626 | 753 | 676 | 313 | 108 | 90 | 34 | 54 | 25 | 17 | 47 | 15 | 10 | 0.01 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1. 87 | 10,770 | 2. 784 | 1,119 | 454 | 974 | 129 | 208 | 10 | 30 | 57 | ${ }^{1}$ | * | 10 | 3 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 |  |
|  | 1. 78 | 4.029 | 1.331 | 1,135 | 436 | 178 | 6 | 81 | 44 | 2 | 23 | 33 | 1 | 4 | 0.9 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1. 56 | 52,072 | 30,301 | 17,871 | 9.110 | 2.603 | 2, 361 | 1,160 | s93 | 201 | 118 | ${ }^{3}$ | \% | 14 | , | - |  |  |  |
| shot T - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| yag 40- | 5. 14 | 292 | 1.179 | 44 | 219 | 133 | 45 | 46 | 16 | 14 | 0.1 |  |  |  | , |  |  |  |  |
| 8-7 15 | s. 64 | 1.073 | 1.044 | 981 | 304 | 324 | 189 | 109 | 33 | 22 | 1 | 0.8 |  |  | , |  |  |  |  |
|  | c. 4 | 964 | 751 | Ss1 | 356 | 16 | 104 | es | 8 | 18 | , |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9. 14 | 1.141 | 1.094 | 66 | 339 | 218 | 118 | 11 | 35 | 14 | 2 |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.14 | 1,004 | 615 | 317 | 249 | 108 | 13 | 34 | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9. 64 | 230 | 512 | S28 | 263 | 218 | 109 | 28 | 26 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9. 64 | 1.715 | 33 | 404 | 225 | 160 | 108 | 30 | * | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 10.14 | 1,100 | 564 | 290 | 159 | 0 | 48 | 18 | , | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 11.14 | 1,076 | 240 | 146 | 4 | 62 | 11 | 12 | 2 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 11.4 | 310 | 263 | 196 | 99 | 12 | 3 | 10 | 10 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 12.64 | 41 | 34 | 174 | 146 | 30 | 66 | 13 | 3 | 1 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 12.14 | 14 | 218 | 111 | $s$ | - | \% |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 14.14 | 139 | 230 | 94 | 11 | 14 | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 14.64 | 312 | 258 | 93 | 90 | 30 | 11 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1s. 6 | 292 | 124 | 113 | ${ }^{0}$ | 13 | 10 | 2 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 0.4 | 0.02 |  |  |  |
|  | 16.14 | 220 | 129 | 41 | 31 | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 17.14 | 518 | 225 | 114 | 58 | - | - | 3 |  | * | 0.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 17. 6.4 | 514 | 241 | 130 | 34 | 34 | - | 2 | 2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yab 39- | 2.14 | 1, 204 | 528 | 324 | 165 | cs | 49 | 89 | 21 | 0 | 01 | 20 | 1 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| C-20 TE | 4.14 | 6. 408 | 2, 623 | 1,457 | 1,140 | 1,165 | 193 | sso | 276 | 236 | 128 | 13 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4. 64 | 71 | 1,909 | 1,574 | 964 | 800 | $s 80$ | 46 | 241 | 142 | 41 | 4 | 0.01 | 0.7 | 0.03 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4.16 | 1,280 | 314 | 156 | 96 | 46 | 31 | 11 | 4 | 1 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LST 811 - | 11. 71 | 304 | 411 | 266 | 56 | 4 | 1 | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| D-41 TE | 18. 71 | 267 | ${ }^{5}$ | so |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 16.50 | 210 | 49 | 34 | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 17.36 | st | 126 | 93 | 36 |  | 5 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 17. 78 | 71 | 180 | 107 | 32 | 43 | 30 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yfne $29-$ | 1. 88 | 1,236 | 940 | 453 | 219 | 168 | 455 | 92 | 49 | sa | 64 | 84 | 25 | 10 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |
| H-78 TE | 3. 84 | 2, 827 | 343 | 251 | 138 | 72 | 18 | $c$ | 86 | 123 | 81 | 82 | 45 | 7 | 0.03 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4. 12 | 450 | 181 | 8 | , | 38 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 47 | 16 | 1 | 0.04 | 0. 6 |  |  |  |  |  |

table b. calculated mate or mate depohtion, mupplementary data, bmote zunand tewa




Figure B. 1 Ocean-penetration rates, Shots Flathead, Navajo, and Tewa.
B. 2 PHYSICAL, CHEMICAL, AND RADIOLOGICAL DATA

TABLE B. 8 WEIGHT, ACTIVITY, AND FISSION VALUES FOR SIZED FRACTIONS FROM WHIM SAMPLE YFNB 29 ZU

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Size } \\ \text { Range } \end{gathered}$ | Weight |  | CIC Assay * |  |  | Fibsions |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grama | $\begin{gathered} \text { Percent of } \\ \text { Total } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Value } \\ & \text { at } \mathrm{H}+262 \mathrm{hr} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent of } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ | Specific Activity | Total | Per Gram |
| microns |  |  | $10^{-5} \mathrm{ma}$ |  | $10^{-5} \mathrm{ma} / \mathrm{gm}$ | $10^{16}$ | $10^{16}$ |
| 1,000 | 37. 70 | 41.8 | 1.08 | 15.8 | 0.0286 | 21. | 0.56 |
| 500 to 1, 000 | 41.91 | 46.4 | 3.14 | 46.0 | 0.0749 | 60. | 1. 4 |
| 250 to 500 | 4.97 | 5.6 | 1.35 | 19.8 | 0. 272 | 26. | 5. 2 |
| 100 to 250 | 3.51 | 3. 9 | 0.734 | 10.7 | 0. 209 | 14. | 4.0 |
| 50 to 100 | 0.80 | 0.9 | 0.155 | 2.9 | 0.194 | 3.0 | 3. 8 |
| 50 | 1.38 | 1.5 | 0.371 | 5.4 | 0.269 | 7.1 | 5.1 |
| Total | 90.27 |  | 6.83 |  | 0.0757 | 131. | 1.5 |

*Reaponse to $100 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of $\mathrm{Ra}=588 \times 10^{-1} \mathrm{ma}$

TABLE b. 9 hequencies and activity characteristics of particle size and particle ty pe groupg, shots zuni and tewa

table b. 9 continued


YaG 39, Shot Tewa
Activities in well counts/min at $\mathrm{H}+300$ hours

table b. 9 Continued

|  | Compoalte |  |  |  |  |  | Angular |  |  | Spherical |  |  | Agglomerates |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Frequency | Activity |  |  |  | Frequency | Activity |  | Frequency | Activity |  | Frequency | Activity |  |
| Group | Particles | with Zoro Actlvity | Minimun | Maxdmum | Median | Group |  | Median | Group |  | Median | Group |  | Median | Group |

YFNB 13, 8hot Tewa
Activitiea in well counta/min at $\boldsymbol{H}+300$ houra


TABLE B. 10 SURVEY OF SHOT TEWA REAGENT FILMS FOR SLURRY PARTICLE TRACES*

| Station and Instrument | Number of Reagent Film Examined $\dagger$ | Serial Number of <br> Tray Having <br> Slurry Particles | Number of Slurry Particles |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Definite | Doubtful |
| YAG 40-A-1 | 10 | - | 0 | 0 |
| YAG 40-A-2 | 7 | 3006 |  | 4 |
|  |  | 2988 |  | 2 |
| YAG 40-B-7 | 28 | - | 0 | 0 |
| YAG 39-C-20 | 27 | 3930 | 5 |  |
|  |  | 3931 | 3 |  |
|  |  | 3927 | 1 |  |
|  |  | 3924 |  | $\ddagger$ |
| YAG 39-C-24 | 27 | 3721 |  | 2 |
|  |  | 3727 |  | 4 |
| YAG 39-C-33 | 27 | 3828 |  | $\ddagger$ |
|  |  | 3829 |  | $\ddagger$ |
| LST 611-D-37 | 27 | 3211 |  | 1 |
|  |  | 3224 |  | , |
|  | - | 3231 |  | 1 |
| LST 611-D-41 | 27 | 3394 | 1 |  |
|  |  | 3393 | 1 |  |
|  |  | 3401 |  | 1 |
| LST 611-D-50 | 12 | - | 0 | 0 |
| YFNB 29-G-71 | 5 | 3433 |  | $\sim 578$ |
| YFNB 29-H-78 | 0 | - | - | - |
| YFNB 13-E-57 | 5 | - | 0 | 0 |
| How F-64 | 17 | - | 0 | 0 |
| Totals | 219 | 17 | 11 | 73 |

[^1]- TABLE B. 11 TOTAL ACTIVITY AND MASS OF SLURRY FALLOUT

| CollectIng Station | 8hot Flathead |  |  | Shot Navajo |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Activity * | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total Mass } \\ & \mathrm{NaCl} \end{aligned}$ | Total Number Droplets | Total Activity* | Total Mass NaCl | Total Number Droplets |
|  | (counts $/ \mathrm{mln}$ )/ft $\mathrm{ft}^{2} \times 10^{6}$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ | number/fi ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ | (counts $/ \mathrm{min}$ )/ $\mathrm{ft}^{2} \times 10^{8}$ | $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ | number/ft ${ }^{2}$ |
| YFNB 13-E-57 | , | - | - | 51.0 | 125,000 | 16,000 |
| YFNB 29-H-78 | 45.9 | 10,700 | 178,000 | 3.6 | 9,000 | 1,150 |
| YAG 39-C-20 | 8.4 | 300 | 714 | 21.2 | 13, 200 | 1,740 |
| YAG 39-C-24 | 1.6 | 57 | 135 | $\dagger$ | - | - |
| LST 611-D-37 | 19.6 | 690 | 1,640 | $\dagger$ | - | - |
| LST 611-D-50 | 2.6 | 92 | 219 | $\dagger$ | - | - |
| YaG 40-A-1 | 13.1 | 460 | 489 | 9.2 | 4,400 | 15,000 |
| YAG 40-A-2 | 11.5 | 410 | 436 | $\dagger$ | - | - |
| YAG 40-B-7 | 6.5 | 230 | 460 | 1 | - | - |

* Photon count in well counter at $\mathbf{H}+12$ hours.
| Values unavailable due to instrument malfunction or incomplete sampling run.

TABLE B. 12 GAMMA ACTIVITY AND FISSION CONTENT OF OCC AND AOC ${ }_{1}$ COLLECTORS BY Mo ${ }^{98}$ ANALYSIS The activities listed are for the unopened, covered collector on the floor of the doghouse counter. Fission values loss. All other fission values are computed fromed; corresponding total fissions are corrected for recovery form. For the YFNB cases the observed ratio for a given platform is used foghouse counts/min at 100 hr (see How F Flathead is computed from used is based on the average of the two independent collectors on that plat(rom the average ratio obtained from all other Flathead platforms values reported.


TABLE B. 12 CONTINUED

| Collector Designator | Shot Navajo |  |  | Shot Tewn |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Doghouse } \\ \text { Activity } \\ \text { at } 100 \mathrm{hrs} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Recovered <br> Number of <br> Fissions | Total Fiasions | Doghouse Activity at 100 hrs | Total <br> Fissions 1 |
| counts/min |  |  |  | counts/min |  |
| YAG 40-B- 4 | 85,800 | 1. $72 \times 10^{12}$ | 1. $91 \times 10^{18}$ | 13.383, 300 |  |
|  | 67,080 | $-\quad 1.49 \times 10^{12}$ |  |  | $1.95 \times 20^{15}$ |
| - 6 | 52,260 | - | $2.16 \times 10^{13}$ | 4, 504,700 3,743, 200 | $6.56 \times 10^{14}$ |
| -17 | 54,990 | - | $1.22 \times 10^{13}$ | $3,743,200$ $4.958,600$ | $5.45 \times 10^{14}$ |
| -18 | 69,615 | - | $1.55 \times 10^{13}$ | $4,958,600$ $3,846,800$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.22 \times 10^{14} \\ & 5.60 \times 10^{14} \end{aligned}$ |
| -19 | 80,145 | - | 1. $78 \times 10^{23}$ | $3,846,800$ $13,879,700$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.60 \times 10^{14} \\ & 2.02 \times 10^{15} \end{aligned}$ |
| YAG 39-C-21 | 191, 760 | 3. $90 \times 10^{13}$ | $4.48 \times 10^{13}$ |  |  |
| -22 | 149,600 | $3.90 \times 10$ | 3. $48 \times 10^{13}$ | 23,623, 200 | 4. $54 \times 10^{15}$ |
| -23 | 117,640 | $\pm$ | 2. $75 \times 10^{13}$ | 5, 754, 700 | $1.11 \times 10^{18}$ |
| -34 | 129, 200 | - | 3. $02 \times 10^{13}$ | 6,306, 500 | $1.21 \times 10^{16}$ |
| -35 | 176,700 | - | 3. $02 \times 10^{13}$ $4.13 \times 10^{13}$ | 6,192, 200 | $1.19 \times 10^{15}$ |
| -36 | 205, 360 | - | $4.80 \times 10^{13}$ | 9,091,900 | 1. $75 \times 10^{15}$ |
|  |  |  | $4.80 \times 10^{13}$ | 27,328,300 | $5.25 \times 10^{15}$ |
| LST 611-D-38 | 16,860 | 3. $03 \times 10^{12}$ | 3. $74 \times 10^{12}$ | 1,337,000 | $2.44 \times 10^{14}$ |
| -39 | 18,130 | - | $4.02 \times 10^{12}$ | 810,900 | 2. $44 \times 10^{14}$ |
| -40 | 9,016 | - | $2.00 \times 10^{12}$ | 962,800 | $1.76 \times 10^{14}$ |
| -51 | 8,722 | - | 1. $93 \times 10^{12}$ | 1,259,000 | $2.76 \times 10^{14}$ |
| -52 | 17,836 | - | 3. $96 \times 10^{12}$ | 1,336,500 | $2.30 \times 10^{14}$ |
| -53 | 19,600 | - | 4. $35 \times 10^{12}$ | 1,836,500 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.44 \times 10^{14} \\ & 3.34 \times 10^{16} \end{aligned}$ |
| YFNB 13-E-54 | 727,600 | - | $1.46 \times 10^{14}$ |  |  |
| -55 | 476,000 | - | $9.58 \times 10^{18}$ | 2,584, 300 | 5. $95 \times 10^{14}$ |
| -56 | 804,640 | 1. $30 \times 10^{14}$ | $1.62 \times 10^{14}$ | 3,616,300 | $8.32 \times 10^{14}$ |
| -58 | 806,070 | $1.30 \times 20$ | $1.62 \times 10^{14}$ | 5,740,900 | 1. $32 \times 10^{14}$ |
| -59 | '114,000 | - |  | 4,180,400 | $9.62 \times 10^{14}$ |
| $-60$ | 675,240 | - | $1.44 \times 10^{14}$ $1.36 \times 10^{14}$ | 2,149,100 | $4.95 \times 10^{14}$ |
|  |  |  | $1.36 \times 10^{14}$ | 2,447,800 | $5.63 \times 10^{14}$ |
| How F-61 | 16,110 | 3. $04 \times 10^{22}$ | 3. $62 \times 10^{12}$ |  |  |
| -62 | 18,820 | - | $\text { 4. } 23 \times 10^{12}$ | 255.940 275,000 | 6. $56 \times 10^{13}$ |
| -63 | 18,980 | - | 4. $26 \times 10^{12}$ | 275,000 | $7.05 \times 10^{18}$ |
| -65 | 18,440 | - | $4.14 \times 10^{12}$ | 331, 570 | $8.5 \times 10^{13}$ |
| -66 | 15,890 | - | $3.57 \times 10^{12}$ | 251,790 | $6.45 \times 10^{13}$ |
| -67 | 15,130 | - | 3. $40 \times 10^{12}$ | 214,470 | 5. $50 \times 10^{14}$ |
|  |  | - | 3. $40 \times 10^{12}$ | 238,340 | 6. $10 \times 10^{13}$ |
| YFNB 29-G-68 | 8,330 | - | 2. $06 \times 10^{12}$ |  |  |
| -69 | 9,500 | - | $2.35 \times 10^{12}$ | 17,914,700 | 3. $61 \times 10^{15}$ |
| -70 | 11,370 | - |  | 32, 654 |  |
| -72 | 10,880 | - | 2. $69 \times 10^{12}$ | 32, 654, 400 | $6.26 \times 10^{15}$ |
| -73 | 5,292 * | - | 2. $69 \times 10^{12}$ | 37, 489, 100 | $7.18 \times 10^{15}$ |
| -74 | 10,090 | - | $1.31 \times 10^{12}$ $2.50 \times 10^{12}$ | 18,895,700 | 3. $62 \times 10^{15}$ |
|  |  |  | $2.50 \times 10^{2}$ | 18,678,100 | $3.58 \times 10^{16}$ \% |
| -76 | 13,130 | $2.60 \times 10^{12}$ | $3.10 \times 10^{12}$ | 37, 371, 900 | 6. $79 \times 10^{15}$ |
|  | 7,546* | - | $1.87 \times 10^{12}$ | 46,094,000 | $9.41 \times 10^{15}$ |
| -79 | 14,110 | $3.10 \times 10^{12}$ | 3. $85 \times 10^{12}$ | 64, 372, 000 | $1.23 \times 10^{16}$ |
| -80 | 16,660 | - | $4.12 \times 10^{12}$ | 61, 366, 400 | $1.18 \times 10^{18}$ |
| -81 | 17,050 | - | $4.22 \times 10^{12}$ | 45,756,700 | 8. $77 \times 10^{15}$ |
|  | 11,560 | - | $2.86 \times 10^{12}$ | 37, 853,100 | $7.25 \times 10^{15}$ |
| Standard Cloud | 16,900 | - | 3. $46 \times 10^{12}$ | 315,000 | $4.71 \times 10^{18}$ |
| * Imperfect collection for quantity/area; hexcell and/or liner lost |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\dagger$ Independent value by UCRL: $1.38 \times 10^{15}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\ddagger$ All recoveries $>96$ percent. No correction made. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Independent value by UCRL: $4.15 \times 10^{15}$ |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE B. 13 OBSERVED DOGHOUSE GAMMA ACTIVITY-FISSION CONTENT RELATIONSHIP

| Collector Designator | Fissions (M0 ${ }^{\text {088 }}$ )/Doghouse counts $/ \mathrm{min}$ at 100 hour $\times 10^{8}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Zuni | Flathead | Navajo | Tewa |
| YAG 40-B-4 | - | 1. 794 | 2. 226 | 1. 457 |
| -6 | 1. 703 | - | - | - |
| YAG 39-C-21 | 0. 946 | 1. 669 | 2. 336 | 1. 922 |
| LST 811-D-38 | - | - | 2. 218 | 1. 825 |
| -40 | - | 2. 375 | - | - |
| YFNB 13-E-54 | 2. 834 | 2.116 | - | 2. 302 |
| -56 | - | - | 2.013 | - |
| How F-61 | 2. 407 | - | 2. 247 | 2. 563 |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { YFNB 29-G-68 } \\ \text { H-75 } \end{array}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { 2.755 } \\ \text { 2.687 }\end{array}\right\} 2.721$ | $\left.\begin{array}{l} 1.733 \\ 1.892 \end{array}\right\} 1.812$ | 2. $\overline{361}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{l}2.015 \\ 1.817\end{array}\right\} 1.916$ |
| -77 | 2.681 | 1.892 | 2. 587 , $\} 2.474$ |  |
| Standard Cloud* | 1.186 | 1. 701 | 2. 047 | 1. 495 |
| Mean and $\sigma$ (pct) | 2. $07 \pm 37.9$ | 1. $90 \pm 13.7$ | 2. $25 \pm 8.07$ | 1. $92 \pm 19.5$ |

*This sample was a point source. To compare with extended sources, cloud sample activities should be decreased $\sim 7$ percent, raising the reported ratlo a corresponding amount.

TABLE B. 14 DIP-COUNTER ACTIVITY AND FISSION CONTENT OF AOC $\mathbf{2}$ COLLECTORS (AREA $=0.244 \mathrm{ft}^{\mathbf{2}}$ ) I. SHOTS FLATHEAD AND NAVAJO

The fallout samples from each of these events were relatively unfractionated allowing activities of all samples from Flathead and Navajo to be converted directly to fissions by a constant factor; $1.01 \times 10^{6}$ and $1.24 \times 10^{6}$ fission/dip counts $/ \mathrm{min}$ at 100 hr , respectively. Details may be found in Table B. 15. The AOC 2 collections (complete ample or aliquot thereof) were made up to a atandard volume of 2 liters for counting.

| Collector Location | Shot Flathead |  | Shot Navajo |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dip Activity at 100 hr | Total Fissions | Dip Activity at 100 hr | Total Fissions |
|  | counts/min |  | counte/min |  |
| Sklff AA | $1.36 \times 10^{1}$ * | $1.37 \times 10^{18}$ | $1.65 \times 10^{6}$ | $2.05 \times 10^{12}$ |
| BB | 2. $21 \times 10^{7}$ | 2. $23 \times 10^{13}$ | 1. $12 \times 10^{6}$ | $1.39 \times 10^{12}$ |
| CC | $4.81 \times 10^{6}$ | 4. $86 \times 10^{12}$ | 6. $28 \times 10^{6}$ | $7.79 \times 10^{11}$ |
| DD | 6. $08 \times 10^{4}$ | $6.14 \times 10^{18}$ | 7. $55 \times 10^{6}$ | $9.36 \times 10^{11}$ |
| EE | $4.81 \times 10^{2}$ | $4.86 \times 10^{0}$ | 4. $99 \times 10^{6}$ | $6.19 \times 10^{11}$ |
| FF | $7.07 \times 10^{1}$ | $7.14 \times 10^{10}$ | $2.11 \times 10^{8}$ | 2. $62 \times 10^{11}$ |
| HH | $1.27 \times 10^{1}$ | $1.28 \times 10^{18}$ | 4. $98 \times 10^{6}$ | $6.18 \times 10^{12}$ |
| KK | $9.10 \times 10^{4} \dagger$ | $9.19 \times 10^{10}$ | $2.87 \times 10^{6}$ | 3. $56 \times 10^{12}$ |
| LL | 7. $95 \times 10^{4}$ | $8.03 \times 10^{10}$ | $6.12 \times 10^{6}$ | $7.59 \times 10^{11}$ |
| MM | $\ddagger$ |  | $2.89 \times 10^{6}$ | 3. $58 \times 10^{12}$ |
| PP | 3. $20 \times 10^{4}$ | $3.23 \times 10^{12}$ | 1. $74 \times 10^{7}$ | $2.16 \times 10^{18}$ |
| RR | 1. $78 \times 10^{8}$ | $1.80 \times 10^{11}$ | $1.54 \times 10^{6}$ | $1.91 \times 10^{12}$ |
| SS | 3. $77 \times 10^{4}$ | $3.81 \times 10^{14}$ | - | - |
| TT | $1.00 \times 10^{8}$ | $1.01 \times 10^{0}$ | 5. $95 \times 10^{5}$ | 7. $38 \times 10^{11}$ |
| UU | $6.03 \times 10^{4}$ | $6.09 \times 10^{14}$ | - | - |
| Raft 1-P-85 | $1.09 \times 10^{4}$ | $1.10 \times 10^{10}$ | 1. $78 \times 10^{5}$ | $2.21 \times 10^{11}$ |
| 2-R-86 | $6.41 \times 10^{6}$ | $6.47 \times 10^{12}$ | $9.23 \times 10^{6}$ | $1.14 \times 10^{18}$ |
| 3-S-87 | $1.33 \times 10^{4}$ | $1.34 \times 10^{12}$ | $9.04 \times 10^{5}$ | $1.12 \times 10^{12}$ |
| How K-82 | $5.22 \times 10^{3}$ | $5.27 \times 10^{0}$ | $5.26 \times 10^{4}$ | 6. $52 \times 10^{10}$ |
| George L-83 | $5.16 \times 10^{1}$ | $5.21 \times 10^{18}$ | 1. $26 \times 10^{7} 8$ | 1. $56 \times 10^{13}$ |
| Whlliam M-84 | $8.74 \times 10^{2}$ | $8.83 \times 10^{0}$ | - | - |
| Charlie M-84 | - | - | 9. $70 \times 10^{8}$ | 1. $20 \times 10^{13}$ |

## TABLE B. 14 CONTINUED

II. SHOTS ZUNI AND TEWA.

Because of fractionation in each of these events, the dip activity observed at 100 hours was first convorted to doghouse activity at 100 hours (a constant relation for any sample as shown in Table B. 15) in order to utilize the fission relations of Table B. 13. Values of the latter relation for locations other than shown were estimated by proximity in location and/or time of arrival.


[^2]TABLE B. 15 DIP PROBE AND DOGHOUSE-COUNTER CORRELATION WITH FISSION CONTENT
The listed dip-counter activities were observed on allquots of OCC samples and are corrected to an equivalent dip count for the total recovered number of fissions (see Table B. 12).

| Sample | Recovered Number of Fissions* | Time of Dip Count | Dip Activity Corrected to $\mathrm{H}+100 \mathrm{hr}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fissions } \\ & \text { Dip counts } / \mathrm{min} \\ & \text { at } 100 \mathrm{hr} \end{aligned}$ | Flasions $\dagger$ Doghouse counts/min at 100 hr | $\frac{\text { Doghouse Act. at } 100 \mathrm{hr}}{\text { Dip Act. at } 100 \mathrm{hr}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | H+hr | counts/min | $\times 10^{8}$ | $\times 10^{8}$ | $\times 10^{-8}$ |
| YAG 40-B-6 ZU | $1.27 \times 10^{18}$ | 1.559.4 | $12.5 \times 10^{8}$ | 1.02 | 1. 703 | 5.88 |
| YAG 39-C-21 FL | $1.27 \times 10^{13}$ | 217.4 | $13.7 \times 10^{6}$ | 0.927 | 1.669 | 5.56 |
|  | $1.27 \times 10^{18}$ | 241.6 | $13.4 \times 10^{8}$ | 0.947 | 1. 669 | 5.68 |
|  | $1.27 \times 10^{18}$ | 388.1 | $13.2 \times 10^{6}$ | 0.962 | 1. 669 | 5.77 |
| YFNB 13-E-54 FL | $9.62 \times 10^{14}$ | 268.2 | $86.2 \times 10^{7}$ | 1.10 | 2.116 | 5. 20 |
|  | $9.52 \times 10^{4}$ | 335.4 | $91.4 \times 10^{1}$ | 1. 04 | 2.116 | 4. 92 |
|  | $9.52 \times 10^{14}$ | 387.8 | $90.4 \times 10^{1}$ | 1.05 | 2. 116 | 4. 96 |
|  | $9.52 \times 10^{14}$ | 722.7 | $82.0 \times 10^{1}$ | 1.16 | 2.116 | 5.48 |
| YFNB 29-G-68 FL | $3.47 \times 10^{18}$ | 263.8 | $37.5 \times 10^{8}$ | 0.925 | 1.733 | 5. 34 |
|  | $3.47 \times 10^{18}$ | 388.0 | $35.2 \times 10^{0}$ | 0.985 | 1.733 | 5.69 |
|  | $3.47 \times 10^{18}$ | 723.2 | $33.1 \times 10^{6}$ | 1.05 | 1.733 | 6. 06 |
| YAG 39-C-21 NA | $\text { 3. } 90 \times 10^{18}$ | 194. 7 | $30.3 \times 10^{4}$ | 1.29 | 2. 336 | 5.52 |
|  | $3.90 \times 10^{18}$ | 239.4 | $30.4 \times 10^{4}$ | 1. 28 | 2. 336 | 5.48 |
| YFNB 13-E-56 NA | 1. $30 \times 10^{14}$ | 194.8 | $11.1 \times 10^{1}$ | 1.17 | 2.013 | 5.81 |
|  | $1.30 \times 10^{14}$ | 239.5 | $11.6 \times 10^{7}$ | 1.12 | 2.013 | 5. 56 |
|  | 1. $30 \times 10^{14}$ | 364.4 | $10.2 \times 10^{7}$ | 1.27 | 2.013 | 6. 31 |
| YAG 39-C-21 TE | $4.54 \times 10^{16}$ | 287.9 |  | 1.02 | 1.922 | 5.31 |
|  | $4.54 \times 10^{15}$ | 340.3 | $44.4 \times 10^{1}$ | 1.02 | 1.922 | 5. 31 |
|  | $4.54 \times 10^{18}$ | 412.2 | $41.9 \times 10^{8}$ | 1.08 | 1. 922 | 5. 62 |
| YFNB 13-E-54 TE | $5.95 \times 10^{14}$ | $340.1$ | $43.9 \times 10^{7}$ | 1. 36 | 2. 302 | 5. 91 |
|  | $\text { 5. } 95 \times 10^{14}$ | 412.0 | $40.5 \times 10^{7}$ | 1.47 | 2. 302 | 6. 39 |
| Mean and $\sigma$ |  |  |  |  |  | 5.608 $\pm 6.69 \mathrm{pct} \ddagger$ |

* From Table B. 12
$\dagger$ From Table B. 13
$\ddagger$ The mean reported In Table B. 14 was originally calculated in error. Since the correction amounts to less than 1 pet it was not made.

TABLE B. 16 ELEMENTAL ANALYSIS OF DEVICE ENVIRONMENT
The sea water analysis is after Sverdrud (Reference 64), except $U$ which was determined from a Bikini lagoon water sample taken just prior to Tewa. The remaining analyses were made at NRDL for Project 2.6a, Operation Castle (Reference 63), except the Ca and Mg reef values which were estimated from Reference 65.


* Not available. $\quad \dagger$ Not detectable.

TABLE b. 19 alR-IONIZATION RATES OFINDUCED PRODUCTS FOR $10^{4}$ FISSIONS/FT ${ }^{2}$, PRODUCT/FISSION RATIO OF UNITY (SC)
Product half life is given directly below the nuclide symbol. Values are in $\mathrm{r} / \mathrm{hr}$ and the number in parentheses indicates the number of izeros between the declmal point and the first slgnificant figure.

|  | Age |  | $\mathrm{Na}^{21}$ | $\mathrm{Cr}^{51}$ | Mn' ${ }^{\text {I }}$ | $\mathrm{Mn}^{56}$ | $\mathrm{Fe}^{\text {bi }}$ | Co ${ }^{61}$ | $\mathrm{Co}^{618}$ | $\mathrm{CO}^{\text {W }}$ | $\mathrm{Cu}^{\text {I }}$ | $88^{112}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | hr | 15h | 27.2d | 304d | 2. 58 h | 45.2d | 70d | 72d | 5.27y | 12.8 h | 2.75 d |
|  | 45. 8 minutes | 0.763 | (8)250 | (12)539 | (11)118 | (8)547 | (10)119 | (12)218 | (11)598 | (12)575 | (9)174 | (10)740 |
|  | 1.12 hours | 1.12 | (8)248 | (12) 539 | (11)118 | (8)496 | (10)119 | (12)218 | (11)598 | (12)575 | (9)171 | (10)737 |
|  | 1.64 | 1. 64 | (9)240 | (12)539 | (11)18 | (8)432 | (10)118 | (12)218 | (11)598 | (12)575 | (9)166 | (10)735 |
|  | 2. 40 | 2. 40 | (8)232 | (12)538 | (11)118 | (8)352 | (10)118 | (12)218 | (11)598 | (12)575 | (9)160 | (10)727 |
|  | 3. 52 | 3. 52 | (8)220 | (12)538 | (11)118 | (8)261 | (10)118 | (12)218 | (11)597 | (12)575 | (9)150 | (10)719 |
|  | 5.16 | 5. 16 | (8)204 | (12)537 | (11)118 | (8)167 | (10)118 | (12)218 | (11)597 | (12)575 | (9)137 | (10)707 |
|  | 7.56 | 7.56 | (8)182 | (12)535 | (11)118 | (9)878 | (10)118 | (12)218 | (11)597 | (12)575 | (9)121 | (10)689 |
|  | 11.1 | 11.1 | (8)155 | (12)533 | (11)118 | (9)341 | (10)118 | (12)218 | (11)596 | (12)575 | (10)997 | (10)666 |
|  | 16.2 | 16.2 | (8)123 | (12)531 | (11)118 | (10)865 | (10)117 | (12)218 | (11)594 | (12)575 | (10)758 | (10)630 |
| స్ట్ర | 23.8 | 23.8 | (9)887 | (12)526 | (11)118 | (10)112 | (10)117 | (12)218 | (11)592 | (12)575 | (10)502 | (10)581 |
|  | 1. 45 days | 34.8 | (9)524 | (12)520 | (11)118 | (12)583 | (10)116 | (12)217 | (11)590 | (12)575 | (10)271 | (10)517 |
|  | 2. 13 | 51.1 | (9)244 | (12)511 | (11)118 | (14)751 | (10)115 | (12)217 | (11)586 | (12)575 | (10)115 | (10)438 |
|  | 3.12 | 74.9 | (10)823 | (12)498 | (11)118 | (16)126 | (10)113 | (12)217 | (11)580 | (12)575 | (11)319 | (10)340 |
|  | 4.57 | 109.7 | (10)166 | (12)480 | (11)117 |  | (10)111 | (12)216 | (11)572 | (12)574 | (12)488 | (10)238 |
|  | 6. 70 | 160.8 | (11)156 | (12)455 | (11)117 |  | (10)107 | (12)215 | (11)561 | (12)574 | (13)309 | (10)138 |
|  | 9.82 | ${ }^{2} 235.7$ | (13)478 | (12)420 | (11)116 |  | (10)102 | (12)213 | (11)545 | (12)573 | (15)554 | (11)630 |
|  | 14.4 | 345.6 | (15)321 | (12)374 | (11)115 |  | (11)951 | (12)210 | (11)521 | (12)572 | (17)138 | (11)198 |
|  | 21.1 | 506.4 |  | (12)315 | (11)113 |  | (11)858 | (12)207 | (11)488 | (12)571 |  | (12)368 |
|  | 30.9 | 741.6 |  | (12)246 | (11)110 |  | (11)738 | (12)202 | (11)4'44 | (12)569 |  | (13)310 |
|  | 45. 3 | 1.087 |  | (12)170 | (11)107 |  | (11)592 | (12)194 | (11)387 | (12)566 |  | (15)837 |
|  | 66.4 | 1.594 |  | (13)994 | (11)102 |  | (11)428 | (12)184 | (11)315 | (12)562 |  | (17)399 |
|  | 97.3 | 2,335 |  | (13)452 | (12)949 |  | (11)267 | (12)170 | (11)235 | (12)556 |  |  |
|  | 143 | 3,432 |  | (13)141 | (12)855 |  | (11)132 | (12)151 | (11)151 | (12)547 |  |  |
|  | 208 | 4,992 |  | (14)272 | (12)738 |  | (12)488 | (12)128 | (12)808 | (12)534 |  |  |
|  | 301 | 7,224 |  | (15)252 | (12)596 |  | (12)117 | (12)101 | (12)330 | (12)516 |  |  |

TABLE B. 19 CONTINUED

|  | Are |  | Sb ${ }^{121}$ | Ta ${ }^{186}$ | $\mathrm{Ta}^{102}$ | $\mathrm{Au}^{\mathrm{IM}}$ | $\mathrm{Pb}^{268}$ | $\mathrm{u}^{291}$ | $\mathrm{U}^{238}$ | $\mathrm{Np}^{230}$ | $\mathrm{Np}^{240}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | hr | 60d | 8.15h | 114 d | 2.7d | 52 h | 6.75 d | 23.5 m | ${ }_{56 \mathrm{~h}}$ | $\frac{\mathrm{Np}}{7.3 \mathrm{~m}}$ |
|  | 45.8 minutes | 0.763 | (10)139 | (10)703 | (11)513 | (10)711 | (10)501 | (10)126 | (9)607 | (10)258 | (9)290 |
|  | 1.12 hours | 1.12 | (10)133 | (10)684 | (11)513 | (10)709 | (10)500 | (10)125 | (日)270 | (10)300 | (9)287 |
|  | 1. 64 | 1.64 | (10)193 | (10)652 | (11)513 | (10)704 | (10)496 | (10)125 | (9)107 | (10)326 | (9)281 |
|  | 2. 40 | 2.40 | (10)139 | (10)614 | (11)513 | (10)699 | (10)490 | (10)125 | (10)280 | (10)338 | (9)270 |
|  | 3. 52 | 3.52 | (10)193 | (10)557 | (11)513 | (10)689 | (10)484 | (10)124 | (11)386 | (10)337 | (9)256 |
|  | 5.16 | 5. 16 | (10)192 | (10)484 | (11)513 | (10)677 | (10)474 | (10)123 | (12)212 | (10)392 | (9)236 |
|  | 7.56 | 7.56 | (10)132 | (10)394 | (11)513 | (10)860 | (10)459 | (10)122 | (14)301 | (10)321 | (9)210 |
|  | 11.1 | 11.1 | (10)132 | (10)292 | (11)512 | (10)636 | (10)437 | (10)120 | (17)577 | (10)308 | (9)176 |
|  | 16.2 | 16.2 | (10)132 | (10)190 | (11)511 | (10)603 | (10)408 | (10)118 |  | (10)289 | (9)137 |
| N | 23.8 | 23.8 | (10)131 | (11)992 | (11)510 | (10)554 | (10)370 | (10)119 |  | (10)263 | (10)944 |
| N | 1. 45 days | 34.8 | (10)131 | (11)388 | (11)509 | (10)494 | (10)319 | (10)108 |  | (10)230 | (10)550 |
| W | 2.13 | 51.1 | (10)130 | (12)973 | (11)507 | (10)415 | (10)256 | (10)101 |  | (10)188 | (10)248 |
|  | 3. 12 | 74.9 | (10)128 | (12)129 | (11)504 | (10)921 | (10)188 | (11)914 |  | (10)140 | (11)767 |
|  | 4.57 | 109.7 | (10)126 | (14)688 | (11)499 | (10)221 | (10)118 | (11)789 |  | (11)909 | (11)139 |
|  | 6. 70 | 160.8 | (10)123 | (16)872 | (11)493 | (10)128 | (11)595 | (11)634 |  | (11)482 | (12)113 |
|  | 9.82 | 235. 7 | (10)119 | (18)149 | (11)484 | (11)576 | (11)219 | (11)458 |  | (11)191 | (14)290 |
|  | 14.4 | 345. 6 | (10)112 |  | (11)470 | (11)178 | (12) 507 | (11)287 |  | (12)491 | (16)126 |
|  | 21.1 | 506.4 | (10)104 |  | (11)452 | (12)318 | (13)594 | (11)143 |  | (13)670 |  |
|  | 30.9 | 741.6 | (11)929 |  | (11)426 | (13)258 | (14)259 | (12)529 |  | (14)364 |  |
|  | 45.3 | 1,087 | (11)786 |  | (11)390 | (15)643 | (16)256 | (12)121 |  | (16)509 |  |
|  | 66.4 | 1,594 | (11)616 |  | (11)943 | (17)277 | (19)304 | (13)137 |  | (19)954 |  |
|  | 97.3 | 2,335 | (11)431 |  | (11)284 | (21)995 |  | (15)578 |  |  |  |
|  | 143 | 3,492 | (11)254 |  | (11)215 |  |  | (17)520 |  |  |  |
|  | 208 | 4. 992 | (11)120 |  | (11)145 |  |  | (20)742 |  | - |  |
|  | 301 | 7. 224 | (12)410 |  | (12)825 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TABLE B. 21 GAMMA-RAY PROPERTIES OF CLOUD AND FALLOUT SAMPLES BASED ON GAMMA-RAY SPECTROMETRY (NRB)
Cloud samples are particulate collections in small pieces of filter paper. All fallout samples are aliquots of OCC sample solutions except those indicated as solid, which are aliquoted undissolved, by weight.

| Sample Designation | Age | Number of Fissions | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average } \\ \text { Energy } \\ \bar{E} \end{gathered}$ | mr/hr at 3 ft , (SC), for $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{f}}$ fissions/ft ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | Total Photons per sec | $\frac{\text { Photons } / \mathrm{sec}}{10^{6} \mathrm{fission}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { By Line } \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{By} \\ & \overline{\mathrm{E}} \end{aligned}$ | Error Using E |  |  |
|  | hr | $\mathrm{N}_{\mathbf{f}}$ | kev |  |  | pct | $\times 10^{6}$ |  |

Shot Cherokee

| Standard cloud sample |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 53 | $8.82 \times 10^{12}$ | 294 | 20.64 | 21.15 | 2. 47 | 11.62 | 1. 317 |
| 2 | 74 |  | 299 | 17.18 | 17.66 | 2. 79 | 9. 65 | 1. 094 |
| 3 | 98 |  | 310 | 11.94 | 12.15 | 1.76 | 6.53 | 0.740 |
| 4 | 166 |  | 337 | 7.88 | 8.36 | 6.09 | 4.04 | 0.458 |
| 5 | 191 |  | 379 | 6.36 | 6.87 | 8.02 | 2. 91 | 0.330 |
| 6 | 215 |  | 391 | 5. 82 | 6.24 | 7.22 | 2.59 | 0.294 |
| 7 | 242 |  | 417 | 5. 00 | 5.40 | 8.00 | 2. 10 | 0.238 |
| 8 | 262.5 |  | 446 | 4. 44 | 4.81 | 8.33 | 1. 75 | 0.198 |
| 9 | 335 |  | 490 | 3.46 | 3.81 | 10.12 | 1. 26 | 0.143 |
| 10 | 405.5 |  | 509 | 2.85 | 3.10 | 8.77 | 0.99 | 0.112 |
| 11 | 597.5 | 1 | 626 | 1. 82 | 1. 98 | 8. 79 | 0. 52 | 0.0 .59 |

Shot Zuni

| Standand cloud sample |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 53 | $9.84 \times 10^{12}$ | 477 | 62.47 | 67.36 | 7.83 | 22. 98 | 2. 335 |
| 2 | 69 |  | 413 | 49.92 | 52.89 | 5.95 | 20.82 | 2.116 |
| 3 | 93 |  | 422 | 37.90 | 39. 64 | 4.59 | 15.28 | 1. 553 |
| 4 | 117 |  | 433 | 28.45 | 30.12 | 5. 87 | 11.31 | 1.149 |
| 5 | 192 |  | 437 | 16. 71 | 17.78 | 6. 40 | 6.62 | 0.673 |
| 6 | 242 |  | 485 | 13. 05 | 14.03 | 7.51 | 4.71 | 0.479 |
| 7 | 454 |  | 589 | 6.28 | 6.84 | 8.92 | 1. 90 | 0.193 |
| 8 | 790 |  | 624 | 3. 29 | 3.52 | 6. 99 | 0.93 | 0.095 |
| 9 | 1,295 | 1 | 559 | 1. 56 | 1.65 | 6. 45 | 0.48 | 0.049 |
| How F-61 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 240 | 1. $00 \times 10^{13}$ | 210 | 1. 72 | 1. 73 | 0.58 | 1. 34 | 0.134 |
| 2 | 460 | 1 | 247 | 0.64 | 0.65 | 1. 56 | 0.43 | 0.043 |
| YAG 40-B-19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 266 | 3. $71 \times 10^{14}$ | 419 | 181.18 | 193. 33 | 6. 71 | 74. 98 | 0.202 |
| 3 | 362 | (solid) | 480 | 110.18 | 119.14 | 8.13 | 40.4 | 0.109 |
| 4 | 459 | I | 508 | 105.62 | 113.95 | 7.89 | 36.29 | 0.098 |
| 5 | 790 |  | 606 | 51.07 | 54. 87 | 7.44 | 14.83 | 0.040 |
| 6 | 983 |  | 331 | 53.46 | 56.63 | 5.93 | 12.87 | 0.035 |
| $6{ }^{1}$ | 987 |  | 706 | 49. 24 | 51.89 | 5. 38 | 12. 21 | 0.033 |
| 7 | 1. 298 |  | 710 | 38. 09 | 40. 91 | 7.40 | 9.58 | 0.026 |
| 8 | 1,728.5 |  | 706 | 28. 41 | 30. 05 | 5. 77 | 7.07 | 0.019 |
| 9 | 2,568. 5 |  | 711 | 18.85 | 19. 60 | 3. 98 | 4. 60 | 0.012 |
| 10 | 2,810 | $\dagger$ | 731 | 14. 50 | 16. 02 | 10.48 | 3. 65 | 0.010 |
| How F-67 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 359 | 7. $29 \times 10^{13}$ | 318 | 10. 66 | 11. 38 | 6. 75 | 5.82 | 0.080 |
| 2 | 460.5 | (solid) | 385 | 8.31 | 8. 73 | 5.05 | 3. 69 | 0.051 |
| 3 | 981 | 1 | 610 | 4.38 | 4.53 | 3.42 | 1.20 | 0.016 |
| 4 | 1,606 | 1 | 646 | 3. 54 | 3. 64 | 2. 82 | 0.93 | 0.013 |
| YAG 40-B-6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 383 | $5.08 \times 10^{13}$ | 444.76 | 12.92 | 13. 79 | 6. 73 | 5. 05 | 0.10 |
| 2 | 458 |  | 457.16 | 9. 43 | 10.07 | 6. 79 | 3. 58 | 0.070 |
| 3 | 982 |  | 656.58 | 4. 49 | 4. 76 | 6.01 | 1. 2 | 0.024 |
| 4 | 1,605 | 1 | 695.12 | 3. 47 | 3. 60 | 3.75 | 0.86 | 0.017 |

TABLE B. 21 CONTINUED

| Sample Designation | Age | Number of Fissions | Average Energy $E$ | $\mathrm{mr} / \mathrm{hr}$ at 3 ft . (SC), for $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{f}}$ fissions $/ \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |  |  | Total <br> Photons per sec | $\frac{\text { Photons } / \mathrm{sec}}{10^{6} \mathrm{f} \text { issions }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { By Line } \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \frac{B y}{\bar{E}} \end{aligned}$ | Error Using E |  |  |
|  | hr | $\mathrm{N}_{\text {f }}$ | kev |  |  | pet | $\times 10^{6}$ |  |

Shot Flathead
Standard cloud

| sample |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 96.5 | 2. $79 \times 10^{13}$ | 335.88 | 61.12 | 62.88 | 2. 88 | 30. 49 | 1.093 |
| 3 | 195 | . | 402.04 | 27. 94 | 29.18 | 4. 44 | 11.82 | 0.424 |
| 4 | 262 |  | 489.13 | 18.94 | 20.36 | 7. 50 | 6. 44 | 0. 231 |
| 5 | 334 |  | 535.96 | 16. 31 | 17.73 | 8. 39 | 5. 39 | 0. 193 |
| 6 | 435 |  | 573.61 | 11.06 | 12.01 | 8.59 | 3. 43 | 0.123 |
| 7 | 718 |  | 661.49 | 6.08 | 6.56 | 7.89 | 1.64 | 0.059 |
| 8 | 1.031 |  | 708.63 | 3.16 | 3. 42 | 8. 23 | 0.80 | 0.029 |
| 9 | 1,558 | 1 | 678.61 | 2. 08 | 2.21 | 6. 25 | 0.54 | 0.019 |
| YAG 39-C-36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 119.5 | 1. $06 \times 10^{13}$ | 306.28 | 14.77 | 15. 20 | 2. 91 | 8. 08 | 0.762 |
| 2 | 598 | (solid) | 532.08 | 1.99 | 2.17 | 9.05 | 0.65 | 0.061 |
| YFNB 13-E-56 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 337 | 4. $44 \times 10^{13}$ | 515. 74 | 13.38 | 14.52 | 8.52 | 4. 58 | 0.103 |
| 2 | 722 | (solid) | 659.93 | 5. 96 | 6.38 | 7.05 | 1.60 | 0.036 |
| 3 | 1,032 | 1 | 681.15 | 3. 71 | 3.95 | 6.47 | 0.96 | 0.022 |
| 4 | 1,538 | 1 | 699.09 | 1. 77 | 1.85 | 4.52 | 0.44 | 0.010 |
| YFNB 13-E-54 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 357 | $3.81 \times 10^{13}$ | 389.11 | 12. 41 | 13.52 | 8. 94 | 5.66 | 0.149 |
| 2 | 720 |  | 549.26 | 5.08 | 5.51 | 8. 46 | 1.64 | 0.043 |
| 3 | 1,034. 5 |  | 672.88 | 3. 55 | 3. 73 | 5.07 | 0.92 | 0.024 |
| 4 | 1,538.5 | 1 | 662.90 | 1. 94 | 2. 00 | 3.09 | 0.50 | 0.013 |

Shot Navajo
Standard cloud

| mple |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 51.5 | $3.46 \times 10^{12}$ | 567.68 | 20. 50 | 22. 97 | 12. 05 | 6. 62 | 1.913 |
| 2 | 69 |  | 483.11 | 13. 32 | 14. 65 | 9. 98 | 4.94 | 1. 428 |
| 3 | 141 |  | 396. 37 | 5. 00 | 5. 31 | 6.70 | 2.18 | 0.630 |
| 4 | 191 |  | 482.27 | 4. 84 | 5. 18 | 7.02 | 1. 75 | 0.506 |
| 5 | 315 |  | 604.29 | 2. 13 | 2. 32 | 8.92 | 0.63 | 0. 182 |
| 6 | 645.5 | 1 | 585.68 | 0. 72 | 0. 78 | 8. 33 | 0.22 | 0. 064 |
| Y FNB 13-E-54 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 197 | 2. $40 \times 10^{18}$ | 496.15 | 9. 34 | 9. 96 | 6.63 | 3.27 | 0.136 |
| 3 | 311 | (solid) | 658. 79 | 8. 15 | 8. 74 | 7.24 | 2.19 | 0.091 |
| 4 | 360 |  | 710.86 | 8.36 | 8.92 | 6.70 | 2. 09 | 0.087 |
| 5 | 551 | 1 | 818.31 | 5. 69 | 6.01 | 5. 62 | 1. 24 | 0.052 |
| YAG 39-C-36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 216 | - | 436.11 | 1.92 | 2.05 | 6. 77 | 0.76 | - |
| 2 | 260 | - | 549.03 | 0.99 | 1.04 | 5. 05 | 0. 31 | - |
| Y FNB 13-E-66 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 237.5 | 6. $50 \times 10^{12}$ | 518.87 | 4. 40 | 4. 75 | 7.95 | 1.49 | 0.229 |
| 2 | 359 |  | 676.86 | 2. 98 | 3.21 | 7.72 | 0.78 | 0.120 |
| 3 | 551 | 1 | 688.41 | 1. 58 | 1. 70 | 7.59 | 0.41 | 0.063 |
| YAG 39-C-21 | 309.5 | $3.90 \times 10^{12}$ | 604.65 | 1. 96 | 2. 10 | 7.14 | 0.57 | 0.146 |

TABLE B. 21 CONTINUED

| Sample Designation | Age | Number of Fissions | $\begin{gathered} \text { Average } \\ \text { Energy } \\ \bar{E} \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{mr} / \mathrm{hr}$ at 3 ft , (SC), for $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{f}}$ fissions $/ \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |  |  | Total Photons per sec | $\frac{\text { Photons } / \mathrm{sec}}{10^{6} \text { Inssion }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { By Line } \\ E \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \overline{B y} \\ \underline{E} \end{gathered}$ | Error Using $\bar{E}$ |  |  |
|  | hr | $\mathbf{N}_{\mathrm{f}}$ | kev |  |  | pet | $\times 10^{6}$ | - |
| Shot Tewa |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Standard cloud sample |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 71.5 | 4. $71 \times 10^{13}$ | 401.33 | 127.1 | 131.64 | 3. 57 | 53.42 | 1. 134 |
| 2 | 93.5 |  | 378.45 | 94. 25 | 97.60 | 3.55 | 42. 00 | 0.892 |
| 3 | 117.0 |  | 377. 50 | 75. 64 | 79. 29 | 4.83 | 34. 21 | 0.726 |
| 4 | 165.0 |  | 373.02 | 62.27 | 65. 71 | 5.52 | 28.69 | 0.609 |
| 5 | -240.5 |  | 460.73 | 44. 21 | 47.38 | 7.17 | 16. 75 | 0.356 |
| 6 | 333.5 |  | 489.33 | 24.88 | 27.01 | 8.56 | 8.99 | 0.191 |
| 7 | 429.0 |  | 548.48 | 18.47 | 20.16 | 9.15 | 6.00 | 0.127 |
| 8 | 578.5 |  | 629.64 | 12. 70 | 13.83 | 8.90 | 3. 62 | 0.077 |
| 9 | 765.5 |  | 664.50 | 10.40 | 11.18 | 7.50 | 2. 78 | 0.059 |
| 10 | 1,269.0 |  | 646. 80 | 4. 94 | 5.21 | 5.47 | 1. 33 | 0.028 |
| 11 | 1,511.0 | $t$ | 656.33 | 4.13 | 4.33 | 4. 84 | 1.09 | 0.023 |
| YAG 39-C-36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 173.0 | 1. $77 \times 10^{13}$ | 345. 84 | 16. 78 | 17.41 | 3. 75 | 8.2 | 0.463 |
| 2 | 237.0 | (solid) | 355. 39 | 12.27 | 12. 81 | 4. 40 | 5. 87 | 0. 332 |
| 3 | 312.0 |  | 397.60 | 7.99 | 8.42 | 5. 38 | 3. 45 | 0. 195 |
| 4 | 407.0 |  | 416.92 | 5.69 | 6.04 | 6.15 | 2. 36 | 0.133 |
| 5 | 576.0 | 1 | 571.65 | 3.95 | 4.22 | 6. 84 | 1. 21 | 0. 068 |
| YFNB 13-E-56 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 238 | 3. $40 \times 10^{13}$ | 270.06 | 11.84 | 14. 24 | 3. 38 | 7. 38 | 0.217 |
| 2 | 335 | (solid) | 295.56 | 7.16 | 7.46 | 4.19 | 4. 11 | 0.121 |
| 3 | 413 |  | 327. 78 | 4.85 | 5.07 | 4.54 | 2. 52 | 0.074 |
| 4 | 578 |  | 434.03 | 3.82 | 4.00 | 4. 71 | 1. 50 | 0.044 |
| 5 | 1,270 |  | 542.00 | 1.64 | 1. 67 | 1.83 | 0.50 | 0.015 |
| 6 | 1,512 | $\dagger$ | 563.09 | 1.16 | 1.17 | 0.86 | 0. 34 | 0.010 |
| Y3-T-1C-D | 243 | - | 360.31 | 1.01 | 1.06 | 4.95 | 0.48 | - |
| YFNB 13-E-54 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 263 | 2. $38 \times 10^{13}$ | 306. 39 | 6. 87 | 7.21 | 4.95 | 3. 83 | 0. 161 |
| 2 | 316 |  | 330.48 | 4. 61 | 4.85 | 5. 21 | 2. 39 | 0.100 |
| 3 | 408.5 |  | 373.45 | 3. 49 | 3. 71 | 6. 30 | 1. 62 | 0. 068 |
| 4 | 624.0 | $\dagger$ | 484.14 | 1. 76 | 1. 90 | 7. 95 | 0.64 | 0.027 |
| YAG 39-C-21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 287 | $1.82 \times 10^{14}$ | 427.26 | 68. 72 | 73. 34 | 6. 72 | 27. 98 | 0. 154 |
| 3 | 411 |  | 465.32 | 40. 67 | 43. 65 | 7. 33 | 15. 28 | 0.084 |
| 4 | 626 |  | 564.53 | 23. 70 | 25. 53 | 7.72 | 7.40 | 0.041 |
| 5 | 767 |  | 605.21 | 17.33 | 18. 66 | 7.67 | 5.07 | 0.028 |
| 6 | 1,271 |  | 672.61 | 9. 75 | 10.18 | 4. 21 | 2.51 | 0.014 |
| 7 | 1,513 | 1 | 669.95 | 7.83 | 8.08 | 3. 19 | 2. 00 | 0.011 |

TABLE B. 22 COMPUTED DOGHOUSE DECAY RATES OF FALLOUT AND CLOUD SAMPLES
Activities are computed in unlte of (counts/sec) $/ 10^{6}$ fissions for a point source in a covered OCC tray on the floor of the counter. The product/fission ratio for the induced product activities (IP) appears directly below the nuclide symbol. Induced activities are summed and added to the fission product activity (FP) for the total computed count rate. Numbers in parentheses denote the number of zeros between the decimal point and the first significant figure, e. g. , (3) $291=0.000291$.

| Age | $\mathrm{Na}^{24}$ | Cr ${ }^{11}$ | $\mathrm{Mn}^{\mathrm{H}}$ | Mn ${ }^{\text {bi }}$ | $\mathrm{Fe}^{69}$ | $\mathrm{Co}^{51}$ | $\mathrm{Co}^{80}$ | Co ${ }^{\infty}$ | $\mathrm{Cu}^{+4}$ | Sb ${ }^{122}$ | $\mathrm{Sb}^{124}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hr | 0.0109 | 0.00173 | 0.011 | 0.011* | $\overline{0.00041}$ | $\widehat{0.0031}$ | $\overline{0.0036}$ | 0.00264 | $\overline{0.0090}$ | $0.0252 \dagger$ | $\overline{0.0084}$ |


|  | 45.8 min | 0. 763 | (6)119 | (10)419 | (9)175 | (6)544 | (10)401 | (10)921 | (9)319 | (10)il1 | (7)356 | (7)335 | (8) 123 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1.12 hrs | 1.12 | (6)117 | (10)419 | (9)175 | (6)494 | (10)401 | (10)921 | (9)319 | (10)111 | (7)347 | (7)335 | (8)123 |
|  | 1.64 hrs | 1. 64 | (6)114 | (10)419 | (9)175 | (6)430 | (10)401 | (10)920 | (9)319 | (10)111 | (7)338 | (7)333 | (8)123 |
|  | 2. 40 hrs | 2. 40 | (6)110 | (10)419 | (9)175 | (6)351 | (10)400 | (10)920 | (9)319 | (10)111 | (7)326 | (7)330 | (8)123 |
|  | 3. 52 hrs | 3. 52 | (6)105 | (10)419 | (9)175 | (6)260 | (10)400 | (10)920 | - (9)318 | (10)111 | (7)306 | (7)328 | (8)123 |
|  | 5. 16 hrs | 5.16 | (7)970 | (10)417 | (9)175 | (6) 166 | (10)400 | (10)920 | (9)318 | (10)111 | (7)280 | (7)320 | (8)123 |
|  | 7. 56 hrs | 7.56 | (7)868 | (10)415 | (9)175 | (7)874 | (10)399 | (10)920 | (9)318 | (10)111 | (7)246 | (7)312 | (8)122 |
| N | 11.1 hrs | 11.1 | (7)738 | (10)415 | (9)175 | (7)340 | (10)398 | (10)919 | (9)318 | (10)111 | (7)203 | (7)302 | (8)122 |
|  | 16.2 hrs | 16. 2 | (7)583 | (10)412 | (9)175 | (8)861 | (10)397 | (10)919 | (9)317 | (10)111 | (7)154 | (7)285 | (8)122 |
|  | 23.8 hrs | 23.8 | (7)409 | (10)408 | (9)175 | (8)112 | (10)395 | (10)919 | (9)316 | (10)111 | (7)103 | (7)265 | (8)121 |
|  | 1.45 days | 34.8 | (7)249 | (10)405 | (9)175 | (10) 581 | (10)392 | (10)917 | (9)314 | (10)111 | (8)564 | (7)235 | (8)121 |
|  | 2.13 day 8 | 51.1 | (7)117 | (10)398 | (9)175 | (12)748 | (10)388 | (10)916 | (9)312 | (10)111 | (8)234 | (7)199 | (8)120 |
|  | 3. 12 day | 74.9 | (8)391 | (10)388 | (9)174 |  | (10)382 | (10)913 | (9)309 | (10)111 | (9)651 | (7)154 | (8)118 |
|  | 4. 57 days | 109. 7 | (9)787 | (10)374 | (9)174 |  | (10)374 | (10)910 | (9)305 | (10)111 | (10)936 | (7)107 | (8)116 |
|  | 6. 70 days | 160.8 | (10)743 | (10)353 | (9) 173 |  | (10)362 | (10)905 | (9)299 | (10)110 | (11)629 | (8)625 | (8)113 |
|  | 9.82 days | 235. 7 | (11)228 | (10)327 | (9) 172 |  | (10)345 | (10)898 | (9)290 | (10)110 | (12)112 | (8)285 | (8)109 |
|  | 14.4 days | 345.6 |  | (10)291 | (9)169 |  | (10)321 | (10)887 | (9)278 | (10)110 |  | (9)897 | (8)104 |
|  | 21.1 days | 506.4 |  | (10)246 | (9)167 |  | (10)290 | (10)872 | (9)260 | (10)110 |  | (9)166 | (9)958 |
|  | 30.9 days | 741.6 |  | (10)190 | (9)164 |  | (10)250 | (10)851 | (9)237 | (10)109 |  | (10)141 | (9)857 |
|  | 45.3 days | 1,087 |  | (10)132 | (9)158 |  | (10)200 | (10)820 | (9)206 | (10)109 |  | (12)381 | (9)727 |
|  | 66.4 days | 1,594 |  | (11)772 | (9)151 |  | (10)145 | (10)777 | (9)168 | (10)108 |  |  | (9)569 |
|  | 97. 3 days | 2,335 |  | (11)351 | (9) 141 |  | (11)902 | (10)717 | (9)125 | (10)107 |  |  | (9)398 |
|  | 143 days | 3,432 |  | (11)110 | (9)126 |  | (11)447 | (10)638 | (10)803 | (10)105 |  |  | (9)235 |
|  | 208 days | 4,992 |  | (12)211 | (9)109 |  | (11)165 | (10)540 | (10)432 | (10)102 |  |  | (9)111 |
|  | 301 days | 7,224 |  | (13)195 | (10)882 |  | (12)396 | (10)425 | (10)176 | (11)990 |  |  | (10)379 |

Table b. 22 CONTINuEd

| Age |  |  |  | $\mathrm{Pb}^{203}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | hr | $\overline{0.06911}$ | $\overline{0.0326}$ | $\overline{0.050}$ |
| Shot Zuni, Average Lagoon-drea Compositioh |  |  |  |  |
| 45.8 min | 0.763 | (6)871 | (8)355 | (6)170 |
| 1.12 hrs | 1.12 | (6)850 | (8)355 | (6)170 |
| 1. 64 hrs | 1.64 | (6)808 | (8)355 | (6)168 |
| 2. 40 hrs | 2. 40 | (6)760 | (8)355 | (6) 167 |
| 3. 52 hrs | 3. 52 | (6)690 | (8)355 | (6) 164 |
| 5.16 hrs | 5. 16 | (6)599 | (8)355 | (6)161 |
| 7.56 hrs | 7.56 | (6)489 | (8)355 | (6) 156 |
| 11.1 hrs | 11.1 | (6)302 | (8)355 | (6) 148 |
| 16.2 hrs | 16.2 | (6)235 | (8)355 | (6) 139 |
| 23.8 hrs | 23.8 | (6) 123 | (8)352 | (6)126 |
| 1.45 days | 34. 8 | (7) 481 | (8)352 | (6)148 |
| 2.13 days | 51.1 | (7)121 | (8)352 | (7)870 |
| 3.12 days | 74.9 | (8)160 | (8)349 | (7)635 |
| 4.57 days | 109.7 | (10)829 | (8)346 | (2) 400 |
| 6. 70 days | 160.8 | (11)108 | (8)342 | (7)202 |
| 9. 82 days | 235. 7 |  | (8)336 | (8)745 |
| 14.4 days | 345.6 |  | (8)326 | (8) 172 |
| 21.1 days | 506. 4 |  | (8)313 | (9)202 |
| 30.9 days | 741.6 |  | (8)295 | (11)889 |
| 45.3 day | 1,087 |  | (8)270 | (13)850 |
| 66.4 days | 1,594 |  | (8)238 |  |
| 97.3 days | 2,335 |  | (8)197 |  |
| 143 days | 3,432 |  | (8)149 |  |
| 208 days | 4,992 |  | (8)100 |  |
| 301 days | 7,224 |  | (9)570 |  |

Sum of EP
(4)6034
(4)3946
(4) $2+29$
(4)1463
(5)8828
(5)5243
(5) 32.48
(5) 2210
(5)1519
(6)9903
(6)5954
(6) 3336
(6)1879
(6)1133
(7)6834
(7) 4159
(7)2598
(7)1749
(7)1249
(8)9022
(8)6424
(8) 4413
(8)2726
(8)1401
(9)5868

TABLE B. 22 CONTINUED


|  | 45.8 min | 0.763 | (6)119 | (10)419 | (9)175 | (6)544 | (10)401 | (10)921 | (9)319 | (10)111 | (7)356 | (6)291 | (7)107 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1.12 hrs | 1.12 | (6)117 | (10)419 | (9)175 | (6)494 | (10)401 | (10)921 | (9)319 | (10)111 | (7)347 | (6)291 | (7)107 |
|  | 1.64 hrs | 1.64 | (6)114 | (10)419 | (9)175 | (6)430 | (10)401 | (10)920 | (9)319 | (10)111 | (7)338 | (6)289 | (7)107 |
|  | 2. 40 hrs | 2. 40 | (6)110 | (10)419 | (9)175 | (6)351 | (10)400 | (10)920 | (9)319 | (10)111 | (7)326 | (6)287 | (7)107 |
|  | 3. 52 hrs | 3. 52 | (6)105 | (10)419 | (9)175 | (6)260 | (10)400 | (10)920 | (9)318 | (10)111 | (7)306 | (6)285 | (7)107 |
|  | 5. 16 hrs | 5.16 | (7)970 | (10)417 | (9)175 | (6)166 | (10)400 | (10)920 | (9)318 | (10)111 | (7)280 | (6)278 | (7) 107 |
|  | 7. 56 hrs | 7.56 | (7)868 | (10)415 | (9)175 | (7)874 | (10)399 | (10)920 | (9)318 | (10)111 | (7)246 | (6)272 | (7)106 |
|  | 11.1 hrs | 11.1 | (7)738 | (10)415 | (9)175 | (7)340 | (10)398 | (10)919 | (9)318 | (10)111 | (7)203 | (6)263 | (7)106 |
|  | 16.2 hrs | 16.2 | (7)583 | (10)412 | (9)175 | (8)861 | (10)397 | (10)919 | (9)317 | (10)111 | (7)154 | (6)247 | (7)106 |
| N | 23.8 hrs | 23.8 | (7)409 | (10)408 | (9)175 | (8)112 | (10)395 | (10)919 | (9)316 | (10)111 | (7)103 | (6)230 | (7)105 |
|  | 1.45 day 8 | 34.8 | (7)249 | (10)405 | (9)175 | (10)581 | (10)392 | (10)917 | (9)314 | (10)111 | (8)564 | (6)204 | (7) 105 |
|  | 2.13 days | 51.1 | (7)117 | (10)398 | (9)175 | (12)748 | (10)388 | (10)916 | (9)312 | (10)111 | (8)234 | (6)173 | (7) 104 |
|  | 3. 12 days | 74.9 | (8)391 | (10)388 | (9)174 |  | (10)382 | (10)913 | (9)309 | (10)111 | (9)651 | (6)134 | (7)103 |
|  | 4.57 days | 109. 7 | (9)787 | (10)374 | (9)174 |  | (10)374 | (10)910 | (9)305 | (10)111 | (10)936 | (7)931 | (7)101 |
|  | 6. 70 days | 160.8 | (10)743 | (10)363 | (9)173 |  | (10)362 | (10)905 | (9)299 | (10)110 | (11)629 | (7)543 | (8)985 |
|  | 9.82 days | 235.7 | (11)228 | (10)327 | (9)172 |  | (10)345 | (10)898 | (9)290 | (10)110 | (12)112 | (7)247 | (8)949 |
|  | 14.4 days | 345.6 |  | (10)291 | (9)169 |  | (10)321 | (10)887 | (9)278 | (10)110 |  | (8)780 | (8)905 |
|  | 21.1 days | 506.4 |  | (10)246 | (9) 167 |  | (10)290 | (10)872 | (9)260 | (10)110 |  | (8)144 | (8)832 |
|  | 30.9 days | 741.6 |  | (10)190 | (9)164 |  | (10)250 | (10)851 | (9)237 | (10)109 |  | (9)122 | (8)745 |
|  | 45.3 days | 1,087 |  | (10)132 | (9)158 |  | (10)200 | (10)820 | (9)206 | (10)109 |  | (11)331 | (8)631 |
|  | 66. 4 days | 1,594 |  | (11)772 | (9) 151 |  | (10)145 | (10)777 | (9)168 | (10)108 |  | (13)162 | (B)494 |
|  | 97.3 days | 2,335 |  | (11)351 | (9)141 |  | (11)902 | (10)717 | (9)125 | (10)107 |  |  | (8)346 |
|  | 143 days | 3,432 |  | (11)110 | (9)126 |  | (11)447 | (10)638 | (10)803 | (10)105 |  |  | (8)204 |
|  | 208 days | 4,992 |  | (12)211 | (9)109 |  | (11)165 | (10)540 | (10)432 | (10)102 |  |  | (9)964 |
|  | 301 days | 7,224 |  | (13)195 | (10)882 |  | (12)396 | (10)425 | (10)176 | (11)990 |  |  | (9)329 |

TABLE H. 22 CONTINUED
$\cdots \frac{\text { Age }}{\mathrm{hr}} \frac{\mathrm{Ta}^{180}}{0.0411} \quad \frac{\mathrm{Ta}^{182}}{0.0194} \quad \frac{\mathrm{~Pb}^{203}}{0.050}$

Shot Zuni, Cloud Composition:

| $\stackrel{N}{\stackrel{A}{\omega}}$ | 15.6 11111 | 0.763 | (6) 518 | (6)211 | (6)170 | (3) 1658 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1.12 lirs | 1.12 | (6)506 | (8)211 | (6)170 | (3) 1utio |
|  | 1.6.4 his | 1. 64 | (6) 481 | (b) 211 | (6)168 | (4)6723 |
|  | 2. 411 lu S | 2. 10 | (6) 452 | (s)211 | (6)167 | (-1) 4223 |
|  | 3. 52 hirs | 3. 5? | (6) 411 | (s) 211 | (6)164 | (4) 2706 |
|  | 5.16 hro | 5.16 | (6) 356 | (8)211 | (6)161 | (4)1788 |
|  | 7. 50 him | 7. 56 | (6)2!1 | (8) 211 | (6) 156 | (4)12:1 |
|  | 11.1 hios | 11.1 | (6) 215 | $(8) \geq 11$ | (6) 148 | (5) 8.15 .1 |
|  | 16.: his | 16. 2 | (6) 1.10 | (6)211 | (6)133 | (5)5677 |
|  | 23.6 his | 23.8 | (7)73: | (6) 210 | (0)126 | (5)3050 |
|  | 1.45 days | 34. 6 | (7)286 | (8)210 | (6)108 | (5)2302 |
|  | 2. 13 days | 51.1 | (8)713 | (8) 210 | (7) 870 | (5)1-128 |
|  | 3.12 days | 7.9 | (5) 9.15 | (6) 2008 | (7)635 | (i) 6938 |
|  | 1.67 days | 105. 7 | (10)-193 | (8)206 | (7) 100 | (6) 5891 |
|  | 6. 70 days | 160.8 | (12)6.11 | (8) 20.1 | (7) 202 | (6)3971 |
|  | d. 80 days | 435. 7 |  | (8)200 | (8)7.15 | (i) 2667 |
|  | 14.4 days | 345. 6 |  | (8)194 | (6)172 | (i) 1728 |
|  | 21.1 days | 506.4 |  | (8)186 | (9)202 | (6) 1073 |
|  | 30.9 days | 7.11 .6 |  | (8)175 | (1) 1 (840 | (7) 6306 |
|  | 15.3 days | 1,087 |  | (8)161 | (13)850 | (7) $3-1 \times 1$ |
|  | 66. 4 day | 1,594 |  | (8) 141 |  | (7)1734 |
|  | 47, 3 days | 2,335 |  | (8)117 |  | (8)9067 |
|  | 143 days | 3.432 |  | (3)88! |  | (8) 155 |
|  | 20a days | 4,932 |  | (1) 596 |  | (8)2502 |
|  | 301 days | 7,224 |  | (4) 3.10 |  | (8)1114 |

TABLE B. 22 CONTINUED

| Age |  | $\mathrm{Na}^{24}$ | $\mathrm{Cr}^{51}$ | $\mathrm{Nm}^{56}$ | $\mathrm{Nm}^{68}$ | $\mathrm{Fe}^{69}$ | $\mathrm{Co}^{57}$ | $\mathrm{Co}^{56}$ | $\mathrm{Co}^{60}$ | $\mathrm{Cu}^{66}$ | Ta ${ }^{180}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | hr | 0.0314 | 0.0120 | 0.10 | 0.094 | $\overline{0.0033}$ | 0.00224 | 0.00193 | $\overline{0.0087}$ | $\overline{0.0278}$ | 0.0389 |
| Shot Navajo, Average |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45.8 min | 0.763 | (6)342 | (9)290 | (8)159 | (5)465 | (9)322 | (10)665 | (9)171 | (10)364 | (6)110 | (6)479 |
| 1.12 hrs | 1.12 | (6)336 | (9)290 | (8)159 | (5)422 | (9)322 | (10)665 | (9)171 | (10)364 | (6)107 | (6)467 |
| 1. 64 hrs | 1. 64 | (6)330 | (9)290 | (8)159 | (5)368 | (9)322 | (10)665 | (9)171 | (10)364 | (6)104 | (6)445 |
| 2. 40 hrs | 2. 40 | (6)317 | (9)290 | (8)159 | (5)300 | (9)322 | (10)665 | (9)171 | (10)364 | (6)101 | (6)418 |
| 3. 52 hrs | 3. 52 | (6)301 | (9)290 | (8)159 | (5)222 | (9)322 | (10)665 | (9)171 | (10)364 | (7)945 | (6)380 |
| 5. 16 hrs | 5. 16 | (6)279 | (9)289 | (8)159 | (5)142 | (9)322 | (10)665 | (9)171 | (10)364 | (7)865 | (6)329 |
| 7.56 hrs | 7.56 | (6)250 | (9)288 | (8)159 | (6)747 | (9)321 | (10)665 | (9)170 | (10)364 | (7)759 | (6)269 |
| 11.1 hrs | 11.1 | (6)213 | (9)288 | (8)159 | (6)290 | (9)320 | (10)664 | (9)170 | (10)364 | (7)628 | (6)199 |
| 16.2 hrs | 16.2 | (6)168 | (9)286 | (8)159 | (7)736 | (9)319 | (10)664 | (9)170 | (10)364 | (7)475 | (6)129 |
| 23.8 hrs | 23. 8 | (6)118 | (9)283 | (8)159 | (8)959 | (9)318 | (10)664 | (9)169 | (10)364 | (7)317 | (7)676 |
| 1.45 days | 34.8 | (7)716 | (9)281 | (8)159 | (9)496 | (9)316 | (10)663 | (9)168 | (10)364 | (7)174 | (7)264 |
| 2. 13 days | 51.1 | (7)336 | (9)276 | (8)159 | (11)639 | (9)313 | (10)662 | (9)167 | (10)364 | (8)723 | (8)665 |
| 3. 12 days | 74.9 | (7)113 | (9)269 | (8)158 |  | (9)308 | (10)660 | (9)166 | (10)364 | (8)201 | (9)878 |
| 4.57 days | 109.7 | (8)227 | (9)259 | (8)158 |  | (9)301 | (10)658 | (9)163 | (10)364 | (9)289 | (10)456 |
| 6. 70 days | 160.8 | (9)214 | (9)245 | (8)157 |  | (9)291 | (10)654 | (9)160 | (10)363 | (10)194 | (12)593 |
| 9.82 days | 235. 7 | (11)656 | (9)227 | (8)156 |  | (9)278 | (10)649 | (9)156 | (10)363 | (12)348 |  |
| 14.4 days | 345.6 |  | (9)202 | (8)154 |  | (9)259 | (10)641 | (9)149 | (10)362 |  |  |
| 21.1 days | 506.4 |  | (9)170 | (8)152 |  | (9)233 | (10)630 | (9)140 | (10)361 |  |  |
| 30.9 days | 741.6 |  | (9)132 | (8)149 |  | (9)201 | (10)615 | (9)127 | (10)360 |  |  |
| 45.3 days | 1,087 |  | (10)918 | (8)144 |  | (9)161 | (10)592 | (9)111 | (10)358 |  |  |
| 66.4 days | 1,594 |  | (10)535 | (8)137 |  | (9)116 | (10)561 | (10)901 | (10)355 |  |  |
| 97.3 days | 2,335 |  | (10)244 | (8)128 |  | (10)726 | (10)518 | (10)670 | (10)351 |  |  |
| 143 days | 3,432 |  | (11)760 | (8)115 |  | (10)360 | (10)461 | (10)430 | (10)345 |  |  |
| 208 days | 4,992 |  | (11)146 | (9)992 |  | (10)133 | (10)390 | (10)232 | (10)338 |  |  |
| 301 days | 7,224 |  | (12)136 | (9)802 |  | (11)319 | (10)307 | (11)942 | (10)326 |  |  |

TABLE B. 22 CONTINUED
$\cdots \quad$ Age $\quad \frac{\mathrm{Ta}^{182}}{0.038} \quad \frac{\mathrm{~Pb}^{203}}{0.0993}$

Sum of FP
Shot Navajo, Average Fallout Compo'sition:


| 45.8 min | 0.763 | $(8) 414$ | $(6) 644$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.12 hrs | 1.12 | $(8) 414$ | $(6) 642$ |
| 1.64 hrs | 1.64 | $(8) 414$ | $(6) 636$ |
| 2.40 hrs | 2.40 | $(8) 414$ | $(6) 631$ |
| 3.52 hrs | 3.52 | $(8) 414$ | $(6) 621$ |
| 5.16 hrs | 5.16 | $(8) 414$ | $(6) 608$ |
| 7.56 hrs | 7.56 | $(8) 414$ | $(6) 598$ |
| 11.1 hrs | 11.1 | $(8) 414$ | $(6) 560$ |
| 16.2 hrs | 16.2 | $(8) 414$ | $(6) 524$ |
| 23.8 hrs | 23.8 | $(8) 410$ | $(6) 475$ |
| 1.45 days | 34.8 | $(8) 410$ | $(6) 408$ |
| 2.13 days | 51.1 | $(8) 410$ | $(6) 329$ |
| 3.12 days | 74.9 | $(8) 407$ | $(6) 239$ |
| 4.57 days | 109.7 | $(8) 403$ | $(6) 151$ |
| 6.70 days | 160.8 | $(8) 399$ | $(7) 762$ |
| 9.82 days | 235.7 | $(8) 391$ | $(7) 281$ |
| 14.4 days | 345.6 | $(8) 380$ | $(8) 652$ |
| 21.1 days | 506.4 | $(8) 365$ | $(9) 762$ |
| 30.9 days | 741.6 | $(8) 344$ | $(10) 332$ |
| 45.3 days | 1,087 | $(8) 315$ |  |
| 66.4 days | 1,594 | $(8) 277$ |  |
| 97.3 days | 2,335 | $(8) 229$ |  |
| 143 | days | 3,432 | $(8) 174$ |
| 208 | days | 4,992 | $(8) 117$ |
| 301 | days | 7,224 | $(9) 665$ |

table b. 22 Continued


| $\mathrm{Na}^{24}$ | $\mathrm{Cu}^{64}$ | $\mathrm{Co}^{67}$ | Co ${ }^{\text {® }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.00145 | 0.00217 | 0.0036 | 0.0053 |
| (7)158 | (8)857 | (9)107 | (9)470 |
| (7)155 | (8)838 | (9)107 | (9)470 |
| (7)152 | (8)814 | (9)107 | (9)469 |
| (7)146 | (8)786 | (9)107 | (9)469 |
| (7)139 | (8)738 | (9)107 | (9)469 |
| (7)129 | (8)675 | (9)107 | (9)469 |
| (7)115 | (8)592 | (9)107 | (9)468 |
| (8)982 | (8)490 | (9)107 | (9)467 |
| (b)776 | (8)371 | (9)107 | (9)466 |
| (8)544 | (8)247 | (9)107 | (9)465 |
| (8)331 | (8)136 | (9) 107 | (9)463 |
| (8)155 | (9)564 | (9)106 | (9)460 |
| (9)521 | (9)157 | (9)106 | (9)455 |
| (9)105 | (10)226 | (9)106 | (9)449 |
| (11)989 | (11)152 | (9)105 | (9)440 |
| (12)303 | (13)271 | (9)104 | (9)427 |
|  |  | (9)103 | (9)409 |
| 1 |  | (9)101 | (9)383 |
|  |  | $(10) 988$ | (9)349 |
|  |  | (10)952 | (9)304 |
|  |  | (10)902 | (9)248 |
|  |  | [101833 | (9)184 |
|  |  | (10)741 | (9)118 |
|  |  | (10)627 | (10)636 |
|  |  | (10)494 | (10)259 |


| Sum of F |
| :---: |
| (3)1171 |
| (4)7727 |
| (4)4870 |
| (4)3015 |
| (4)1868 |
| (4)1175 |
| (5)7600 |
| (5)5065 |
| (5)3337 |
| (5)2124 |
| (5)1326 |
| (6)8054 |
| (6)4914 |
| (6)3154 |
| (6)2061 |
| (6)1353 |
| (7)8691 |
| (7)5473 |
| (7)3355 |
| (7)1968 |
| (7)1126 |
| (8)6652 |
| (8)3877 |
| (8)1989 |
| (9)8710 |

TABLE B. 22 CONTINUED

| Age | $\mathrm{Na}^{24}$ | $\mathrm{Cr}^{51}$ | $\mathrm{Mn}^{\text {¢ }}$ | $\mathrm{Fe}^{\text {S3 }}$ | $\mathrm{Co}^{57}$ | Co ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{Co}^{60}$ | $\mathrm{Cu}^{64}$ | $\mathrm{Ta}^{182}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hr | (2)284 | (3)297 | (3)53 | (3)167 | (3)182 | (3)289 | (3)81 | (2)228 | (2) 6 |

Shot Tewa, Average Lagoon-Area Composition:

| 45.8 min | 0.763 | (7)310 | (11)719 | (11)843 | (10)163 | (11)541 | (10)256 | (11)339 | (9)901 | (9)654 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. 12 hrs | 1.12 | (7)304 | (11)719 | (11)843 | (10)163 | (11)541 | (10)256 | (11)339 | (8)880 | (9)654 |
| 1. 64 hrs | 1. 64 | (7)298 | (11)719 | (11)843 | (10)163 | (11)540 | (10)256 | (11)339 | (8)855 | (9)654 |
| 2. 40 hrs | 2. 40 | (7)287 | (11)719 | (11)843 | (10)163 | (11)540 | (10)256 | (11)339 | (8)825 | (9)654 |
| 3. 52 hrs | 3. 52 | (7)273 | (11)719 | (11)843 | (10)163 | (11)540 | (10)255 | (11)339 | (8)775 | (9)654 |
| 5. 16 hrs | 5.16 | (7)253 | (11)716 | (11)843 | (10)163 | (11)540 | (10)255 | (11)339 | (8)709 | (9)654 |
| 7.56 hrs | 7. 56 | (7)226 | (11)713 | (11)843 | (10)162 | (11)540 | (10)255 | (11)339 | (8)622 | (9)654 |
| 11.1 hrs | 11.1 | (7)192 | (11)713 | (11)843 | (10)162 | (11)540 | (10)255 | (11)339 | (8)515 | (9)654 |
| 16.2 hrs | 16.2 | (7)152 | (11)707 | (11)843 | (10)162 | (11)540 | (10)254 | (11)339 | (8)390 | (9)654 |
| 23.8 hrs | 23.8 | (7)106 | (11)701 | (11)843 | (10)161 | (11)539 | (10)253 | (11)339 | (8)260 | (9)648 |
| 1. 45 days | 34.8 | (8)648 | (11)695 | (11)843 | (10)160 | (11)539 | (10)252 | (11)339 | (8)143 | (9)648 |
| 2.13 days | 51.1 | (8)304 | (11)683 | (11)843 | (10)158 | (11)538 | (10)251 | (11)339 | (9)593 | (9)648 |
| 3.12 days | 74.9 | (8)102 | (11)665 | (11)837 | (10)156 | (11)536 | (10)248 | (11)339 | (9)165 | (9)642 |
| 4. 57 days | 109. 7 | (9)205 | (11)642 | (11)837 | (10)152 | (11)534 | (10)245 | (11)339 | (10)237 | (9)636 |
| 6. 70 day | 160.8 | (10)194 | (11)606 | (11)832 | (10)147 | (11)531 | (10)240 | (11)338 | (11)159 | (9)630 |
| 9.82 days | 235. 7 | (12)594 | (11)561 | (11)827 | (10)140 | (11)527 | (10)233 | (11)338 | (13)285 | (9)618 |
| 14.4 days | 345. 6 |  | (11)499 | (11)816 | (10)131 | (11)521 | (10)223 | (11)337 |  | (9)600 |
| 21.1 days | 506.4 |  | (11)422 | (11)806 | (10)118 | (11)512 | (10)209 | (11)336 |  | (9)576 |
| 30.9 days | 741.6 |  | (11)327 | (11)790 | (10)102 | (11)499 | (10)190 | (11)335 |  | (9)542 |
| 45.3 days | 1,087 |  | (11)227 | (11)763 | (11)816 | (11)481 | (10)166 | (11)333 |  | (9)497 |
| 66.4 days | 1,594 |  | (11)132 | (11)726 | (11)590 | (11)456 | (10)136 | (11)330 |  | (9)437 |
| 97.3 days | 2,335 |  | (12)603 | (11)678 | (11)367 | (11)421 | (10)100 | (11)327 |  | (9)362 |
| 143 days | 3. 432 |  | (12)188 | (11)610 | (11)182 | (11)374 | (11)644 | (11)322 |  | (9)275 |
| 208 days | 4,992 |  | (13)362 | (11)526 | (12)673 | (11)317 | (11)347 | (11)314 |  | (9)184 |
| 301 days | 7,224 |  | (14)336 | (11)425 | (12)161 | (11)250 | (11)141 | (11)304 |  | (9)105 |

TABLE B. 22 CONTINUED


TABLE B. 22 CONTINUED

| Age |  | $\mathrm{Na}^{24}$ | $\mathrm{Cr}^{51}$ | $\mathrm{Mn}^{54}$ | $\mathrm{Fe}^{69}$ | Co ${ }^{51}$ | $\mathrm{Co}^{60}$ | $\mathrm{Co}^{60}$ | $\mathrm{Cu}^{64}$ | Ta ${ }^{188}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | hr | (2)284 | (3)297 | (3)53 | (3)167 | (3)182 | (3)289 | (3) 81 | (2)228 | 0.01 |
| ot Tewa |  | Cloud | Oute | allou | ea C | 8 it |  |  |  |  |
| 45.8 min | 0. 763 | (7)310 | (11)719 | (11)843 | (10)163 | (11)541 | (10)256 | (11)339 | (8)901 | (8)109 |
| 1.12 hrs | 1.12 | (7)304 | (11)719 | (11)843 | (10)163 | (11)541 | (10)256 | (11)339 | (8)880 | (8)109 |
| 1.64 hrs | 1.64 | (7)298 | (11)719 | (11)843 | (10)163 | (11)540 | (10)256 | (11)339 | (8)855 | (8)109 |
| 2. 40 hrs | 2.40 | (7)287 | (11)719 | (11)843 | (10)163 | (11)540 | (10)256 | (11)339 | (8)825 | (8)109 |
| 3. 52 hrs | 3. 52 | (7)273 | (11)719 | (11)843 | (10)163 | (11)540 | (10)255 | (11)339 | (8)775 | (8)109 |
| 5.16 hrs | 5.16 | (7)253 | (11)716 | (11)843 | (10)163 | (11)540 | (10)255 | (11)339 | (8)709 | (8)109 |
| 7.56 hrs | 7.56 | (7)226 | (11)713 | (11)843 | (10)162 | (11)540 | (10)255 | (11)339 | (8)622 | (8)109 |
| 11.1 hrs | 11.1 | (7)192 | (11)713 | (11)843 | (10)162 | (11)540 | (10)255 | (11)339 | (8)515 | (8)109 |
| 16.2 hrs | 16.2 | (7)152 | (11)707 | (11)843 | (10)162 | (11)540 | (10)254 | (11)339 | (8)390 | (8)109 |
| 23.8 hrs | 23.8 | (7)106 | (11)701 | (11)843 | (10)161 | (11)539 | (10)253 | (11)339 | (8)260 | (8)108 |
| 1. 45 hrs | 34.8 | (8)648 | (11)695 | (11)843 | (10)160 | (11)539 | (10)252 | (11)339 | (8)143 | (8)108 |
| 2. 13 days | 51.1 | (8)304 | (11)683 | (11)843 | (10)158 | (11)538 | (10)251 | (11)339 | (9)593 | (8)108 |
| 3. 12 days | 74.9 | (8)102 | (11)665 | (11)837 | (10)156 | (11)536 | (10)248 | (11)339 | (9)165 | (8)107 |
| 4.57 days | 109.7 | (9)205 | (11)642 | (11)837 | (10)152 | (11)534 | (10)245 | (11)339 | (10)237 | (8)106 |
| 6. 70 days | 160.8 | (10)194 | (11)606 | (11)832 | (10)147 | (11)531 | (10)240 | (11)338 | (11)159 | (8)105 |
| 9. 82 days | 235.7 | (12)594 | (11)561 | (11)827 | (10)140 | (11)527 | (10)233 | (11)338 | (13)285 | (8) 103 |
| 14.4 days | 345.6 |  | (11)499 | (11)816 | (10)131 | (11)521 | (10)223 | (11)337 |  | (8)100 |
| 21.1 days | 506.4 |  | (11)422 | (11)806 | (10)118 | (11)512 | (10)209 | (11)336 |  | (0)960 |
| 30.9 days | 741.6 |  | (11)327 | (11)790 | (10)102 | (11)499 | (10)190 | (11)335 |  | (9)904 |
| 45.3 days | 1,087 |  | (11)227 | (11)763 | (11)815 | (11)481 | (10)166 | (11)333 |  | (9)828 |
| 66.4 days | 1,594 |  | (11)132 | (11)726 | (11)590 | (11)456 | (10)135 | (11)330 |  | (9)729 |
| 97. 3 days | 2,335 |  | (12)603 | (11)678 | (11)367 | (11)421 | (10)100 | (11)327 |  | (9)603 |
| 143 days | 3,432 |  | (12)188 | (11)610 | (11)182 | (11)374 | (11)644 | (11)322 |  | (9)458 |
| 208 days | 4,992 |  | (13)362 | (11)526 | (12)673 | (11)317 | (11)347 | (11)314 |  | (9)307 |
| 301 days | 7,224 |  | (14)336 | (11)425 | (12)161 | (11)250 | (11)141 | (11)304 |  | (9)175 |

TABLE B. 22 CONTINUED

(3)1171
(4)7727
(4)4870
(4)3015
(4)1868
(4)1175
(5) 7600
(5) 5065
(5)3337
(5) 2124
(6)1326
(6)8054
(6)4914
(6) 3154
(6) 2061
(6) 1353
(7) 8691
(7)5473
(7)3355
(7) 1968
(7)1126
(8)6652
(8)3877
(8)1989
(9)8710

* Assumed same as $\mathrm{Mn}^{64}$ from ratio observed at Navajo.
$\dagger$ Based on ratio $\mathbf{S b}^{122} / \mathrm{Sb}^{124}$ for cloud sample.
$\ddagger$ Based on ratio $\mathrm{Ta}^{180} / \mathrm{Ta}^{182}$ for cloud sample.
8 Based on ratios $U^{200} / \mathrm{U}^{238}$ and $U^{210} / \mathrm{U}^{231}$ for cloud sample.
1 Assumed same as $\mathrm{Ta}^{182}$.

TABLE B. 23 OBSERVED DOGHOUSE DECAY RATES OF FALLOUT aND CLOUD SAMPles
Fallout samples listed are total undisturbed OCC trays, counted with aluminum covers in place on the floor of the counter, $\sim 36$ inches from a 1 inch $\mathrm{NaI}(\mathrm{T} 1)$ crystal. The standard cloud samples are essentially point sources of Iilter paper in lusteroid tubes, placed in a clean OCC tray, and similarly covered and counted. The extended sources, or fallout samples, have been corrected to a point source equivalent by increasing the observed counting rate by 7 percent (Reference 66). Their fission contents appear under Total Fissions in Table B. 12.


TABLE B. 23 CONTINUED


TABLE B. 23 CONTINUED


TABLE B. 24 COMIUTED HETA-DECAY RATES
Beta-emlssion rates for flssion products (FP) and Induced products ( $1 P$ ) are computed and summed for the total emission rate in units of $\left(8 / 8 e c\right.$ )/ $10^{4}$ flesions. Product/fisslon ratlos are llsted directly under the nuclide symbol. Conversion to counting rates, (counts/sec)/10t fissions, for a weightless mount and (point) source ls made in the last column by means of the shelf factor $\mathbf{G}_{\mathbf{n}}$ for comparison with experimental results (Table B.25). Numbers in parentheses indicate the number of zeros between the decimal polnt and the first algnificant figure, e.g. . $(2) 200=000200$.


TABLE B. 24 CONTINUED

| Age |  | $\mathrm{Na}^{24}$ | $\mathrm{Mn}^{\text {68 }}$ | $\mathrm{Fe}^{59}$ | Co ${ }^{58}$ * | Co ${ }^{60}$ | $\mathrm{Cu}^{44} \ddagger$ | $\mathrm{Ta}^{180}$ | $\mathrm{Ta}^{182}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | hr | 0.0314 | 0.094 | $\overline{0.0033}$ | 0.00193 | $\widehat{0.0087}$ | $\widehat{0.0278}$ | 0.038 | $\widehat{0.038}$ |
| Shot Navajo, Average Fallout Composition: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45.8 min | 0.763 | (2)389 | (1)572 | (5)585 | (6)275 | (6)363 | (2)228 | (2)840 | (4)267 |
| 1.12 hrs | 1.12 | (2)383 | (1)519 | (5)585 | (6)275 | (6)363 | (2)223 | (2)817 | (4)267 |
| 1.64 hrs | 1.64 | (2)374 | (1)451 | (5)585 | (6)275 | (6)363 | (2)217 | (2)779 | (4)267 |
| 2.40 hrs | 2.40 | (2)361 | (1)368 | (5)585 | (6)275 | (6)363 | (2)209 | (2)733 | (4)267 |
| 3.52 hrs | 3.52 | (2)342 | (1)273 | (5)584 | (6)275 | (6) 363 | (2)197 | (2)655 | (4)267 |
| 5.16 hrs | 5.16 | (2)317 | (1)175 | (5)584 | (6)275 | (6)363 | (2)180 | (2)578 | (4)267 |
| 7.56 hrs | 7.56 | (2)284 | (2)918 | (5)583 | (6)274 | (6)363 | (2)158 | (2)471 | (4)267 |
| 11.1 hrs | 11.1 | (2)241 | (2)356 | (5)581 | (6)274 | (6)363 | (2)131 | (2)349 | (4)267 |
| 16.2 hrs | 16.2 | (2)191 | (3)904 | (5)580 | (6)273 | (6)363 | (3)991 | (2)226 | (4)266 |
| 23.8 hrs | 23.8 | (2)134 | (3)118 | (5)577 | (6)272 | (6)363 | (3)658 | (2)119 | (4)266 |
| 1.45 days | 34.8 | (3)813 | (5)610 | (5)573 | (6)271 | (6)363 | (3)363 | (3)464 | (4)265 |
| 2.13 day 8 | 51.1 | (3)380 | (7)785 | (5)567 | (6)270 | (6)363 | (3)150 | (3) 116 | (4)264 |
| 3.12 days | 74.9 | (3)128 | (9)132 | (5)558 | (6)267 | (6)362 | (4)418 | (4)154 | (4)262 |
| 4.57 days | 109.7 | (4)257 |  | (5)546 | (6)263 | (6)362 | (5)639 | (6)798 | (4) 260 |
| 6.70 days | 160.8 | (5)243 |  | (5)529 | (6)258 | (6)362 | (6)404 | (7)104 | (4)256 |
| 9.82 days | 235.7 | (7)744 |  | (5)504 | (6)250 | (6)361 | (8):26 | (10)178 | (4)252 |
| 14.4 days | 345.6 | (9)499 |  | (5)470 | (6)240 | (6)361 | (10)181 |  | (4)245 |
| 21.1 days | 506.4 |  |  | (5)424 | (6)225 | (6)360 |  |  | (4)235 |
| 30.9 days | 741.6 |  |  | (5) 365 | (6)204 | (6)359 |  |  | (4)222 |
| 45.3 days | 1,087 |  |  | (5)292 | (6)178 | (6)357 |  |  | (4)203 |
| 66.4 days | 1,594 |  |  | (5)212 | (6)145 | (6)354 |  |  | (4)179 |
| 97.3 days | 2,335 |  |  | (5)132 | (6)108 | (6)350 |  |  | (4)148 |
| 143 days | 3,432 |  |  | (6)653 | (7)694 | (6)345 |  |  | (4)112 |
| 208 days | 4,992 |  |  | (6)241 | (7)372 | (8)337 |  |  | (5)752 |
| 301 days | 7,224 |  |  | (7)579 | (7)152 | (6)325 |  |  | (5)429 |

TABLE B. 24 CONTINUED


TABLE B. 25 OBSERVED BETA-DECAY RATES
Beta counting samples, supported and covered by $0.80 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ of pliofilm, were prepared on the YAG 40 from aliquots of SIC tray stock solution. Measurements initiated there were usually continued on Site Elmer, and terminated at NRDL. When stock solution activity permitted, a portion was shipped to NRDL as soon as possible, allowing simultaneous field and NRDL decay measurements to be obtained. Nominally identical continuous-flow proportional detectors were installed at all three locations, and small response differences were normalized by $\mathrm{Cs}^{137}$ reference standards. No scattering or absorption corrections have been made to the observed counts.

| Counter <br> Location | Age | Activity | Counter <br> Location | Age | Activity |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | hr | $\frac{\text { counts/sec }}{10^{4} \text { fissions }}$ |  | hr | $\frac{\text { counts/sec }}{10^{4} \text { fissions }}$ |

Shot Flathead, Sample $3473 / \beta, 3.09 \times 10^{8}$ fission, Shelf 1

| YAG 40 | 16.4 | 127.4 | $\times 10^{-4}$ | Site Elmer | 112.3 | 22.83 | $\times 10^{-6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 19.5 | 109.3 |  |  | 123.8 | 20.07 |  |
|  | 21.7 | 99.42 |  |  | 130.9 | 18.66 |  |
|  | 24.0 | 89.42 |  |  | 136.6 | 17.84 |  |
|  | 27.9 | 80.06 |  |  | 153.4 | 15.33 |  |
|  | 31.1 | 72.70 |  |  | 161.5 | 14.69 |  |
|  | 34.1 | 67.77 |  |  | 175.0 | 13.02 |  |
|  | 36.6 | 63.35 |  |  | 194.2 | 11.49 |  |
|  | 41.1 | 57.69 |  |  | 224.1 | 9.412 |  |
|  | 45.0 | 53.26 |  |  | 247.8 | 8.339 |  |
|  | 49.8 | 49.97 |  | NRDL | 194.8 | 11.49 | $\times 10^{-4}$ |
| Site Elmer | 54.1 | 44.22 | $\times 10^{-4}$ |  | 215 | 10.18 |  |
|  | 57.9 | 40.97 |  |  | 261 | 7.718 |  |
|  | 62.0 | 38.68 |  |  | 333 | 5.389 |  |
|  | 65.6 | 36.47 |  |  | 429 | 3.586 |  |
|  | 69.6 | 34.38 |  |  | 501 | 2.875 |  |
|  | 73.8 | 34.21 |  |  | 598 | 2.226 |  |
|  | 75.5 | 32.87 |  |  | 723 | 1.692 |  |
|  | 78.8 | 30.66 |  |  | 891 | 1.228 |  |
|  | 85.0 | 29.26 |  |  | 1,034 | 0.9812 |  |
|  | 90.1 | 27.90 |  |  | 1,223 | 0.7773 |  |
|  | 96.5 | 26.24 |  |  | 1,417 | 0.5916 |  |
|  | 103.7 | 24.19 |  |  | 1,582 | 0.5194 |  |

Shot Navajo, Sample p-3753/B*2, $7.24 \times 10^{\prime}$ fission, Shelf 3 .

| YAG 40 | 12.82 | 7.428 | $\times 10^{-3}$ | NRDL | 984 | 4.196 | $\times 10^{-5}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 15.58 | 5.801 |  |  | 1,030 | 3.906 |  |
|  | 18.24 | 4.933 |  |  | 1,080 | 3.731 |  |
|  | 20.33 | 4.386 |  |  | 1,151 | 3.223 |  |
|  | 23.76 | 3.701 |  |  | 1,198 | 3.269 |  |
|  | 26.90 | 3.276 |  |  | 1,246 | 3.128 |  |
|  | 29.78 | 2.950 |  |  | 1,34i | 2.620 |  |
|  | 34.51 | 2.495 |  |  | 1,450 | 2.647 |  |
|  | 38.0 | 2.262 |  |  | 1,485 | 2.477 |  |
|  | 47.9 | 1.748 |  |  | 1,534 | 2.373 |  |
| Site Elmer | 67.8 | 1.157 | $\times 10^{-3}$ |  | 1,750 | 2.040 |  |
|  | 74.8 | 1.027 |  |  | 1,850 | 1.883 |  |
|  | 87.0 | 8.640 | $\times 10^{-4}$ |  | 2,014 | 1.710 |  |
|  | 89.9 | 8.640 8.262 | $\times 10^{-1}$ |  | 2,164 | 1.535 |  |
|  | 99.0 | 7.262 |  |  | 2,374 | 1.425 |  |
|  | 99.0 | 7.363 |  |  | 2,541 | 1.293 |  |
| YAG 40 | 122.9 | 5.691 | $\times 10^{-4}$ |  | 2,666 | 1.252 |  |
|  | 150.0 | 4.448 |  |  | 2,834 | 1.077 |  |
|  | \$70.6 | 3.738 |  |  | 3,266 | 9.346 | $\times 10^{-1}$ |
|  | 226.1 | 2.597 |  |  | 3,500 | 8.678 |  |
|  | 278.5 | 1.973 |  |  | 3,914 | 7.413 |  |
| NRDL | 478 | 1.011 | $\times 10^{-4}$ |  | 4,320 | 6.308 |  |
|  | 574 | 7.937 | $\times 10^{-5}$ |  | 4,750 | 5.617 |  |
|  | 647 | 6.878 |  |  | 5,330 | 4.857 |  |
|  | 693 | 6.436 |  |  | 5,930 | 4.005 |  |
|  | 742 | 5.904 |  |  | 6,580 | 3.752 |  |
|  | 814 | 5.359 |  |  | 6,740 | 3.453 |  |
|  | 861 | 4.968 |  |  | 8,230 | 3.039 |  |
|  | 912 | 4.733 |  |  | 8.840 | 2.440 |  |

TABLE B. 26 4- $\pi$ GAMMA IONIZATION CHAMBEH MEASUREMENTS
The fallout samples listed are all solutions of OCC samples. Because three instruments with varying responses were involved in measurements during Operation Redwing, observed values have been arbitrarily normalized linearly to a standard response of $700 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{ma}$ for $100 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of radium.

| Sample | Volume | Number of Fissions | Age | Ion Current |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ml |  | hr | $\mathrm{ma} / \mathrm{fission} \times 10^{-21}$ |  |

Shot Zuni

| YAG 40-B-6 | 10 | $5.08 \times 10^{13}$ | 387 | 8.096 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 772 | 3.335 |
|  |  |  | 1,540 | 1.499 |
| How F-61 (1) | 10 | $1.00 \times 10^{13}$ | 219 | 8.557 |
|  |  |  | 243 | 7.284 |
|  |  |  | 387 | 3.604 |
|  |  |  | 772 | 1.645 |
|  |  |  | 1,540 | 0.929 |
| How F-61 (2) | 10 | $1.00 \times 10^{18}$ | 239 | 7.143 |
| How F-61 (3) | 2 | $2.00 \times 10^{12}$ | 214 | 8.842 |
|  |  |  | 429 | 3.053 |
| Standard cloud | - | $9.84 \times 10^{12}$ | 52.4 | 197.1 |
|  |  |  | 190 | 51.49 |
|  |  |  | 267 | 34.00 |
|  |  |  | 526 | 13.64 |
|  |  |  | 772 | 7.959 |
|  |  |  | 1,540 | 2.751 |
|  |  |  | : 5,784 | 0.351 |

Shot Flathead

| YAG 39-C-21 (1) | 10 | $5.08 \times 10^{11}$ | 220 | 18.60 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 244 | 16.32 |
|  |  |  | 266 | 14.33 |
|  |  |  | 388 | 8.244 |
|  |  |  | 746 | 3.334 |
|  |  |  | 1,539 | 1.440 |
| YFNB 13-E-54 (1) | 10 | $3.81 \times 10^{13}$ | 267 | 11.86 |
|  |  |  | 388 | 7.989 |
|  |  |  | 746 | 3.099 |
| YFNB 13-E-54 (2) | 10 | $3.81 \times 10^{13}$ | 340 | 9.107 |
| YFNB 29-G-68 (1) | 10 | $1.39 \times 10^{12}$ | 220 | 19.20 |
|  |  |  | 244 | 16.76 |
|  |  |  | 266 | 14.80 |
|  |  |  | 388 | 8.538 |
|  |  |  | 747 | 3.457 |
|  |  |  | 1,540 | 1.420 |
| Standard cloud - | - | $2.79 \times 10^{13}$ | 73.6 | 80.90 |
|  |  |  | 95.1 | 63.37 |
|  |  |  | 166 | 34.11 |
|  |  |  | 196 | 28.72 |
|  |  |  | 387 | 12.30 |
|  |  |  | 747 | 5.082 |
|  |  |  | 1.539 | 1.663 |
| Shot Navajo |  |  |  |  |
| YAG 39-C-21 (1) | 10 | $3.90 \times 10^{12}$ | 196 | 20.58 |
|  |  |  | 244 | 15.58 |
|  |  |  | 317 | 10.99 |
|  |  |  | 387 | 8.441 |
|  |  |  | 741 | 3.929 |
|  |  |  | 915 | 2.884 |
|  |  |  | 1.084 | 2.348 |
|  |  |  | 1,347 | 1.843 |
|  |  |  | 1.541 | 1.610 |

TABLE B. 26 CONTINUED

| Sample |  | Number of Fissions | Age | Ion Current |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shot and Station | Volume |  |  |  |
|  | ml |  | br | ma/fissions $\times 10^{-72}$ |
| Shot Navajo |  |  |  |  |
| YAG 39-C-21 (2) | 10 | $3.90 \times 10^{12}$ | 220 | 16.74 |
| YFNB 13-E-56 (1) | 10 | $6.50 \times 10^{12}$ | 196 | 23.44 |
|  |  |  | 244 | 18.33 |
|  |  |  | 317 | 12.13 |
|  |  |  | 387 | 9.944 |
|  |  |  | 746 | 4.572 |
|  |  |  | 915 | 3.550 |
|  |  |  | 1,084 | 2.866 |
|  |  |  | 1,347 | 2.092 |
|  |  |  | 1,540 | 2.009 |
| YFNB 13-E-56 (2) | 10 | $6.50 \times 10^{12}$ | 220 | 20.81 |
| Standard cloud | - | $3.46 \times 10^{12}$ | 52.5 | 143.44 |
|  |  |  | 75.8 | 87.54 |
|  |  |  | 148 | 37.83 |
|  |  |  | 196 | 26.57 |
|  |  |  | 387 | 11.06 |
|  |  |  | 742 | 5.043 |
|  |  |  | 915 | 3.928 |
|  |  |  | 1,084 | 3.139 |
|  |  |  | 1,344 | 2.434 |
|  |  |  | 1,536 | 2.136 |
|  |  |  | 6,960 | 0.380 |
| Shot Tewa |  |  |  |  |
| YAG 39-C-21 (1) | 10 | $1.82 \times 10^{14}$ | 267 | 12.36 |
|  | . |  | 292 | 10.92 |
|  | . |  | 408 | 5.984 |
|  |  |  | 580 | 3.589 |
|  |  |  | 675 | 2.902 |
|  |  |  | 773 | 2.632 |
|  |  |  | 916 | 1.936 |
|  |  |  | 1,108 | 1.680 |
|  |  |  | 1,300 | 1.211 |
|  |  |  | 1,517 | 1.056 |
|  |  |  | 1,852 | 0.906 |
| YAG 39-C-21 (2) | 10 | $1.82 \times 10^{14}$ | 286 | 11.00 |
| YFNB 13-E-54 (1) | 10 | $2.38 \times 10^{13}$ | 292 | 6.345 |
|  |  |  | 408 | 3.692 |
|  |  |  | 580 | 2.134 |
|  |  |  | 675 | 1.730 |
|  |  |  | 773 | 1.458 |
|  |  |  | 916 | 1.187 |
|  |  |  | 1,108 | 0.964 |
|  |  |  | 1,300 | 0.727 |
|  |  |  | 1,517 | 0.653 |
| Y FNB 13-E-54 (2) | 10 | $2.38 \times 10^{13}$ | 262 | 7.566 |
| Standard cloud | - | $4.71 \times 10^{13}$ | 77.0 | 88.74 |
|  |  |  | 101. | 69.07 |
|  |  |  | 123 | 56.67 |
|  |  |  | 172 | 39.83 |
|  |  | - | 244 | 24.18 |
|  |  |  | 408 | 12.15 |
|  |  |  | 675 | 5.998 |
|  |  |  | 773 | 4.904 |
|  |  |  | 916 | 3.769 |
|  |  |  | 1,108 | 2.726 |
|  |  |  | 1,300 | 2.076 |
|  |  |  | 1,517 | 1.664 |
|  |  |  | 1,851 | 1.201 |

TABLE B. 27 GAMMA ACTIVITY AND MEAN FISSION CONTENT OF HOW F BURIED COLLECTORS (AREA $=2.60 \mathrm{FT}^{2}$ )

The activities summarized in this table have been corrected for contributions from shots other than the one designated. Flathead produced no activity in these collectors resolvable from the Zuni background. The conversion to fissions was made by means of the How Island factors shown in Table B.13.

| Collector <br> Designator | Shot Cherokee** Doghouse Activity at 100 hr | Shot Zuni Doghouse Activity at 100 hr | Shot NavajoDoghouse Activity <br> at 100 hr | Shot TewaDoghouse Activity <br> at 100 hr |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | counts/min | counts/min | counts/min | counts/min |
| F-B1 | 79 | 2,154,000 | 20,809 1 | 262,800 |
| -B2 | 87 | 2,261,000 | 14,145 | 250,860 |
| -B3 | 548 | 2,022,000 | 13,870 1 | 203,380 |
| -B4 | 598 | 1,963,000 | 9,088 ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | 246,760 |
| -B5 | 2,560 | 2,737,000 | 19,443 | 206,940 |
| -B6 | 897 | 1,504,000 $\dagger$ | 30,650 $\dagger$ | 303,820 |
| -B7 $\ddagger$ | 80 | 3,448,000 | 26,454 | 329,970 |
| -B8 | 96 | 2,295,000 | 7,688 | 138,500 $\dagger$ |
| -B9 | 30 | 2,168,000 | 8,163 | 208,640 |
| -B10 | 174 | 2,463,000 | 18,550 | 200,450 |
| -B11s | 240 | 1,287,000 | 6,176 1 | 39,370 |
| -B12 | 1,056 | 2,189,000 | 17,654 | 216,810 |
| Mean and $\sigma$ : | $\begin{gathered} 537 \pm 192 \\ \text { (35.8 pct) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2,250,200 \pm 234,170 \\ (10.41 \mathrm{pct}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 14,300 \pm 5,855 \\ (40.94 \mathrm{pct}) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 233,384 \pm 35,150 \\ (15.06 \mathrm{pct}) \end{array}$ |
| Mean fissions collector |  | $5.42 \pm 0.57 \times 10^{14}$ | $3.21 \pm 1.32 \times 10^{12}$ | $5.98 \pm 0.90 \times 10^{13}$ |
| Mean fissions $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |  | $2.08 \pm 0.22 \times 10^{14}$ | $1.24 \pm 0.51 \times 10^{12}$ | $2.30 \pm 0.35 \times 10^{13}$ |

* Values are pre-Redwing background activities.
$\dagger$ Collector in estimated platform shadow; omitted from mean value.
$\ddagger$ Collector directly under platform; omitted from mean value.
8 Collector on sandbank slope; omitted from mean value.
I Water leakage during recovery; omitted from mean value.

TABLE B. 28 HOW ISLAND SURVEYS, STATION $F$
"Clused Winduw" readings 3 feet above ground at points shown on station layout (Figure 2.8 ).

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Survey Time } \\ \text { (Mike) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Hours Since |  |  |  | Ionizution Rate, mr/hr |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Instrument Type and Serial |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 20 | FL | NA | TE | F-B1 | F-B2 | F-83 | F-B4 | F. B5 | F-B6 | F-B7 | F-B8 | F-B9 | F-B10 | F-B11 | F-B12 | Mean and $\sigma$ |  |  |
| 6 Alay | 1200 | - | - | -- | - | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.23 | T1B | 2443 |
| 21 | 1615 | - | - | - | - | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.05 | 0.50 | 0.20 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.20 | 0.30 | 0.15 | MX -5 | 17539 |
| 22 | 1120 | - | - | - | - | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.20 | 0.15 | 0.30 | 0.20 | 0.15 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.20 | 0.25 | 0.16 | MX-5 | 65008 |
| 23 | 1040 | - | - | - | - | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.32 | T1B | 2443 |
| 26 | 0930 | - | - | - | - | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.30 | 0.20 | 0.15 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.20 | 0.28 | MX-5 | 65008 |
| 28 | 1710 | 11.2 | - | - | - | - | 1400 | - | 1600 | 1800 | - | - | - | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | $1714 \pm 157$ | Cutie | Pie 5028 |
| 29 | 1216 | 30.3 | - | - | - | 590 | 580 | 600 | 570 | 580 | 530 | 560 | 580 | 550 | 580 | 450 | 560 | 561 | Cutic | Pie 5028 |
| 30 | 1025 | 52.5 | - | - | - | 300 | 300 | 310 | 300 | 310 | 320 | 290 | 240 | 250 | 340 | 240 | 300 | 292 | Cutic | Pit 5501 |
| 1 June | 1032 | 100.6 | - | - | - | 150 | 160 | 160 | 160 | 140 | 160 | 140 | 100 | 110 | 160 | 110 | 160 | 142 | Cutie | Die 0325 |
| 2 | 1008 | 124.2 | - | - | - | 100 | 110 | 110 | 100 | 110 | 120 | 100 | 84 | 88 | 110 | 86 | 100 | 101 | Cutie 1 | lie 5501 |
| 3 | 1053 | 149.0 | - | - |  | 89 | 88 | 94 | 89 | 88 | 99 | 85 | 68 | 68 | 90 | 63 | 88 | 84.1 | Cutie 1 | Pie 5501 |
| 5 | 1135 | 197.6 | - | - | - | 60 | 61 | 65 | 69 | 60 | 73 | 57 | 44 | 46 | 59 | 44 | 64 | 57.7 | Cutie | Pie 5501 |
| 7 | 1230 | 246.6 | - | - | - | 45 | 46 | 48 | 46 | 48 | 62 | 40 | 28 | 30 | 40 | 32 | 38 | 41.9+9.4 | Cutie 1 | Pie 5516 |
| 12 | 1620 | 370.4 | 9.9 | - | - | 22 | 20 | 21 | 22 | - | 31 | 24 | 14 | 16 | 21 | 15 | 24 | 20.9 | Cutie | $\mathrm{Pie}_{\text {it }} 5507$ |
| 13 | 1015 | 388.3 | 27.8 | - | - | 20 | 22 | 22 | 20 | 20 | 30 | 22 | 18 | 18 | 20 | 18 | 20 | 20.8 * 3.2 | Culte | Ple 5516 |
| 14 | 1023 | 412.4 | 51.9 | - |  | 20 | 19 | 20 | 20 | 19 | 23 | 21 | 12 | 15 | 19 | 14 | 16 | 18.2 | Cutie | Pie 5501 |
| 9 July | 1600 | 1,018 | 658 | - | - | 10 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 14 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 7 | $8.25 \pm 2.4$ | TIB | 580 |
| 11 | 1300 | 1,063 | 703 | 7.1 | - | - | 80 | - | 80 | - | - | - | - | 80 | - | 80 | 80 | 80.0 | T1B | 2058 |
| 11 | 1628 | 1,066 | 706 | 10.5 | - | 55 | 51 | 53 | 53 | 56 | 54 | 55 | 50 | 49 | 50 | 47 | 52 | 52.1 | Cutie | Pie 5501 |
| 12 | 1050 | 1,085 | 725 | 28.9 | - | 16 | 20 | 16 | 15 | 14 | 19 | 18 | 12 | 14 | 16 | 14 | 14 | 15.7 | Culue | Pie 5516 |
| 13 | 1400 | 1,112 | 752 | 56.1 | - | 15 | 14 | 14 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 16 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 12.5 | Cutie P | Pie 5502 |
| 21 | 1418 | 1.304 | 944 | 248. | 8.5 | 240 | - | - | 280 | - | - | 180 | - | 240 | - | 210 | 240 | $228 \pm 29$ | TIB | 7234 |
| 21 | 1622 | 1.306 | 946 | 250 | 10.6 | 220 | 210 | 220 | 190 | 180 | 210 | 200 | 160 | 190 | 180 | 140 | 220 | 193 $=25$ | Cutie | Pie 5503 |
| 22 | 1022 | 1.324 | 946 | 268 | 28.6 | 95 | 86 | 94 | 88 | 90 | 110 | 91 | 75 | 79 | 82 | 71 | 89 | $87.5 \pm 10.2$ | Cutie P | Pie 5516 |
| 23 | 1100 | 1,349 | 989 | 293 | 53.2 | 36 | 36 | 38 | 96 | 34 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 32 | 28 | 32 | $32.7 \pm 3.2$ | T18 | 7826 |
| 25 | 0836 | 1,395 | 1,035 | 339 | 98.8 | 21 | 21 | 22 | 20 | 20 | 25 | 23 | 16 | 15 | 19 | 16 | 18 | $19.7 \pm 3.0$ | Cutie | Pie 5507 |

TABLE B. 28 HOW ISLAND sURVEYS, STATION F
II. RESOLUTION OF IONIZATION RATES BY EVENT

The Ionization ratea for Shote Zuni, Navajo, and Tewa are ahown; Shote Flathead and Dakota produced negligible amounta of fallout.

| Hours Since |  |  |  | Ionization Rate, $\mathrm{mr} / \mathrm{hr}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2U | FL | NA | TE | 2U* | Na $\dagger$ | TE |  | Mean Observed and $\sigma$ | Residual Error |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { By } \\ \text { Diff. } \end{gathered}$ | By Relative Decay |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | pet | pet |
| 11.2 | - | - | - | 1.714 | - | - | - | 1,714*9.18 | - |
| 30.3 | - | - | - | 561 | - | - | - | 561 | - |
| 62.5 | - | - | - | 292 | - | - | - | 292 | - |
| 100.6 | - | - | - | 142 | - | 一 | - | 142 | - |
| 124.2 | - | - | - | 101 | - | - | - | 101 | - |
| 149.0 | - | - | - | 84.1 | - | - | - | 84.1 | - |
| 197.6 | - | - | - | 57.7 | - | - | - | 57.7 | - |
| 246.6 | - | - | - | 41.9 | - | - | - | $41.9 \pm 22.5$ | - |
| 370.4 | 9.9 | - | - | 20.9 | - | - | - | 20.9 | - |
| 388.3 | 27.8 | - | - | 20.8 | - | - | - | $20.8 \pm 15.6$ | - |
| 412.4 | 51.9 | - | - | 18.2 | - | - | - | 18.2 | - |
| 1,018 | 658 | - | - | 8.82 | - | - | - | $8.25 \pm 29.3$ | - |
| 1,063 | 703 | 7.1 | - | 8.60 | 71.4 | - | - | 80.0 | - |
| 1,066 | 706 | 10.5 | - | 8.60 | 43.5 | - | - | 52.1 | - |
| 1,085 | 725 | 28.9 | - | 8.46 | 7.24 | - | - | 15.7 | - |
| 1,112 | 752 | 56.1 | - | 8.32 | 4.18 | - | - | 12.5 | - |
| 1,304 | 944 | 248 | 8.5 | 7.55 | 0.463 | 220 | 199.2 | $228 \pm 12.5$ | -9.45 |
| 1,306 | 946 | 250 | 10.6 | 7.55 | 0.456 | 185 | 161.7 | $193 \pm 13.2$ | -12.6 |
| 1,324 | 964 | 268 | 28.6 | 7.48 | 0.410 | 79.6 | 64.3 | $87.5 \pm 11.7$ | -19.2 |
| 1,349 | 989 | 293 | 53.2 | 7.48 | 0.364 | 24.9 | 34.5 | $32.7 \pm 9.88$ | + 38.5 |
| 1,395 | 1,035 | 339 | 98.8 | 7.34 | 0.293 | 12.1 | 15.3 | $19.7 * 15.4$ | +26.1 |

- Computed from $\mathbf{2 U}+1018 \mathrm{hr}$ and later by 4-T gamma relative lonization decay of How F-64 ZU. Tray 856.
$\dagger$ Computed from difference, observed ZU , to $\mathrm{NA}+\mathbf{5 6 . 1}$ hours; thereafter by $\mathbf{4 - n}$ gamma relative fonization decay
of XAG 40-A-1, Iray P-3753.
$t$ Computed from difference, observed (ZU + NA).
Computed from beat fit of 4-5 gamma relative tonization decay of YFNB 13-E-57. Tray 1973.


Figure B. 2 Gamma decays of solid fallout particles, Shot Zuni.

Figure B.5 Relation of inscribed to projected particle diameter.
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Figure B. 7 Gamma-ionization-decay rate, Site How.
B. 3 CORRELATIONS DATA

Available data, shot Zuni

1. Constant-level charts of the wind field (isogon-jsotach analysia). Reference $\mathbf{7 0}$.

| $\frac{\text { Altitude }}{\text { feet }}$ | $\frac{\text { Time }}{\text { hours }}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 10,000 | $\mathrm{H}-3, \mathrm{H}+9, \mathrm{H}+21, \mathrm{H}+33$ |
| 16,000 | $\mathrm{H}-3, \mathrm{H}+9, \mathrm{H}+21, \mathrm{H}+33$ |
| 25,000 | $\mathrm{H}-3, \mathrm{H}+9, \mathrm{H}+21, \mathrm{H}+33$ |
| 30,000 | H-3, $\mathrm{H}+9, \mathrm{H}+21, \mathrm{H}+3{ }^{\text {S }}$ |
| 40,000 | $\mathrm{H}-3, \mathrm{H}+9, \mathrm{H}+21, \mathrm{H}+33$ |
| 50.000 | H-3, $\mathrm{H}+9, \mathrm{H}+21, \mathrm{H}+33$ |
| 80,000 | H-3, H+9, H+21, H+33 |

2. Vertical-motion charts of the wind field (computed valuea), Reference 71.

## Time

$\mathrm{H}-3, \mathrm{H}+3, \mathrm{H}+9, \mathrm{H}+18, \mathrm{H}+2 \mathrm{~L}, \mathrm{H}+27, \mathrm{H}+33$ $\mathrm{H}-3, \mathrm{H}+3, \mathrm{H}+9, \mathrm{H}+15, \mathrm{H}+21, \mathrm{H}+27, \mathrm{H}+33$ $\mathrm{H}-3, \mathrm{H}+3, \mathrm{H}+9, \mathrm{H}+15, \mathrm{H}+21, \mathrm{H}+27, \mathrm{H}+33$ $\mathrm{H}-3, \mathrm{H}+3, \mathrm{H}+9, \mathrm{H}+15, \mathrm{H}+21, \mathrm{H}+27, \mathrm{H}+33$ $H-3, H+3, H+9, H+15, H+22, H+27, \mathrm{H}+33$
$\mathrm{H}-3, \mathrm{H}+3, \mathrm{H}+9, \mathrm{H}+15, \mathrm{H}+21, \mathrm{H}+27, \mathrm{H}+33$
$\mathrm{H}-3, \mathrm{H}+3, \mathrm{H}+9, \mathrm{H}+15, \mathrm{H}+21, \mathrm{H}+27, \mathrm{H}+33$
$\mathrm{H}-3, \mathrm{H}+3, \mathrm{H}+9, \mathrm{H}+15, \mathrm{H}+21, \mathrm{H}+27, \mathrm{H}+33$
3. Measured winds aloft at Bikinl, Endwotok, and Rongerik Atolle, Reference $\mathbf{7 0}$.

## COMPUTATION OF PARTICLE TRAJECTORIES

1. Considering time-and-apace varlation of the wind fold
a. Shot Zunl: partucle alzo, $75 \mu$; originalling alltitude, 60,000 feet; aeaume 3-hr peralstence of wind geld
b. Latitude and longitude of particle: $11^{\circ} \quad 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N} 165^{\circ} 22^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ at 0 tume.
c. Time to fall 5,000 feet $(00,000$ to 55,000$)$ : 1.16 hour .
d. 5,000-foot zonal wind ( 60,000 to 55,000 ), (lime and apace variation inalgnificant), 160 degrees, 17 knots.
e. Compute trajectory projection of particle through layer (used ploting device, Heference 68)
2. Plot Vector 1 (used plotiling device).
g. Latitude and longitude of particlo at 55,000 feet: $11^{\circ} 47^{\prime}$ N $165^{\circ} 14^{\prime}$ E.
h. TIme to fall 5,000 feet ( 55,000 to 50,000 ): 1.16 houra.
3. 5,000-foot zonal wind ( 65,000 to 50,000 ), (tume and apace variation inaignlacant), 240 degrees, 25 knots.
4. Compute trajectory projection of particle through layer (used plotting device).
k. Add Vector 2 to end of vector 1 on plot (used ploting devico).


n. Interpolation for time-and-space variation of winds from constant level charts:
(1) Chart 1, H-3 houra, 50,000 feet, $12^{\circ} 02^{\circ}$ N, $165^{\circ} 41^{\prime \prime} E$ : wind 250 degrees,

## 38 knots.

(2) Chart 2, H-3 houra, 40,000 feet, $12^{\circ} 02^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 165^{\circ} 41^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$; wind 240 degrees

37 knote.
(3) Interpolated value of wind in layer $\mathbf{5 0 , 0 0 0}$ to $\mathbf{4 5 , 0 0 0}$ feet: 245 degrees, 38 knots at $\mathrm{H}-3$ hours (to neareat 5 degrees)
(4) Chart 3, H + 9 hours, 50,000 feet, $12^{\circ} 02^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 165^{*} 41^{\prime}$ E : wind 235 degrees,

30 knots.
(5) Chart 4, H +9 houra, $\mathbf{4 0 , 0 0 0}$ feet, $12^{\circ} 02^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 165^{\circ} 11^{\prime} \mathrm{E}:$ wind 210 degrees,

40 knota.
(6) Interpolated value of wind in layer 50,000 to $\mathbf{4 5 , 0 0 0}$ feet: 230 degrees, 32 knota at $\mathrm{H}+9$ hours (to nearest 5 degrees).
(7) Final interpolated value of wind in layer 50,000 to $\mathbf{4 5 , 0 0 0}$ feet: 240 degrees. 37 knota at $\mathrm{H}+3$ houre (to nearest 5 degrees).
o. Compute irajectory profection of particle through layer using final wind in $\mathrm{N}-7$ (used plotifing device).
p. Add Vector 3 to end of vector 2 on plot (used ploting device)
q. Continue the above computations untll particle reaches surface.
2. Considering time-and-apace variation of the wind field as well as vertical motions:
a. Shot Zunl; particle size, $75 \mu$; originating altitude, 60,000 feet; assume 3 -hour per statence of wind field.
b. Latitude and longitude of particle: $11^{\circ} 300^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 165^{\circ} 22^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ at 0 time.
c. From computed vertical motion charta, determine by Interpolation, the value of the vertical wind through the 5,000 -foot layer $(60,000$ to 35,000$)$ at $\mathrm{H}+0$ hours and $11^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}, 165^{\circ}$ vertical wind through
d. From measured Bikinl winda, obtain 5,000 -foot zonal wind ( $\mathbf{6 0 , 0 0 0}$ to $\mathbf{5 5 , 0 0 0 \text { ) at } \mathrm { H } + 0}$ hours: $160^{\circ}$ degreea, 17 knots.
e. Compute time to fall, 5,000 feet in atll atmosphere $(60,000$ to 55,000$)$ : 1.16 houra.
f. Compute corrected time to fall by considering vertical motiona ( $\mathbf{6 0 , 0 0 0}$ to $\mathbf{5 5 , 0 0 0 \text { ). }}$ 0.76 hour.
g. Compute effective wind apeed through layer by considering corrected time to fall 53 percent increase in falling speed or 53 percent decrease in wind apeed: 160 degrees, 1 knots.
b. Using effective wind apeed and aull air time to fall 5,000 feet, compute trajectory projection of pertlcle through layer. (This reverse approach was uaed to implement plotting with plotting device.)

1. Plot Vector 1 (used ploting device).
J. Continue this process interpolating for vertical motions and wind velocity from charts, as a function of time, epace, and altitude, untll partlcle reaches surface.

TABLE b. 29 CONTINUED
I. space variation and time varlation of the wind field

|  |  |  | Latlude Longitude | Interpolation for Time-Space Varlallon of Winde |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Altitude <br> Increment | Time Through | lauve <br> Time | of Particla (from Plot) Surface Zero | $\begin{gathered} \text { CChurt } 1 \\ \text { Time Alt. } \end{gathered}$ | Time | $\begin{gathered} \text { rt } 2 \\ \text { Alt. } \end{gathered}$ | Interpo lated Value |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { arrt } 3 \\ & 0 \text { Alt. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chur } \\ & \text { Time } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \\ & \text { Alt. } \end{aligned}$ | Interpoluted Value |  | Value elocity |
| $10^{3} \mathrm{t}$ | hrs | hra | deg min deg min | hrs $10^{2} \mathrm{~A}$ | hri | $10^{8} \mathrm{ft}$ |  | hra | $10^{2} \mathrm{ft}$ | hrs | $10^{3} \mathrm{f}$ |  | deg | knote |

Shot Zuni
Particie alze, 75 microns
Originating alutude, b0,000 feer

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{17}{|l|}{From} <br>
\hline 60 to 55 \& 1.16 \& 1.16 \& 11 \& 30 \& 165 \& 22 \& \multicolumn{8}{|c|}{Use measured Bikini wind} \& 160 \& 17 <br>
\hline 55 to 50 \& 1.16 \& 2.32 \& 11 \& 47 \& 165 \& 14 \& \& \& \& e measu \& d Blkinl w \& \& \& \& 240 \& 25 <br>
\hline \& \& \& 12 \& 02 \& 165 \& 41 \& H-3 50 \& H-3 \& 40 \& 0.75 \& H+9 50 \& H+8 40 \& 0.75 \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{32} \& 0.25 \& <br>
\hline 60 to 45 \& 1.21 \& 3.53 \& \multicolumn{5}{|r|}{250/38} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{240/37} \& 24638 \& 235/30 \& 210/40 \& 230 \& \& 240 \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{37} <br>
\hline 451040 \& 1.28 \& 4.79 \& 12 \& 24 \& 160 \& 10 \& H-3 50 \& H-3 \& 40 \& 0.25 \& H+9 60 \& $\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{g} \quad 40$ \& 0.25 \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{37} \& 0.60 \& <br>
\hline 45 to 40 \& 1.26 \& 4.79 \& \& \& \& \& 250/33 \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{240/31} \& 24036 \& 235/30 \& 215/40 \& 220 \& \& 230 \& 36 <br>
\hline 40 to 35 \& 1.32 \& 6.11 \& 12 \& 53 \& 166 \& 54 \& H-3 ${ }^{40}$ \& H-3 \& 30 \& 0.75 \& H+9 40 \& H+9 ${ }^{30}$ \& 0.75 \& \& 0.50 \& <br>
\hline 401035 \& 1.32 \& 6.11 \& \& \& \& \& 240/38 \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{210/20} \& 23033 \& 220/40 \& 240/12 \& 225 \& 39 \& 225 \& 33 <br>
\hline 35 to 30 \& 1.37 \& 7.48 \& 13 \& 22 \& 167 \& 24 \& H-3 40 \& H-3 \& 30 \& 0.25 \& H+9 40 \& H+8 30 \& 0.25 \& \& 0.75 \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{22} <br>
\hline Js to งo \& \& \& \& \& \& \& 250/40 \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{220/20} \& 23025 \& 225/45 \& 240/12 \& 235 \& 20 \& 230 \& <br>
\hline 30 to 25 \& 1.42 \& 8.90 \& 13 \& 40 \& 167 \& 47 \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{220/20} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{200/12}} \& 0.5 \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{240/12} \& H+9 25 \& 0.5 \& \& 0.75 \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{12} <br>
\hline 30.26 \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& 21016 \& \& 235/10 \& 237 \& 11 \& 230 \& <br>
\hline 25 to 20 \& 1.46 \& 10.36 \& 13 \& 50 \& 168 \& 01 \& - \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{-} \& - \& $H+9$

$235 / 10$ \& $\mathrm{H}+9 \mathrm{~g}$
$\mathbf{0 7 0 / 1 8}$ \& 0.75 \& \& 1.0 \& 12 <br>
\hline \& \& \& 14 \& 07 \& 168 \& 05 \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{-} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{-}} \& - \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{$H+9$
$295 / 10$} \& $\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{g} \quad 16$ \& 0.25 \& \& 1.0 \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{15} <br>
\hline 20 to 15 \& 1.51 \& 11.87 \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& - \& \& 070/16 \& 100 \& 15 \& 100 \& <br>
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{15 to 10} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{1.54} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{19.41} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{14} \& 12 \& 167 \& 42 \& H+9 16 \& H+9 \& 10 \& 0.5 \& H+21 16 \& $\mathrm{H}+2110$ \& 0.5 \& \& 0.25 \& <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& 070/15 \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{080/12} \& 07513 \& 110/17 \& 090/16 \& 100 \& 16 \& 080 \& 14 <br>

\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{10 to 5} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{1.58} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{14.99} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{14} \& 07 \& 167 \& 21 \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{090/12} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{-}} \& 1.0 \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{$$
\begin{array}{cc}
H+21 \quad 10 \\
090 / 16
\end{array}
$$} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{-} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{1.0

090} \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{16} \& 0.25 \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{13} <br>
\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& 09012 \& \& \& \& \& 090 \& <br>

\hline 5 to 0 \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{1.62} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{16.61} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{14} \& 07 \& 167 \& 01 \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{090/12} \& \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{二}} \& 1.0 \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{$$
090 / 16
$$} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{-} \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{} \& \& 0.25 \& \multirow[b]{2}{*}{13} <br>

\hline \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& 09012 \& \& \& \& 16 \& 090 \& <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

TABLE B. 29 CONTINUED

| Altitude Increment | Time Through | Cumu- <br> lative <br> Time | Latitude Longitude$\frac{\text { of Paricle }}{\text { (fiom Plot) }}$Surface Zero |  |  |  | Interpolation for Time-Space Variation of Winds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Chart 1 <br> Time Alt. |  | Chart 2 <br> Time Alt. |  | Interpolated <br> Value |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Alt. } \end{aligned}$ | Chart 4 <br> Time Alt. |  | Inter late Valu |  | Final Value Wind Velocity |  |
| $10^{2} \mathrm{ft}$ | hrs | hrs | deg | $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{n}$ | deg | min | hrs | $10^{1} \mathrm{ft}$ | hrs | $10^{5} \mathrm{f}$ |  |  | hrs | $10^{3} \mathrm{n}$ | hrs | $10^{2} \mathrm{n}$ |  |  | deg | knots |
| Shot Zun |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Particle size, 100 microns |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Originating altitude, $\mathbf{6 0 , 0 0 0}$ feet |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| From |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60 to 55 |  | 0.64 | 11 | 30 | 165 | 22 |  |  |  |  | measu | ured | Blkın! | winde |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 56 to 50 |  | 1.29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ue | measu | ured | Blkini | winds |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50 to 45 |  | 1.97 | 11 | 47 | 165 | 33 | H-3 | 50 | H-3 | 40 | 0.75 |  | H+9 | 50 | H+9 | 40 | 0.75 |  | 0.25 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 250/33 |  | 240/35 |  | 245 | 33 | 235/30 |  | 210/40 |  | 230 | 32 | 240 | 33 |
| 46 to 40 |  | 2.68 | 11 | 58 | 165 | 51 | H-3 | 50 | H-3 | 40 | 0.25 |  | H+9 | 50 | H+9 | 40 | $\begin{array}{r} 0.25 \\ 215 \end{array}$ |  | 0.25 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 250/33 |  | 240/35 |  | 240 | 34 | 235/30 |  | 210/40 |  |  | 38 | 235 | 35 |
| 40 to 35 |  | 3.42 | 12 | 12 | 166 | 12 | H-3 | 40 | H-3 | 30 | 0.75 |  | H+9 | 40 | H+9 | 30 | 0.7522033 |  | 0.50 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 250/36 |  | 205/21 |  | 240 | 30 | 215/40 |  | 230/11 |  |  |  | 230 | 32 |
| 35 to 30 |  | 4.20 | 12 | 27 | 116, | 30 | H-3 | 40 | H-3 | 30 | 0.25 |  | H+9 | 40 | H+9 | 30 | $0.25$ |  | 0.50 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 250/38 |  | 215/20 |  | 225 | 25 | 220/40 |  | 240/12 |  | $235$ | 20 | 230 | 22 |
| 30 to 25 |  | 4.99 | 12 | 38 | 166 | 42 | $\begin{array}{rr}\text { H-3 } & 30 \\ 215 / 20\end{array}$ |  | H-3 | 25 | 0.5 |  | H+9 | 30 | H+9 | 25 | 0.5 |  | 0.5 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 215/20 |  | 215 | 20 | 240/12 |  | 235/12 |  | 235 | 12 | 225 | 16 |
| 25 to 20 |  | 5.81 | 12 | 48 | 166 | 50 | H-3 | 25 | H-3 | 16 | 0.75 |  | H+9 | 25 | H+9 | 16 | 0.75 |  | 0.5 |  |
|  |  | 190/14 |  |  |  |  | 120/05 |  | 135 | 12 | 225/06 |  | 080/16 |  | 195 | 08 | 165 | 16 |
| 20 to 15 |  |  | 6.66 | 12 | 55 | 166 | 49 | H-3190/14 |  | H-3 | 16 | 0.25 |  | H+9 | 25 | H+9 | 16 | 0.25 |  | 0.75 |  |
|  |  | 120/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 135 | 07 | 225/06 |  | 080/16 |  | 11514 |  | 120 | 13 |
| 15 to 10 |  | 7.65 |  | 13 | 00 | 166 | 39 | H-3 | 16 | H-3 | 10 | 0.5 |  | H+9 | 16 | H+9 | 10 | 0.5 |  | 0.75 |  |
|  |  | 120/05 | 090/20 |  |  |  |  | 105 | 12 | 080/16 |  | 090/15 |  | 08515 |  | 090 | 14 |
| 10 to 5 |  |  | 8.48 |  | 13 | 00 | 166 | 27 | $\mathrm{H}-3$ | 10 | - |  | 1 |  | H+9 |  | - |  | 1 |  | $0.75$ | 16 |
|  |  | 090/20 |  | 090 |  |  |  |  | 20 | 090/15 |  | 09015 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | H-3 |  |  |  | 10 | - |  |  |  | 1 |  | H+9 | 10 | - |  | 1 |  | 0.75 |  |
| 5 to 0 |  | 9.45 |  | 13 | 00 | 166 | 12 | 090/20 |  | - |  | 090 | 20 | 090/15 |  | 09015 |  | 090 | 16 |  |  |

Table b. 29 CONTINUED
I. VERTICAL motions and wind speed and dlaection

| Alt. Increment | Lati- Longl- <br> tude tude <br> of Particle <br> (from Plot) <br> Ground $2 \in \mathrm{no}$ | TSD | Interpoiation for Determining Vertical Motion |  |  |  |  | Interpolation for Time-Space Variation of Winde |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { Chart 1 }}{\text { Time: hra }} \frac{\text { Chart } 2}{\text { Alt: } 10^{2} \text { t }} \end{aligned}$ | Interpo- <br> lated <br> Value | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { Chart } 3}{\text { Time: hre }} \text { Chart 4 } \\ & \text { Alt: } 10^{2} \mathrm{ft} \end{aligned}$ | Interpo- <br> lated <br> Value | Final <br> Value | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chart 1 } \\ & \text { Time: } \frac{\text { Chart 2 }}{\text { Alt: } 10^{2} \text { ft }} \\ & \text { at } \end{aligned}$ | Interpolated Value | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chart 3 } \frac{\text { Chart 4 }}{\text { Time: hrs }} \\ & \text { Alt: } 10^{2} \text { ft } \end{aligned}$ | Interpotated Value | Final <br> Value <br> Wind <br> Velucity |
| h | deg min deg min | hrs | cm/sec | cm/sec | cm/mec | cm/sec | $\mathrm{cm} / \mathrm{sec}$ | cm/aec | cm/sec | cm/sec | cm/8ec | deg kls |

Shot Zuni
Parucle size, 75 microna
Originating altitude, 60,000 feot


| H+3 |  | - | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -7 |  | - | -7 |
| H+3 | 50 | - | 1 |
| -1 |  | - | -7 |
| H+3 | 50 | H+3 40 | 0.75 |
| -5 |  | -2.5 | -4.3 |
| H+3 | 50 | H+3 40 | 0.25 |
| -2 |  | $\pm 0$ | 0 |
| H+3 | 30 | - | 1 |
| +2 |  | - | +2 |
| H+3 | 30 | - | 1 |
| + 6 |  | - | +6 |
| H+9 | 30 | H+9 20 | 0.75 |
| -13 |  | -3 | -10 |
| H+9 | 30 | H+9 20 | 0.25 |
| -13 |  | -3 | -5 |
| H+9 | 10 | - | 1 |
| -3 |  | - | -3 |
| H+9 | 10 | - | 1 |
| -3 |  | - | -3 |
| H+15 |  | H+15 2 | 0.75 |
| -7 |  | -15 | -9 |
| H+16 | 2 | - | 1 |
| -15 |  | - | -15 |

0.5
-19.5
0.5
-20
0.60
-16.3
0.50
-10
0.75
$\pm 0$
0.25
+6
0.50
$\pm 0$
0.60
+3
0.75
-3
-
-3
0.50
-6
0.5
-7

| Use measured Bikinl winds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Une measured Bikini winds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H-3 60 | H-3 40 | 0.75 |  | H+e 50 | H+9 40 | 0.75 |  | 0.25 |  |
| 240/35 | 230/37 | 237 | 35 | 230/30 | 210/40 | 225 | 32 | 234 | 34 |
| H-3 50 | H-3 40 | 0.25 |  | H+9 50 | H+9 40 | 0.25 |  | 0.25 |  |
| 250/34 | 240/37 | 242 | 39 | 240/91 | 215/42 | 220 | 39 | 235 | 39 |
| H-3 40 | H-3 30 | 0.75 |  | H+9 90 | H+9 30 | 0.75 |  | 0.5 |  |
| 245/36 | 210/20 | 235 | 32 | 220/45 | 240/12 | 225 | 39 | 230 | 35 |
| H-3 40 | H-3 30 | 0.25 |  | H+9 40 | $\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{8} 30$ | 0.25 |  | 0.5 |  |
| 250/38 | 210/20 | 220 | 25 | 220/45 | 235/12 | 230 | 20 | 225 | 22 |
| H-3 30 | H-3 25 | 0.5 |  | H+9 30 | H+9 25 | 0.5 |  | 0.75 |  |
| 210/18 | 200/12 | 205 | 16 | 235/13 | 240/10 | 237 | 11 | 230 | 11 |
| H-3 25 | H-3 16 | 0.75 |  | H+9 25 | H+9 16 | 0.75 |  | 0.75 |  |
| 200/12 | 120/5 | 180 | 10 | 240/10 | 075/17 | 185 | 12 | 185 | 12 |
| H+9 25 | - | 1 |  | H+9 16 | - | 1 |  | 0.25 |  |
| 240/10 | - | 240 | 10 | 075/17 | - | 075 | 17 | 115 | 15 |
| H+9 16 | - | 1 |  | H+9 10 | - | 1 |  | 0.5 |  |
| 075/17 | - | 075 | 17 | 085/12 | - | 085 | 12 | 080 | 14 |
| H+9 10 | - | 1 |  | H+21 10 | - | 1 |  | 0.25 |  |
| 085/12 | - | 085 | 12 | 090/17 | - | 090 | 17 | 085 | 13 |
| H+y 10 | - | 1 |  | H+21 10 | $\cdots$ | 1 |  | 0.25 |  |
| 085/12 | - | 085 | 12 | 090/17 | - | 090 | 17 | 085 | 13 |

table b. 29 continued


## hot Zunl

Particle aize, 100 microns
Originating allitude, 60,000 leet


| H+3 | 50 | - | 1 | 0.5 |  | Use measured Bikinl winds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -7 |  | - | -7 | -19.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H+3 | 50 | - | 1 | 0.5 |  | Une measured Bikini winds |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -7 |  | - | -7 | -19.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H+3 | 50 | H+3 40 | 0.75 | 0.50 | H-3 50 | H-3 40 | 0.75 |  | H+9 50 | H + 9 40 | 0.75 |  | 0.25 |  |
| -6 |  | -3 | -5 | -17.0 | 240/32 | 240/35 | 240 | 33 | 235/30 | 210/40 | 230 | 33 | 237 | 33 |
| H+3 | 50 | H+3 40 | 0.25 | 0.50 | H-3 50 | H-3 40 | 0.25 |  | H+9 50 | H+9 40 | 0.25 |  | 0.25 |  |
| -3 |  | -2 | -2 | -12.0 | 240/32 | 240/35 | 240 | 34 | 235/30 | 210/40 | 215 | 37 | 235 | 35 |
| H+3 | 40 | H+3 30 | 0.75 | 0.50 | H-3 40 | H-3 30 | 0.75 |  | H+9 90 | H+9 30 | 0.75 |  | 0.25 |  |
| 0 |  | 0 | 0 | -1 | 240/35 | 210/21 | 235 | 31 | 210/40 | 220/12 | 212 | 33 | 230 | 3) |
| H+3 | 40 | H+3 $\mathbf{3 0}$ | 0.25 | 0.60 | H-3 40 | H-3 30 | 0.25 |  | H+9 40 | H+9 30 | 0.25 |  | 0.25 |  |
| +3 |  | +2 | + 2 | -3 | 240/35 | 210/20 | 220 | 24 | 210/40 | 240/10 | 230 | 17 | 222 | 22 |
| - |  | - | - | 1 | H-3 30 | H-3 25 | 0.5 |  | H+ ${ }^{\text {c }} 30$ | H+9 25 | 0.5 |  | 0.5 |  |
| - |  | - | - | +4 | 210/20 | 180/15 | 195 | 17 | 240/10 | 210/10 | 225 | 10 | 210 | 13 |
| - |  | - | - | 1 | H-3 25 | H-3 16 | 0.75 |  | H+9 25 | H+9 16 | 0.75 |  | 0.5 |  |
| - |  | - | - | + 6 | 180/15 | 120/5 | 165 | 13 | 210/10 | 080/15 | 150 | 11 | 160 | 12 |
| - |  | - | - | 1 | H-3 25 | H-3 16 | 0.25 |  | H+925 | H+9 16 | 0.25 |  | 0.50 |  |
| - |  | - | - | +6 | 180/15 | 120/5 | 135 | 7 | 210/10 | 080/15 | 120 | 14 | 125 | 12 |
| H+9 | 20 | $\mathrm{H+9} 10$ | 0.25 | 0.50 | H-3 16 | H-3 10 | 0.5 |  | H+9 16 | H+9 10 | 0.5 |  | 0.75 |  |
| -1 |  | -2 | -2 | +1 | 140/5 | 095/20 | 120 | 12 | 080/17 | 090/15 | 085 | 16 | 095 | 15 |
| H+9 | 10 | $\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{O} 2$ | 0.75 | 0.5 | H-3 10 | - | 1 |  | H+9 10 | - | 1 |  | 0.75 |  |
| -2 |  | +0.6 | 0 | +2 | 095/20 | - | 095 | 20 | 090/15 | - | 090 | 15 | 090 | 16 |
| H+9 | 2 | - | 1 | 0.5 | H-3 10 | - | 1 |  | H+9 10 | - | 1 |  | 0.75 |  |
| 0.6 |  | - | 0.6 | $0.3 \sim 0$ | 095/20 | - | 095 | 20 | 090/15 | - | 090 | 15 | 090 | 16 |

table b. 29 CONTINUED

|  | Lati- Longi- |  | Interpolation for Dotermining veritcal Mouons |  |  |  |  | Interpolation for Time-Space Vartation of Wimude |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alt. Increment | cude tude of Parucle (from Plot) Ground Zero | TSD | Chart 1 Chart 2 Time: hrt Alt: $10^{2} \mathrm{n}$ | Interpo- <br> lated <br> Value | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { Chart 3 }}{\text { Time: hra }} \text { Chart } 4 \\ & \text { Alt: } 10^{3} \mathrm{ft} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Interpo- } \\ & \text { leted } \\ & \text { Value } \end{aligned}$ | Final Value | Chart 1 $\frac{\text { Chart 2 }}{\text { Time: }} \begin{aligned} & \text { hrt } \\ & \text { Alt: } 10^{2} \mathrm{ft}\end{aligned}$ | Interpolated Value | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text { Chart 3 }}{\text { Time: }} \frac{\text { Chart 4 }}{\text { Alt: } 10^{2} \mathrm{ft}} \end{aligned}$ | Interpo- <br> lated <br> Value | Final <br> Value <br> Wind <br> Velocity |

Shot Zuni
Parucle aize, 200 microns
Originating allutude, 60,000 foot


TABLE B. 29 CONTINUED
U. SPACE VARIATION, tIME VARIATION, AND VERTICAL MOTIONS OF THE WIND FIELD

| Altitude <br> Increment | Time <br> Through | Corrected <br> Time <br> Through | Cumulative <br> Time | WInd <br> Velocity | Vertical <br> Motion | Remarks on <br> Vertioal <br> Motion | Correction <br> for Fall- <br> Ing Speod | Effective <br> Wind <br> Volocity |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10^{3} \mathrm{ft}$ | hi s | hrs | hrs | deg $\mathrm{knots} \mathrm{cm} / \mathrm{sec}$ | ft | pet | deg knots |  |

Shot Zuni
Particle size, 75 microns
Originating altitude, 60,000 feet
From

| 60 to 55 | 1.16 | 0.76 | 0.76 | 160 | 17 | -19.5 | \{50,000 | 53 | 160 | 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 55 to 50 | 1.16 | 0.75 | 1.51 | 240 | 25 | -20 | \{chart only | 54.6 | 240 | 16 |
| 50 to 45 | 1.21 | 0.83 | 2.34 | 234 | 34 | -16.3 |  | 46.6 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 234 | 23 |
| 45 to 40 | 1.26 | 0.97 | 3.31 | 235 | 39 | -10 |  | $30 \downarrow$ | 235 | 30 |
| 40 to 35 | 1.32 | 1.32 | 4.63 | 230 | 35 | $\pm 0$ |  | 0 | 230 | 35 |
| 35 to 30 | 1.37 | 1.71 | 6.34 | 225 | 22 | +6 |  | 20 1 | 225 | 27 |
| 30 to 25 | 1.42 | 1.42 | 7.76 | 230 | 11 | $\pm 0$ |  | 0 | 230 | 11 |
| 25 to 20 | 1.46 | 1.62 | 9.38 | 185 | 12 | + 3 |  | 104 | 185 | 13 |
| 20 to 15 | 1.51 | 1.36 | 10.74 | 115 | 15 | -3 |  | 11 | 115 | 13 |
| 15 to 10 | 1.54 | 1.39 | 12.13 | 080 | 14 | -3 | - | 11 | 080 | 13 |
| 10 to 5 | 1.58 | 1.29 | 13.42 | 085 | 13 | -6 |  | 22 | 085 | 11 |
| 5 to 0 | 1.62 | 1.27 | 14.69 | 085 | 13 | -7 |  | 27 | 085 | 10 |

Shot Zuni
Particle alze, 100 microns
Originating altitude, 60,000 feet

| From |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 60 to 55 | 0.64 | 0.49 |  | 0.49 | 160 | 17 | -19.5 | $\int 50,000$ | 30 | 1 | 160 | 13 |
| 55 to 50 | 0.65 | 0.50 | - | 0.99 | 240 | 25 | -19.5 | \{chart only | 30 | 1 | 240 | 19 |
| 50 to 45 | 0.68 | 0.53 |  | 1.52 | 237 | 33 | -17.0 |  | 27 | 1 | 237 | 26 |
| 45 to 40 | 0.71 | 0.59 |  | 2.11 | 235 | 35 | -12.0 |  | 20 | $\downarrow$ | 235 | 29 |
| 40 to 35 | 0.74 | 0.66 |  | 2.77 | 230 | 31 | -7 |  | 12 | $t$ | 230 | 28 |
| 35 to 30 | 0.78 | 0.74 |  | 3.51 | 222 | 22 | -3 |  | 5 | $\downarrow$ | 222 | 21 |
| 30 to 25 | 0.79 | 0.85 |  | 4.36 | 210 | 13 | +4 |  | 7 | 1 | 210 | 14 |
| 25 to 20 | 0.82 | 0.93 |  | 5.29 | 160 | 12. | + 6 |  | 12 | 1 | 160 | 14 |
| 20 to 15 | 0.85 | 0.97 |  | 6.26 | 125 | 12 | + 6 |  | 12 | 1 | 125 | 14 |
| 15 to 10 | 0.89 | 0.91 |  | 7.17 | 095 | 15 | +1 |  | 2 | 1 | 095 | 15 |
| 10 to 5 | 0.93 | 0.97 |  | 8.14 | 090 | 16 | +2 |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | 090 | 17 |
| 5 to 0 | 0.97 | 0.97 |  | 9.11 | 090 | 16 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 090 | 16 |

Shot Zuni
Particle size, 200 microns
Originating altutude, 60,000 feet

| From |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 60 to 55 | 0.21 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 160 | 17 | -20 | \{50,000 |  | 160 | 14 |
| 55 to 50 | 0.22 | 0.20 | 0.39 | 240 | 25 | -20 | \{charts only | 11 | 240 | 23 |
| 50 to 45 | 0.24 | 0.22 | 0.61 | 235 | 33 | -18 |  | 10 | 235 | 30 |
| 45 to 40 | 0.26 | 0.24 | 0.85 | 230 | 35 | -14 |  | 8.56 | 230 | 52 |
| 40 to 35 | 0.28 | 0.27 | 1.12 | 225 | 32 | -8 |  | 5 + | 225 | 30 |
| 35 to 30 | 0.30 | 0.29 | 1.41 | 205 | 20 | -4 |  |  | 205 | 19 |
| 30 to 25 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 1.73 | 180 | 15 | -1 |  | 1 ! | 180 | 15 |
| 25 to 20 | 0.34 | 0.34 | 2.07 | 145 | 12 | $\pm 0$ |  | 0 | 145 | 12 |
| 20 to 15 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 2.43 | 120 | 11 | +1 |  | 1 1 | 120 | 11 |
| 15 to 10 | 0.38 | 0.40 | 2.83 | 100 | 16 | $+6$ |  | 5.51 | 100 | 17 |
| 10 to 5 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 3.23 | 090 | 20 | $\pm 0$ |  | 0 | 090 | 20 |
| 5 to 0 | 0.42 | 0.41 | 3.64 | 090 | 20 | -3 |  | 31 | 090 | 19 |

TABIE b. 30 hadiochemical analysis of sumface sea water and yag-39 decay-tank samples

| Shut | Butle Number | Debignator | Time of Cullection | Location |  | Fibsion/ml | Fission/ $\mathrm{ft}^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Latitude N | Longitude E |  |  |
| Zuni | 80308035$825 \cdot 1$ |  | II + $/$ r | deg min | deg min |  |  |
|  |  | Y3-S-1B | 26.1 | 1300 | 16511 | $1.94 \times 10^{1}$ | $5.49 \times 10^{11}$ |
|  |  | Y3-T-1 H | 26.4 | - | - | $3.28 \times 10^{1}$ | $9.29 \times 10^{11}$ |
| Flathead |  | Y4-S-1B | 16.1 | 1225 | $165 \quad 26$ | $8.20 \times 10^{7}$ | $2.32 \times 10^{12}$ |
|  | 85.44 | Y3-S-1B | 13.8 | 1204 | 16526 | $3.85 \times 10^{8}$ | $1.09 \times 10^{11}$ |
|  | 8549 | Y3-T-1B | 14.1 | - | - | $3.26 \times 10^{7}$ | $9.32 \times 10^{11}$ |
| Navajo | 8052 | M-MS-5A | 43.0 | 1244.3 | 16240 | $4.72 \times 10^{6}$ | $1.34 \times 10^{11}$ |
|  | 8053 | M-MS-5B | 43.0 | 1244.3 | 16240 | $5.97 \times 10^{8}$ | $1.69 \times 10^{11}$ |
|  | 8241 | M-MS Sta. 10 | -39.6 | 1141 | 16511.5 | $2.88 \times 10^{6}$ | $8.16 \times 10^{10}$ |
|  | 8242 | M-MS Sta. 11 | 34.4 | 1134.5 | 16444.1 | $5.62 \times 10^{5}$ | $1.59 \times 10^{10}$ |
|  | 8581 | Y3-S-313 | 18.2 | 1159.5 | 16515.5 | $4.16 \times 10^{7}$ | $1.18 \times 10^{12}$ |
|  | 8585 | Y3-T-3B | 18.3 | - | - | $1.64 \times 10^{8}$ | $4.64 \times 10^{12}$ |
| Tewa | 8289 | Y4-S-2B-T | 18.0 | 1206.0 | 16500.5 | $9.97 \times 10^{8}$ | $2.82 \times 10^{13}$ |
|  | 8326 | Y3-S-1B-T | 11.0 | 1200.5 | 16518 | $6.84 \times 10^{8}$ | $1.94 \times 10^{13}$ |
|  | 8350 | Y3-T-1B-T | 52.0 | - | 18 | $1.15 \times 10^{10}$ | $3.26 \times 10^{14}$ |

[^3]TABLE B. 31 RAINFALL-COLLECTION RESULTS
Collections were made In the trays of the OCC' B and $\mathrm{AOC}_{1}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$ on the standard platiorm of the LST-611 (Station D, Figure A.1) while the ship was berthed at the San Francisco Naval Shipyard, Hunters Point (No.24). Simultaneously, collections were made in two rectangular arrays of 12 identical trays located at the end of the adjacent pler and in a flat unobstructed area on the ground about 2,200 feet northwest of the ship. Winds were measured continuously on the tops of two buildings in the area (Nos. 815 and 511) and accompanying rainfall measurements were made on one (No. 816); a few readings were made with a hand-held instrument on the pilot house of the ship. At regular intervals the contents of the trays were emptied directly into a container graduated in milliliters; all values for a given array were later averaged and standard devlations computed. Weighted-average wind velocities were calculated by averaging the separate wind measurements, assigning weights to the different intervals on the basis of the parallel ralnfall measurements, and averaging the resulting values.

|  | Rainfall Period |  |  | Welghted Average Wind Velocity |  | Rainfall catch $\mathrm{ml} / 2.60 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Plat | m A | (LST-611) | Non-Pl | form Array | LST Average | LST Maximum |
|  | rom |  | To |  |  | Degrees | Knots | Min | Max | Average | Ground Average | Pler Average | Ground Average | Ground Average |
| 3/29 | 0130 | 3/29 | 0315 | 200 | 2 | 450 | 520 | 483 $=50$ | 499 $=25$ | $470 \pm 10$ | $0.968 \pm 0.111$ | $1.042 \pm 0.052$ |
| 4/13 | 1820 | 4/15 | 0800 | 210 | 26 | 397 | 910 | $551 \pm 40$ | * | $1,418 \pm 242$ | $0.389 \pm 0.072 \ddagger$ | $0.642 \pm 0.110 \ddagger$ |
| 4/16 | 1400 | 4/16 | 1900 | 170 | 13 | 150 | 385 | $252 \pm 154$ | $634 \pm 60$ | $505 \pm 116$ | $0.397 \pm 0.246$ | $0.607 \pm 0.057$ |
| 4/17 | 1250 | $4 / 17$ | 1400 | 220 | 15 | 525 | 720 | $691 \pm 188$ | $345 \dagger$ | $922 \pm 131$ | $0.641 \pm 0.223 \ddagger$ | $0.781 \pm 0.111 \downarrow$ |
| 4/17 | 1830 | 4/17 | 2130 | 160 | 11 | 1,740 | 2,540 | $2,020 \pm 520$ | $242 \pm 145$ | 2,684 $\pm 145$ | $0.837 \pm 0.221$ | $1.053 \pm 0.063$ |
| 5/1 | 2300 | 5/2 | 0130 | 200 | 11 | 500 | 760 | $617 \pm 264$ | $852 \pm 143$ | $813 \pm 120$ | $0.724 \pm 0.333$ | $0.892 \pm 0.150$ |
| 5/8 | 0205 | 5/8 | 0335 | 180 | 9 | 540 | 805 | $620 \pm 255$ | $759 \pm 105$ | $807 \pm 84$ | $0.817 \pm 0.354$ | $0.998 \pm 0.138$ |
| 5/8 | 1900 | 5/9 | 0030 | 190 | 9 | 150 | 410 | $263 \pm 278$ | $525 \pm 87$ | $378 \pm 68$ | $0.501 \pm 0.536$ | $1.085 \pm 0.180$ |
| 5/9 | 0930 | 5/9 | 1130 | 180 | 8 | 65 | 240 | $145 \pm 143$ | $744 \pm 167$ | $208 \pm 107$ | $0.697 \pm 0.7751$ | $1.154 \pm 0.594!$ |
| 5/11 | 1000 | 5/13 | 0700 | 180 | 5 | 110 | 375 | $220 \pm 201$ | $355 \pm 315$ | $248 \pm 98$ | $0.620 \pm 0.790$ | $1.056 \pm 0.937$ |
| 5/14 | 0300 | 5/14 | 0920 | 260 | 5 | 235 | 295 | $254 \pm 46$ | $296 \pm 55$ | 283 $=55$ | $0.858 \pm 0.223$ | $0.997 \pm 0.185$ |
| 5/14 | 1030 | 5/14 | 1100 | 270 | 4 | 235 | 320 | 262 $\pm 53$ | $200 \pm 14$ | $283 \pm 68$ | $1.310 \pm 0.280$ | $1.600 \pm 0.112$ |
| 5/20 | 0930 | 5/20 | 2000 | 145 to 010 | 10 | 1,970 | 2,900 | 2,307 $\pm 919$ | $4,220 \pm 381$ | 3,752 $\pm 358$ | $0.547 \pm 0.223$ | $0.687 \pm 0.062$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - Mean | $0.716 \pm 0.402$ | $0.969 \pm 0.327$ |

[^4]$\dagger$ Missed beginning of ralnfall.
$\ddagger$ Pler value used for ground average.
B. 4 UNREDUCED DATA

TABLE B. 32 ACTIVITIES OF WATER SAMPLES

| Type | Number | Location |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Collection } \\ \text { Time } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Dlp counts $/ 2,000 \mathrm{ml}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | North Latitude |  | East Longitude |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Deg | Min | Deg | Min | H +hr | Net cou | in at H |
| Shot Cherokee, YAG 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surface | 8081 | 12 | 38 | 164 | 23.5 | 17.65 | 66 | 98.8 |
| Surface | 8082 | 12 | 38 | 164 | 23.5 | 17.65 | 66 | 96.8 |
| Surface | 8083 | 12 | 38 | 164 | 23.5 | 17.65 | 54 | 97.8 |
| Sea Background | 8078 | 12 | 43 | 164 | 39 | 2.65 | 5 | 99.3 |
| Sea Background | 8079 | 12 | 43 | 164 | 39 | 4.65 | 0 | 93.8 |
| Sea Background | 8080 | 12 | 43 | 164 | 39 | 4.65 | 6 | 97.4 |
| Shot Cherokee, YAG 39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surface | 8013 | 13 | 20 | 163 | 40 | 16.40 | 20 | 94.4 |
| Surface | 8014 | 13 | 20 | 163 | 40 | 16.40 | 15 | 94.6 |
| Surface | 8015 | 13 | 20 | 163 | 40 | 16.40 | 28 | 94.1 |
| Sea Background | 8010 | 13 | 20 | 163 | 40 | 3.98 | 1 | 94.9 |
| Sea Background | 8011 | 13 | 20 | 163 | 40 | 3.98 | 0 | 76.6 |
| Sea Background | 8012 | 13 | 20 | 163 | 40 | 3.98 | 8 | 96.9 |
| Tank | 8018 | 13 | 20 | 163 | 40 | 16.69 | 123 | 76.3 |
| Tank | 8019 | 13 | 20 | 163 | 40 | 16.69 | 120 | 99.3 |
| Tank | 8020 | 13 | 20 | 163 | 40 | 16.69 | 138 | 99.4 |
| Tank Background | 8007 | 13 | 20 | 163 | 40 | 3.90 | 9 | 99.6 |
| Tank Background | 8008 | 13 | 20 | 163 | 40 | 3.90 | 8 | 98.3 |
| Tank Background | 8009 | 13 | 20 | 163 | 40 | 3.98 | 3 | 98.9 |
| Shot Cherokee, DE 365 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surface | 8173 | 14 | 42 | 161 | 55.5 | 61.97 | 537 | 150.2 |
| Surface | 8174 | 14 | 42 | 161 | 55.5 | 61.97 | 737 | 150.1 |
| Shot Cherokee, DE 534 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surface | 8195 | 12 | 17 | 164 | 55 | 26.65 | 29 | 148.7 |
| Surface | 8196 | 12 | 11 | 165 | 00 | 28.48 | 39 | 148.8 |
| Surface | 8197 | 12 | 03 | 165 | 04 | 29.15 | 49 | 148.8 |
| Surface | 8198. | 11 | 59 | 165 | 06.5 | 29.38 | 43 | 149.0 |
| Surface | 8199 | 11 | 56 | 165 | 08 | 29.62 | 50 | 149.2 |
| Surface | 8200 | 11 | 53 | 165 | 10 | 29.85 | 41 | 149.3 |
| Surface | 8201 | 11 | 51 | 165 | 11 | 30.08 | 89 | 149.5 |
| Surface | 8202 | 11 | 48.5 | 165 | 12 | 30.28 | 108 | 150.3 |
| Surface | 8203 | 11 | 46 | 165 | 15 | 30.52 | 132 | 149.6 |
| Surface | 8204 | 11 | 43 | 165 | 15 | 30.75 | 226 | 149.7 |
| Shot Cherokee, Horizon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Depth 15 m | 8127 | 13 | 43.5 | 164 | 05 | 32.15 | 0 | 297.3 |
| Depth 30 m | 8128 | 13 | 43.5 | 164 | 05 | 32.15 | 0 | 292.5 |
| Depth 45 m | 8129 | 13 | 43.5 | 164 | 05 | 32.15 | 18 | 287.2 |
| Depth 60 m | 8130 | 13 | 43.5 | 164 | 05 | 32.15 | 1 | 287.0 |
| Depth 75 m | 8131 | 13 | 43.5 | 164 | 05 | 32.15 | 3 | 287.6 |
| Depth 85 m | 8132 | 13 | 43.5 | 164 | 05 | 32.15 | 0 | 287.8 |
| Depth 95 m | 8133 | 13 | 43.5 | 164 | 05 | 32.15 | 0 | 288.1 |
| Depth 100 m | 8134 | 13 | 43.5 | 164 | 05 | 32.15 | 6 | 291.8 |
| Depth 105 m | 8135 | 13 | 43.5 | 164 | 05 | 32.15 | 0 | 288.2 |
| Depth 115 m | 8136 | 13 | 43.5 | 164 | 05 | 32.15 | 0 | 288.3 |
| Surface | 8107 | 15 | 23 | 163 | 05 | 46.98 | 22 | 147.2 |
| Surface | 8108 | 13 | 23 | 163 | 44 | 27.15 | 23 | 147.3 |
| Surface | 8109 | 13 | 23 | 163 | 44 | 27.15 | 12 | 147.4 |
| Surface | 8110 | 13 | 43.5 | 164 | 05 | 31.90 | 8 | 147.5 |
| Surface | 8111 | 14 | 36 | 164 | 14 | 61.15 | 1 | 148.0 |
| Surface | 8112 | 14 | 10.5 | 164 | 43 | 16.15 | 22 | 147.7 |
| Surface | 8113 | 13 | 44.5 | 165 | 13 | 68.09 | 29 | 147.9 |
| Surface | 8114 | 15 | 07.5 | 165 | 39 | 55.40 | 7 | 148.1 |
| Surface | 8115 | 13 | 18 | 165 | 40 | 72.15 | 43 | 148.5 |
| Surface | 8116 | 12 | 32 | 165 | 56 | 76.15 | 17 | 148.6 |

TABLE B. 32 CONTINUED

| Type | Number | Lucation |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Collection } \\ & \text { Time } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Dip counts/ $2,000 \mathrm{ml}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | North | Latitude | East | Longitude |  |  |  |
|  |  | Deg | Min | Deg | Min | $\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{hr}$ | Net count | in at $\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{hr}$ |
| Shot Zuni, YAG ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surface | 8253 | 12 | 25 | 165 | 26 | 16.08 | 193.845 | 72.2 |
| Surface | 3254 | 12 | 25 | 165 | 26 | 16.08 | 248.266 | 72.5 |
| Surface | 8255 | 12 | 25 | 165 | 26 | 16.08 | 182,937 | 72.6 |
| Surface | 8258 | 12 | 22 | 165 | 27 | 17.08 | 153,510 | 149.8 |
| Surface | 8260 | 12 | 22 | 165 | 27 | 17.08 | 139,734 | 149.9 |
| Surface | 3259 | 12 | 22 | 165 | 27 | 17.08 | 136,300 | 150.1 |
| Sea Background | 8251 | 12 | 22 | 165 | 49 | 3.42 | 173 | 72.1 |
| Sea Background | 3252 | 12 | 22 | 165 | 49 | 3.42 | 5.997 | 72.1 |
| Shot Zuni, YAG 39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surface | 8029 | 13 | 00 | 165 | 11 | 26.08 | 4.949 | 147.8 |
| Surface | 8030 | 13 | 00 | 165 | 11 | 26.08 | 5,250 | 147.9 |
| Surface | 8031 | 13 | 00 | 165 | 11 | 26.08 | 5,825 | 147.9 |
| Sea Background | 8023 | 13 | 00 | 165 | 00 | 5.58 | 33 | 123.0 |
| Sea Background | 8024 | 13 | 00 | 165 | 00 | 5.58 | 0 | 147.3 |
| Sea Background | 8025 | 13 | 00 | 165 | 00 | 5.58 | 24 | 149.4 |
| Sea Background | 8026 | 13 | 00 | 165 | 00 | 5.58 | 8 | 149.6 |
| Tank | 8034 | 13 | 00 | 165 | 13 | 26.42 | 15.087 | 148.0 |
| Tank | 8035 | 13 | 00 | 165 | 13 | 26.42 | 21.732 | 148.2 |
| Tank | 8036 | 13 | 00 | 165 | 13 | 26.42 | 16,192 | 148.3 |
| Tank Background | 8027 | 13 | 00 | 165 | 00 | 5.33 | 17 | 147.5 |
| Tank Background | 8028 | 13 | 00 | 165 | 00 | 5.33 | 9 | 147.6 |
| Shot Zunt, DE, 365 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surface | 8301 | 11 | 27 | 165 | 08.2 | 7.08 | 313 | 240.2 |
| Surface | 8302 | 11 | 27 | 165 | 08.2 | 7.08 | 14 | 240.3 |
| Surface | 8303 | 11 | 45.1 | 165 | 08.2 | 10.92 | 3,870 | 240.4 |
| Surface | 8304 | 1 12 | 10 | 165 | 27.8 | 13.92 | 21,109 | 240.5 |
| Surface | 8305 | 12 | 13.8 | 165 | 53 | 18.33 | 3,311 | 240.5 |
| Surface | 8306 | 13 | 37 | 163 | 40.2 | 49.50 | 2.469 | 240.6 |
| Surface | 8307 | 13 | 37 | 163 | 40.2 | 49.50 | 2,710 | 241.5 |
| Surface | 8308 | 12 | 46.1 | 166 | 01.3 | 31.25 | 11,180 | 241.6 |
| Surface | 8309 | 12 | 52.7 | 165 | 45.2 | 67.08 | 4,965 | 241.7 |
| Surface | 8310 | 12 | 37.8 | 165 | 49.5 | 69.08 | 6,199 | 242.0 |
| Surface | 8313 | 12 | 33 | 164 | 40 | 77.25 | 11.409 | 242.3 |
| Surface | 8311 | 12 | 43.9 | 165 | 30.2 | 72.25 | 13.583 | 242.3 |
| Surface | 8314 | 12 | 33 | 164 | 40 | 77.25 | 11.503 | 242.3 |
| Surface | 8317 | 12 | 39.7 | 163 | 38 | 86.83 | 1,058 | 242.4 |
| Surface | 8312 | 12 | 33 | 165 | 09.4 | 74.58 | 36,688 | 242.5 |
| Surface | 8315 | 12 | 20 | 164 | 59.3 | 79.42 | 41,461 | 242.6 |
| Surface | 8318 | 12 | 10.3 | 164 | 50.8 | 80.67 | 885 | 242.6 |
| Shot Zuni, DE 534 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surface | 8261 | 11 | 59 | 165 | 04 | 11.42 | 18,660 | 213.8 |
| Surface | 8262 | 11 | 59 | 165 | 04 | 11.42 | 17,341 | 214.1 |
| Surface | 8263 | 11 | 40.3 | 165 | 35.2 | 6.92 | 229 | 214.3 |
| Surface | 8284 | 11 | 40.3 | 165 | 35.2 | 6.92 | 318 | 214.6 |
| Suriace | 8265 | 12 | 14.1 | 164 | 29 | 16.58 | 13,474 | 214.8 |
| Surface | 8286 | 12 | 14.1 | 164 | 29 | 16.58 | 12,533 | 215.0 |
| Surface | 8267 | 13 | 46 | 164 | 33 | 56.58 | 594 | 215.2 |
| Surface | 8268 | 13 | 46 | 164 | 33 | 56.58 | 8,856 | 215.3 |
| Surface | 8269 | 13 | 47 | 163 | 47 | 61.58 | 267 | 215.5 |
| Surface | 8270 | 12 | 44 | 165 | 59 | 90.33 | 10,043 | 215.8 |
| Shot Zuni, Horizon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Depth 2,000 | 8117 | 13 | 06.4 | 165 | 02 | 58.75 | 0 | 166.0 |
| Depth 1.500 | 8118 | 13 | 06.4 | 165 | 02 | 58.75 | 20 | 166.1 |
| Depth 1,000 | 8119 | 13 | 06.4 | 165 | 02 | 58.75 | 0 | 166.2 |
| Depth 750 | 8120 | 13 | 06.4 | 165 | 02 | 58.75 | 7 | 166.4 |
| Depth 500 | 8121 | 13 | 06.4 | 165 | 02 | 58.75 | 4 | 166.5 |
| Depth 250 | 8122 | 13 | 06.4 | 165 | 02 | 58.75 | 15 | 166.6 |
| Depth 150 | 8123 | 13 | 06.4 | 165 | 02 | 58.75 | 13 | 168.8 |
| Depth 125 | 8124 | 13 | 06.4 | 165 | 02 | 58.75 | 31 | 167.0 |
| Depth 90 | 8125 | 13 | 06.4 | 165 | 02 | 58.75 | 22 | 167.1 |
| Depth 110 | 8126 | 13 | 06.4 | 165 | 02 | 58.75 | 27 | 167.2 |

TABLE B. 32 CONTINUED

| Type |  | Number | Location |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Collection } \\ & \text { Time } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Dip counts $/ 2.000 \mathrm{ml}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | North | Latitude | East | Longitude |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Deg | Min | Deg | Min | $\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{hr}$ | Net count | min at $\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{hr}$ |
| Depth | 10 |  | 8137 | 13 | 00 | 165 | 12 | 32.58 | $2.58 \times 10^{1}$ | 167.3 |
| Depth | 250 | 8146 | 13 | 00 | 165 | 12 | 32.58 | 27 | 167.2 |
| Depth | 75 | 8138 | 13 | 00 | 165 | 12 | 32.58 | $2.31 \times 10^{1}$ | 167.4 |
| Depth | 30 | 8139 | 13 | 00 | 165 | 12 | 32.58 | $3.35 \times 10^{2}$ | 167.5 |
| Depth | 50 | 8140 | 13 | 00 | 165 | 12 | 32.58 | $2.42 \times 10^{3}$ | 167.6 |
| Depth | 90 | 8141 | 13 | 00 | 165 | 12 | 32.58 | $1.62 \times 10^{2}$ | 167.7 |
| Depth | 100 | 8142 | 13 | 00 | 165 | 12 | 32.58 | $1.80 \times 10^{2}$ | 168.1 |
| Depth | 125 | 8143 | 13 | 00 | 165 | 12 | 32.58 | 40 | 168.2 |
| Depth | 150 | 8144 | 13 | 00 | 165 | 12 | 32.58 | 25 | 168.4 |
| Depth | 200 | 8145 | 13 | 00 | 165 | 12 | 32.58 | 0 | 168.6 |
| Depth | 300 | 8147 | 13 | 00 | 165 | 12 | 32.58 | 93 | 194.0 |
| Depth | 350 | 8148 | 13 | 00 | 165 | 12 | 32.58 | 35 | 194.2 |
| Depth | 400 | 8149 | 13 | 00 | 165 | 12 | 32.58 | 53 | 194.3 |
| Depth | 450 | 8150 | 19 | 00 | 165 | 12 | 32.58 | 71 | 194.5 |
| Depth | 500 | 8151 | 13 | 00 | 165 | 12 | 32.58 | 73 | 194.6 |
| Depth | 70 | 8152 | 13 | 06.4 | 165 | 02 | 58.75 | $1.64 \times 10^{2}$ | 194.8 |
| Depth | 10 | 8153 | 13 | 06.4 | 165 | 02 | 58.75 | $1.64 \times 10^{2}$ | 195.0 |
| Depth | 50 | 8154 | 13 | 06.4 | 165 | 02 | 58.75 | $1.53 \times 10^{2}$ | 195.1 |
| Depth 3 | , 000 | 8375 | 13 | 08.5 | 164 | 59 | 64.08 | 55 | 195.2 |
| Depth 2 | ,500 | 8376 | 13 | 06.4 | 165 | 02 | 58.75 | 60 | 195.4 |
| Surface |  | 8363 | 13 | 00 | 165 | 12 | 32.58 | $2.08 \times 10^{2}$ | 243.7 |
| Surface |  | 8364 | 13 | 00 | 165 | 12 | 32.58 | $1.75 \times 10^{2}$ | 243.8 |
| Surface |  | 9365 | 13 | 04 | 165 | 12.5 | 37.08 | $2.05 \times 10^{3}$ | 243.9 |
| Surface |  | 8366 | 13 | 04.7 | 165 | 12.5 | 41.83 | $1.77 \times 10^{3}$ | 244.0 |
| Surface |  | 8367 | 13 | 00 | 165 | 12 | 26.08 | $2.54 \times 10^{3}$ | 244.1 |
| Surface |  | 8368 | 12 | 06.5 | 165 | 39 | 8.42 | 93 | 244.2 |
| Surface |  | 8377 | 13 | 06.5 | 165 | 02 | 58.75 | $1.11 \times 10^{2}$ | 244.4 |
| Surface |  | 8378 | 13 | 08.5 | 165 | 02 | 58.75 | $1.04 \times 10^{3}$ | 244.5 |
| Surface |  | 8379 | 12 | 19 | 165 | 17 | 19.08 | $5.12 \times 10^{4}$ | 244.5 |
| Surface |  | 8380 | 13 | 06 | 165 | 04.5 | 53.08 | $1.78 \times 10^{2}$ | 244.6 |
| Surface |  | 8388 | 13 | 09 | 165 | 58.5 | 68.08 | $1.01 \times 10^{2}$ | 262.1 |
| Surface |  | 8389 | 13 | 11.5 | 165 | 55 | 72.33 | $9.90 \times 10^{2}$ | 262.2 |
| Surface |  | 8390 | 13 | 12.5 | 164 | 56 | 80.33 | $9.38 \times 10^{2}$ | 262.4 |
| Surface |  | 8391 | 13 | 11 | 165 | 55 | 76.08 | $1.06 \times 10^{3}$ | 262.6 |
| Surface |  | 8392 | 13 | 13 | 164 | 52 | 84.58 | $9.85 \times 10^{2}$ | 262.7 |
| Shot Flathead, YAG 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surface |  | 8092 | 12 | 29 | 165 | 45 | 18.5 | 12,332 | 170.0 |
| Surface |  | 8093 | 12 | 29 | 185 | 45 | 18.5 | 9.286 | 170.5 |
| Surface |  | 8097 | 12 | 45.5 | 165 | 01 | 25.1 | 6,186 | 170.3 |
| Surface |  | 8104 | 12 | 41 | 166 | 05 | 26.9 | 3.670 | 170.2 |
| Surface |  | 8103 | 12 | 41 | 166 | 05 | 26.9 | 7.681 | 170.3 |
| Surface |  | 8102 | 12 | 41 | 166 | 05 | 26.9 | 4,856 | 170.4 |
| Surface |  | 8095 | 12 | 29 | 165 | 45 | 18.5 | 7,906 | 170.4 |
| Surface |  | 8094 | 12 | 29 | 165 | 45 | 18.5 | 7,694 | 170.6 |
| Surface |  | 8098 | 12 | 08 | 165 | 28 | 18.8 | 19,401 | 189.4 |
| Surface |  | 8099 | 12 | 08 | 165 | 28 | 18.8 | 24,122 | 189.4 |
| Sea Bac | kground | 8088 | 12 | 45.5 | 166 | 01 | 6.63 | 8,087 | 170.0 |
| Sea Bac | kground | 8089 | 12 | 29.8 | 165 | 22.2 | 6.63 | 7.266 | 170.1 |
| Sea Bac | kground | 8090 | 12 | 19 | 165 | 20.5 | 7.65 | 7.944 | 172.5 |
| Sea Bac | kground | 8091 | 12 | 19 | 165 | 20.5 | 7.65 | 1.953 | 172.5 |
| Shot Flathead, YAG 39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surface |  | 8543 | 12 | 04 | 165 | 26 | 13.8 | 12,890 | 73.5 |
| Surface |  | 8545 | 12 | 04 | 165 | 26 | 13.8 | 8,442 | 73.6 |
| Surface |  | 8553 | 12 | 08 | 165 | 28 | 18.8 | 2.491 | 172.6 |
| Surface |  | 8555 | 12 | 08 | 165 | 28 | 18.8 | 3,744 | 189.3 |
| Surface |  | 8544 | 12 | 04 | 165 | 26 | 13.8 | 9,205 | 73.5 |
| Surface |  | 8554 | 12 | 08 | 165 | 28 | 18.8 | 3,008 | 189.2 |

TABLE B. 32 CONTINUED

| Type | Number: | Location |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Collection } \\ \text { Time } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Dip counts/ $\mathbf{2 , 0 0 0} \mathrm{ml}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | North | Latitude | East | Longitude |  |  |  |
|  |  | Deg | Min | Deg | Min | H+hr | Net count | min at $\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{hr}$ |
| Sea Background | 3539 | 12 | 01 | 165 | 67 | -0.68 | 125 | 71.9 |
| Sea Background | 3540 | 12 | 01 | 165 | 07 | -0.68 | 637 | 72.2 |
| Sea Background | 3541 | 12 | 05 | 165 | 15 | 2.07 | 438 | 72.3 |
| Sea Background | 3542 | 12 | 05 | 165 | 15 | 2.07 | 424 | 72.4 |
| Tank | 3548 | 12 | 04 | 165 | 28 | 14.1 | 209,567 | 73.7 |
| Tank | 3550 | 12 | 04 | 165 | 26 | 14.1 | 91,374 | 73.9 |
| Tank | 3549 | 12 | 04 | 165 | 26 | 14.1 | 113,379 | 73.8 |
| Tank | 3558 | 12 | 08 | 165 | 28 | 19.2 | 30,555 | 189.6 |
| Tank | 3559 | 12 | 08 | 165 | 28 | 19.2 | 30.537 | 189.6 |
| Tank | 9560 | 12 | 08 | 165 | 28 | 19.2 | 41,859 | 189.7 |
| Tank Background | 8537 | 12 | 01 | 165 | 07 | -0.93 | 556 | 72.5 |
| Tank Background | 3538 | 12 | 01 | 165 | 07 | -0.93 | 572 | 72.6 |
| Shot Flathead, DE 365 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surface | 8400 | 13 | 17 | 165 | 05.3 | 52.3 | 2,605 | 214.8 |
| Surface | 8399 | 13 | 17 | 165 | 05.3 | 52.3 | 2,169 | 214.9 |
| Surface | 8401 | 13 | 47.8 | 164 | 21.5 | 60.1 | 2,764 | 215.0 |
| Surface | 8394 | 11 | 30.5 | 164 | 53.8 | 11.1 | 1,173 | 215.1 |
| Surface | 8390 | 12 | 44.0 | 165 | 31.2 | 34.6 | 6,145 | 215.7 |
| Surface | 8397 | 13 | 10.3 | 166 | 09.1 | 42.6 | 2,165 | 215.8 |
| Surface | 8398 | 13 | 21.2 | 165 | 38.9 | 48.1 | 1,846 | 215.9 |
| Surface | 8393 | 11 | 30.5 | 164 | 53.8 | 11.1 | 1,328 | 215.9 |
| Surface | 8395 | 12 | 30.0 | 165 | 14.2 | 29.9 | 6,649 | 216.0 |
| Shot Flathead, DE 534 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surtace | 8436 | 11 | 36 | 165 | 11 | 16.7 | 4,891 | 194.3 |
| Surface | 8435 | 11 | 36 | 165 | 11 | 16.7 | 4,972 | 194.3 |
| Surface | 8439 | 11 | 51 | 165 | 20 | 35.6 | 19.491 | 194.4 |
| Surface | 8440 | 11 | 53 | 164 | 56 | 38.1 | 11,651 | 194.5 |
| Surface | 8442 | 11 | 45.1 | 165 | 03.8 | 47.8 | 10,761 | 194.5 |
| Surface | 8443 | 12 | 42 | 163 | 29 | 51.1 | 1,017 | 194.6 |
| Surface | 8441 | 11 | 45.1 | 165 | 03.8 | 47.8 | 10,025 | 194.7 |
| Surface | 8437 | 11 | 52 | 165 | 23 | 19.1 | 22,535 | 194.8 |
| Surface | 8438 | 11 | 52 | 165 | 19 | 31.7 | 15,277 | 194.9 |
| Shot Flathead, Horizon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Depth 251 | 8497 | 12 | 29.5 | 164 | 34 | 75.1 | $5.49 \times 10^{2}$ | 190.8 |
| Depth 150 | 9498 | 12 | 29.5 | 164 | 34 | 75.1 | $7.00 \times 10^{2}$ | 190.9 |
| Depth 501 | 8496 | 12 | 29.5 | 164 | 34 | 75.1 | $1.67 \times 10^{2}$ | 191.2 |
| Depth 128 | 8500 | 12 | 29.5 | 164 | 34 | 75.1 | $1.25 \times 10^{3}$ | 191.5 |
| Depth 105 | 8499 | 12 | 29.5 | 164 | 34 | 75.1 | $1.27 \times 10^{2}$ | 191.6 |
| Depth 351 | 8495 | 12 | 29.5 | 164 | 34 | 75.1 | $4.76 \times 10^{2}$ | 191.9 |
| Depth 25 | 8503 | 12 | 09.2 | 165 | 31 | 29.6 | $3.64 \times 10^{2}$ | 192.5 |
| Depth 25 | 8504 | 12 | 07.2 | 164 | 50.5 | 53.1 | $3.48 \times 10^{2}$ | 193.4 |
| Depth 350 | 8505 | 12 | 09.2 | 165 | 31 | 29.6 | $3.27 \times 10^{2}$ | 193.5 |
| Depth 50 | 8506 | 12 | 07.2 | 164 | 50.5 | 53.1 | $4.05 \times 10^{3}$ | 193.6 |
| Depth 25 | 8524 | 12 | 22.5 | 164 | 34 | 75.1 | $6.38 \times 10^{2}$ | 196.3 |
| Depth 50 | 8522 | 12 | 22.5 | 164 | 34 | 75.1 | $3.82 \times 10^{2}$ | 196.5 |
| Depth 501 | 8520 | 12 | 07.2 | 164 | 50.5 | 53.1 | $1.07 \times 10^{2}$ | 196.6 |
| Depth 75 | 8523 | 12 | 22.5 | 164 | 34 | 75.1 | $1.13 \times 10^{2}$ | 213.5 |
| Depth 351 | 8519 | 12 | 07.2 | 164 | 50.5 | 53.1 | $2.02 \times 10^{2}$ | 213.6 |
| Depth 91 | 8521 | 12 | 22.5 | 164 | 34 | 75.1 | $3.91 \times 10^{2}$ | 213.7 |
| Depth 75 | 8514 | 12 | 07.2 | 164 | 50.5 | 53.1 | $1.03 \times 10^{2}$ | 213.9 |
| Depth 91 | 8513 | 12 | 07.2 | 164 | 50.5 | 53.1 | $1.02 \times 10^{2}$ | 214.0 |
| Depth 106 | 8515 | 12 | 07.2 | 164 | 50.5 | 53.1 | 95 | 214.1 |
| Depth 126 | 8516 | 12 | 07.2 | 164 | 50.5 | 53.1 | $1.16 \times 10^{2}$ | 214.3 |
| Depth 151 | 8517 | 12 | 07.2 | 164 | 50.5 | 53.1 | $8.38 \times 10^{2}$ | 214.3 |
| Depth 251 | 8518 | 12 | 07.2 | 164 | 50.5 | 53.1 | $1.98 \times 10^{2}$ | 214.6 |
| Depth 150 | 8501 | 12 | 09.2 | 165 | 31 | 29.6 | $2.58 \times 10^{2}$ | 217.5 |
| Depth 500 | 8502 | 12 | 09.2 | 165 | 31 | 29.6 | $2.40 \times 10^{2}$ | 217.6 |
| Depth 75 | 8507 | 12 | 09.2 | 165 | 31 | 29.6 | $9.31 \times 10^{2}$ | 217.7 |
| Depth 50 | 8509 | 12 | 09.2 | 165 | 31 | 29.6 | $4.80 \times 10^{2}$ | 239.9 |
| Depth 105 | 8510 | 12 | 09.2 | 165 | 31 | 29.6 | $8.56 \times 10^{2}$ | 240.0 |
| Depth 90 | 8512 | 12 | 09.2 | 165, | 31 | 29.6 | $1.55 \times 10^{2}$ | 240.2 |
| Depth 25 | 8511 | 12 | 09.2 | 165 | 31 | 29.6 | $3.80 \times 10^{2}$ | 240.4 |
| Depth 125 | 8508 | 12 | 09.2 | 165 | 31 | 29.6 | $1.47 \times 10^{2}$ | 240.5 |

TABLE B. 32 CONTINUED

| Type | Number | Lucation |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Collection } \\ & \text { Time } \end{aligned}$ | Dip counts $/ 2,000 \mathrm{ml}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Nort | Latitude | East | Longitude |  |  |  |
|  |  | Deg | Min | Deg | Min | $\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{hr}$ | Net counts | min at $\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{hr}$ |
| Surface | 8485 | 12 | 29 | 164 | 00 | 70.1 | $1.92 \times 10^{2}$ | 190.1 |
| Surface | 8486 | 12 | 22.5 | 164 | 34 | 98.9 | $4.12 \times 10^{2}$ | 190.3 |
| Surface | 8487 | 12 | 24 | 164 | 32 | 80.1 | $4.25 \times 10^{2}$ | 190.5 |
| Surface | 8488 | 12 | 24 | 164 | 32 | 80.1 | $4.70 \times 10^{2}$ | 190.6 |
| Surface | 8477 | 12 | 10 | 165 | 31 | 29.6 | $1.29 \times 10^{2}$ | 192.0 |
| Surface | 8478 | 12 | 07 | 164 | 52.3 | 50.6 | $5.65 \times 10^{2}$ | 192.1 |
| Surface | 8481 | 11 | 30 | 165 | 11.3 | 17.6 | $1.16 \times 10^{6}$ | 192.2 |
| Surface | 8480 | 12 | 07 | 164 | 51 | 46.1 | $1.48 \times 10^{4}$ | 192.2 |
| Surface | 8482 | 12 | 10.2 | 165 | 31 | 16.6 | $4.12 \times 10^{8}$ | 192.4 |
| Surface | 8492 | 12 | 14 | 165 | 27.2 | 101.6 | $3.90 \times 10^{8}$ | 214.7 |
| Surface | 8493 | 12 | 36.5 | 165 | 23 | 100.6 | $6.91 \times 10^{1}$ | 214.9 |
| Surface | 8483 | 12 | 06 | 163 | 52 | 42.6 | $9.26 \times 10^{2}$ | 216.4 |
| Surface | 8484 | 12 | 07.4 | 164 | 48.6 | 56.8 | $1.93 \times 10^{3}$ | 217.4 |
| Surface | 8479 | 12 | 10 | 165 | 31.3 | 29.6 | $1.69 \times 10^{3}$ | 193.7 |
| Shot Navajo, Yag 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surface | 8276 | 12 | 07 | 164 | 57.5 | 16.9 | 15,198 | 94.8 |
| Surface | 8277 | 12 | 07 | 164 | 57.5 | 16.9 | 15,615 | 94.9 |
| Surface | 8278 | 12 | 07 | 164 | 57.5 | 16.9 | 15,823 | 95.0 |
| Sea Background | 8272 | 12 | 10.5 | 165 | 03.5 | 1.3 | 2.136 | 76.5 |
| Sea Background | 8273 | 12 | 10.5 | 165 | 03.5 | 1.3 | 2,161 | 76.6 |
| Sea Background | 8274 | 12 | 11 | 165 | 05 | 1.8 | 399 | 94.7 |
| Shot Navajo, YAG 39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surface | 8580 | 11 | 59.5 | 165 | 15.5 | 18.2 | 81,925 | 75.5 |
| Surface | 8581 | 11 | 59.5 | 165 | 15.5 | 18.2 | 80,837 | 75.7 |
| Surface | 8582 | 11 | 59.5 | 165 | 15.5 | 18.2 | 79,545 | 75.8 |
| Surface | 8567 | 11 | 59 | 165 | 19 | 10.3 | 109,820 | 75.9 |
| Surface | 8565 | 11 | 59 | 165 | 19 | 10.3 | 111,223 | 95.5 |
| Surface | 8566 | 11 | 59 | 165 | 19 | 10.3 | 141,359 | 95.5 |
| Surface | 8580 | 11 | 59.5 | 165 | 15.5 | 18.2 | 60.389 | 95.6 |
| Surface | 8595 | 11 | 56 | 165 | 13 | 35.9 | 13.329 | 191.0 |
| Surface | 8596 | 11 | 56 | 165 | 15.5 | 35.9 | 14.291 | 191.5 |
| Surface | 8588 | 11 | 58 | 165 | 15 | 32.4 | 18,008 | 191.6 |
| Surface | 8601 | 12 | 00 | 165 | 15 | 39.9 | 12,324 | 191.7 |
| Surface | 8602 | 12 | 00 | 165 | 15 | 39.9 | 12.432 | 191.9 |
| Surface | 8573 | 11 | 59.5 | 165 | 15.5 | 17.6 | 27.877 | 192.0 |
| Surface | 8587 | 11 | 58 | 165 | 15 | 32.4 | 17,509 | 195.9 |
| Surface | 8589 | 11 | 58 | 165 | 15 | 32.4 | 16,594 | 196.0 |
| Surface | 8574 | 11 | 59.5 | 165 | 15.5 | 17.6 | 39,429 | 196.0 |
| Surface | 8575 | 11 | 59.5 | 165 | 15.5 | 17.6 | 24.722 | 196.1 |
| Surface | 8600 | 12 | 00 | 165 | 15 | 39.9 | 11.726 | 196.2 |
| Surface | 8594 | 11 | 56 | 165 | 15.5 | 39.5 | 14.714 | 190.9 |
| Sea Background | 8564 | 12 | 10 | 165 | 16 | 0.9 | 328 | 95.3 |
| Sea Background | 8563 | 12 | 10 | 165 | 16 | 0.9 | 224 | 95.2 |
| Tank | 8569 | 11 | 59 | 165 | 19 | 10.6 | 411,687 | 76.0 |
| Tank | 8570 | 11 | 59 | 165 | 19 | 10.6 | 423.655 | 76.0 |
| Tank | 8571 | 11 | 59 | 165 | 19 | 10.6 | 458.030 | 76.1 |
| Tank | 8583 | 11 | 59.5 | 165 | 15.5 | 18.3 | 448,969 | 76.2 |
| Tank | 8585 | 11 | 59.5 | 165 | 15.5 | 18.3 | 467,724 | 76.2 |
| Tank | 8586 | 11 | 59.5 | 165 | 15.5 | 18.3 | 451.791 | 76.3 |
| Tank | 8579 | 11 | 59.5 | 165 | 15.5 | 17.6 | 142,748 | 196.4 |
| Tank | 8599 | 11 | 56 | 165 | 15.5 | 36.0 | 126.273 | 192.2 |
| Tank | 8591 | 11 | 58 | 165 | 15 | 32.5 | 126,729 | 196.3 |
| Tank | 8592 | 11 | 58 | 165 | 15 | 32.5 | 126,065 | 196.5 |
| Tank | 8604 | 12 | 00 | 165 | 15 | 40.0 | 124,524 | 196.5 |
| Tank | 8593 | 11 | 58 | 165 | 15 | 32.5 | 129,962 | 196.6 |
| Tank | 8598 | 11 | 56 | 165 | 15.5 | 36.0 | 109,514 | 217.8 |
| Tank | 8605 | 12 | 00 | 165 | 15 | 40.0 | 104.539 | 217.8 |
| Tank | 8577 | 11 | 59.5 | 165 | 15.5 | 17.6 | 122,019 | 217.9 |
| Tank | 8578 | 11 | 59.5 | 165 | 15.5 | 17.6 | 116.574 | 218.0 |
| Tank Background | 8561 | 11 | 59 | 165 | 19 | 1.0 | 3,009 | 35.0 |
| Tank Background | 8562 |  | En rour | He |  | 1.0 | 3.084 | 95.1 |

TABLE B. 32 CONTINUED

| Type | Number | Location |  |  |  | Collection Time | Dip counts $/ 2.000 \mathrm{mb}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | North | Latitude | East | Lengitude |  |  |  |
|  |  | Deg | Min | Deg | Min | $\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{hr}$ | Net counts | in at $\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{hr}$ |
| Shot Navajo. DE 365 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surface | 8047 | 11 | 38.5 | 164 | 53.4 | 14.0 | 21,208 | 170.4 |
| Surface | 8051 | 12 | 03 | 163 | 18.2 | 36.6 | 355 | 170.5 |
| Surface | 8048 | 11 | 38.5 | 164 | 53.4 | 14.0 | 22.007 | 170.5 |
| Surface | 8049 | 11 | 38 | 164 | 43.6 | 15.3 | 28,027 | 170.5 |
| Surface | 8242 | 11 | 34.5 | 164 | 44.1 | -34.4 | 2.545 | 170.8 |
| Surface | 8052 | 12 | 44.3 | 162 | 40.0 | 43.0 | 6,208 | 172.2 |
| Surface | 8053 | 12 | 44.3 | 162 | 40.0 | 43.0 | 5.246 | 172.3 |
| Surface | 8050 | 11 | 37.5 | 164 | 37.5 | 18.5 | 12,765 | 213.7 |
| Surface | 8054 | 12 | 23.1 | 164 | 41.4 | 75.0 | 694 | 214.0 |
| Surface | 8241 | 11 | 41 | 165 | 11.5 | -39.6 | 20,283 | 189.8 |
| Shot Navajo. DE 534 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surface | 8235 | 11 | 52 | 165 | 41 | 12.5 | 987 | 190.7 |
| Surface | 8236 | 11 | 52 | 16.5 | 41 | 12.9 | 693 | 215.0 |
| Surface | 8237 | 12 | 09 | 165 | 12.2 | 30.3 | 5,348 | 214.2 |
| Surface | 8238 | 11 | 49.5 | 164 | 45.9 | 34.4 | 8,177 | 214.9 |
| Surface | 8239 | 11 | 57 | 163 | 55 | 43.3 | 3,376 | 214.8 |
| Surface | 8240 | 12 | 36 | 164 | 54 | 56.2 | 2,019 | 215.8 |
| Surface | 8444 | 12 | 36 | 164 | 54 | 56.2 | 2,001 | 214.8 |
| Surface | 8445 | 11 | 38 | 164 | 53.2 | 61.1 | 14,219 | 216.4 |
| Surface | 8446 | 11 | 25 | 164 | 26.5 | 64.9 | 6,046 | 190.0 |
| Surface | 8447 | 12 | 09 | 164 | 14 | 76.4 | 1,383 | 190.3 |
| Surface | 8448 | 12 | 42 | 163 | 33.4 | 85.0 | 298 | 190.4 |
| Surface | 8451 | 12 | 42.5 | 164 | 19 | 80.7 | 680 | 191.0 |
| Surface | 8452 | 12 | 42.5 | 164 | 19 | 80.7 | 735 | 190.0 |
| Surface | 8453 | 11 | 52.8 | 164 | 37.6 | 85.0 | 1.033 | 215.8 |
| Surface | 8454 | 12 | 20 | 165 | 20 | 88.9 | 1.120 | 214.9 |
| Surface | 8455 | 12 | 07 | 165 | 27.5 | 90.5 | 2,452 | 215.0 |
| Shot Navajo, Horizon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Depth 55 | 8210 | 12 | 08.5 | 164 | 53.7 | 79.0 | $0.09 \times 10^{4}$ | 170.6 |
| Depth 28 | 8207 | 12 | 08.5 | 164 | 53.7 | 79.0 | $0.145 \times 10^{4}$ | 170.7 |
| Depth 9 | 8205 | 12 | 08.5 | 164 | 53.7 | 79.0 | $2.49 \times 10^{4}$ | 170.9 |
| Depth 100 | 8234 | 11 | 46.2 | 165 | 15.6 | 90.0 | $2.49 \times 10^{4}$ | 170.1 |
| Depth 90 | 8231 | 11 | 46.2 | 165 | 15.6 | 90.0 | $2.56 \times 10^{4}$ | 171.0 |
| Depth 20 | 8226 | 11 | 46.2 | 165 | 15.6 | 90.0 | $2.58 \times 10^{4}$ | 171.0 |
| Depth 60 | 8222 | 11 | 59.5 | 165 | 09 | 35.4 | $2.29 \times 10^{4}$ | 191.8 |
| Depth 60 | 8230 | 11 | 46.2 | 165 | 15.6 | 90.0 | $2.29 \times 10^{4}$ | 215.0 |
| Depth 64 | 8211 | 12 | 08.5 | 164 | 53.7 | 79.0 | 0 | 214.3 |
| Depth 74 | 8212 | 12 | 08.5 | 164 | 53.7 | 79.0 | $1.93 \times 10^{4}$ | 214.3 |
| Depth 75 | 8223 | 11 | 59.5 | 165 | 09 | 35.0 | $2.09 \times 10^{4}$ | 124.4 |
| Depth 83 | 8213 | 12 | 08.5 | 164 | 53.7 | 79.0 | $0.018 \times 10^{4}$ | 214.5 |
| Depth 25 | 8217 | 11 | 59.5 | 165 | 09 | 35.0 | $2.71 \times 10^{6}$ | 214.5 |
| Depth 25 | 8216 | 11 | 59.5 | 165 | 09 | 35.0 | $2.53 \times 10^{4}$ | 214.7 |
| Depth 80 | 8232 | 11 | 46.2 | 165 | 15.6 | 90.0 | $1.98 \times 10^{4}$ | 214.7 |
| Depth 5 | 8215 | 11 | 59.5 | 165 | 09 | 35.0 | $2.58 \times 10^{4}$ | 215.4 |
| Depth 10 | 8225 | 11 | 46.5 | 165 | 15.6 | 90.0 | $2.33 \times 10^{4}$ | 215.5 |
| Depth 92 | 8214 | 12 | 08.5 | 164 | 53.7 | 79.0 | $5.13 \times 10^{4}$ | 215.7 |
| Depth 30 | 8227 | 11 | 46.2 | 165 | 15.6 | 90.0 | $1.96 \times 10^{4}$ | 216.0 |
| Depth 100 | 8224 | 11 | 59.5 | 165 | 09 | 35.0 | $1.87 \times 10^{4}$ | 218.0 |
| Depth 90 | 8233 | 11 | 46.2 | 165 | 15.6 | 90.0 | $1.96 \times 10^{4}$ | 216.1 |
| Depth 50 | 8220 | 11 | 59.5 | 165 | 09 | 35.0 | $2.22 \times 10^{4}$ | 216.2 |
| Depth 55 | 8221 | 11 | 59.5 | 165 | 09 | 35.0 | $2.18 \times 10^{4}$ | 216.4 |
| Depth 18 | 8206 | 12 | 08.5 | 164 | 53.7 | 79.0 | $2.02 \times 10^{4}$ | 216.5 |
| Surface | 8179 | 12 | 00.8 | 165 | 29.5 | 70.3 | $1.08 \times 10^{3}$ | 171.1 |
| Surface | 8156 | 11 | 34.5 | 165 | 09 | 13.4 | $1.42 \times 10^{4}$ | 189.9 |
| Surface | 8165 | 11 | 59.5 | 165 | 09 | 37.10 | $7.16 \times 10^{8}$ | 190.1 |
| Surface | 8191 | 12 | 07 | 165 | 56.5 | 80.6 | $7.00 \times 10^{2}$ | 190.1 |
| Surface | 8155 | 11 | 21.3 | 165 | 14 | 7.9 | $6.00 \times 10^{2}$ | 190.2 |
| Surface | 8190 | 12 | 07 | 164 | 56.5 | 80.6 | $8.11 \times 10^{2}$ | 190.5 |
| Surface | 8163 | 11 | 59.5 | 165 | 09 | 35.0 | $7.72 \times 10^{3}$ | 190.6 |
| Surface | 8164 | 11 | 59.5 | 165 | 09 | 35.0 | $7.26 \times 10^{2}$ | 190.7 |
| Surface | 8160 | 11 | 58.3 | 165 | 12.3 | 26.0 | $1.05 \times 10^{4}$ | 191.5 |
| Surface | 8162 | 11 | 59.5 | 165 | ${ }^{09} 5$ | 35.0 | $7.34 \times 10^{3}$ | 190.9 |
| Surface | 8189 | 12 | 07 | 164 | 56.5 | 80.7 | $8.81 \times 10^{2}$ | 191.7 |
| Surface | 8188 | 11 | 39 | 165 | 03.8 | 73.2 | $1.52 \times 10^{4}$ | 192.0 |

TABLE B. 32 CONTINUED

| Type | Number | Location |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Collection } \\ & \text { Time } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Dip counts $/ 2.000 \mathrm{ml}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | North | Latitude | East | Longitude |  |  |  |
|  |  | Deg | Min | Deg | Min | $\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{hr}$ | Net counts/ | min at $\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{hr}$ |
| Surface | 8177 | 11 | 46.2 | 165 | 15.6 | 90.6 | $2.16 \times 10^{4}$ | 215.0 |
| Surface | 8187 | 11 | 47 | 164 | 46.2 | 70.2 | $1.38 \times 10^{4}$ | 214.1 |
| Surface | 8185 | 11 | 43.2 | 165 | 17.2 | 55.6 | $3.06 \times 10^{4}$ | 215.0 |
| Surface | 8186 | 11 | 46.5 | 165 | 14 | 52.7 | $7.86 \times 10^{4}$ | 216.2 |
| Surface | 8175 | 11 | 46.2 | 165 | 15.6 | 90.0 | $2.09 \times 10^{4}$ | 216.2 |
| Surface | 8176 | 11 | 46.2 | 165 | 15.6 | 90.0 | $2.16 \times 10^{4}$ | \& 216.3 |
| Surface | 8157 | 11 | 47.2 | 165 | 07.3 | 15.6 | $3.41 \times 10^{4}$ | 218.1 |
| Sbot tewa, yag 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surface | 8284 | 12 | 07.4 | 164 | 50.6 | 15.2 | $1.12 \times 10^{6}$ | 96.1 |
| Surface | 8286 | 12 | 07.4 | 164 | 50.6 | 15.2 | $1.208 \times 10^{8}$ | 96.2 |
| Surface | 8285 | 12 | 06.0 | 165 | 00.5 | 18.0 | $1.239 \times 10^{6}$ | 96.2 |
| Surface | 8285 | 12 | 07.4 | 164 | 50.6 | 15.2 | $1.112 \times 10^{6}$ | 96.3 |
| Surface | 8290 | 12 | 06.0 | 165 | 00.3 | 18.0 | $1.261 \times 10^{6}$ | , 96.4 |
| Surface | 8288 | 12 | 06.0 | 165 | 00.5 | 18.0 | $1.188 \times 10^{6}$ | 96.5 |
| Sea Backgroumd | 8280 | 12 | 15 | 164 | 54.0 | 3.5 | 3,853 | 94.8 |
| Sea Background | 8281 | 12 | 15 | 164 | 54.0 | 3.5 | 4,002 | 95.0 |
| Sea Background | 8282 | 12 | 15 | 164 | 54.0 | 3.5 | 4,389 | 95.2 |
| Shot Tewa, YAG 39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surface | 8325 | 12 | 00.5 | 165 | 18 | 11.0 | 911,781 | 96.4 |
| Surface | 8334 | 12 | 04 | 165 | 15 | 20.3 | 385.747 | 215.2 |
| Surface | 8335 | 12 | 04 | 165 | 15 | 20.3 | 386,665 | 215.3 |
| Surface | 8347 | 12 | 12 | 165 | 10.5 | 39.1 | 367,218 | 214.1 |
| Surface | 8341 | At Eniwetok |  |  |  | 89.7 | 393.485 | 214.3 |
| Surface | 8342 | 12 | 09 | 165 | 07 | 37.0 | 404.010 | 214.3 |
| Surface | 8329 | 12 | 03 | 165 | 16 | 16.2 | 450,532 | 196.8 |
| Surface | 8330 | 12 | 03 | 165 | 16 | 16.2 | 432.405 | 196.7 |
| Surface | 8337 | 12 | 04 | 165 | 13.5 | 31.4 | 333,775 | 213.7 |
| Surface | 8338 | 12 | 04 | 165 | 13.5 | 31.4 | 339,126 | 213.5 |
| Surface | 8331 | 12 | 03 | 165 | 16 | 16.2 | 370,653 | 213.5 |
| Surface | 8333 | 12 | 04 | 165 | 15 | 20.5 | 385,065 | 213.5 |
| Surface | 8339 | 12 | 04 | 165 | 13.5 | 31.3 | 322.553 | 215.0 |
| Surface | 8346 | 12 | 12 | 165 | 10.5 | 39.1 | 362,513 | 214.4 |
| Surface | 8343 | 12 | 09 | 165 | 07 | 37.0 | 392.477 | 215.0 |
| Surface | 8284 | 12 | 07.4 | 164 | 50.6 | 15.2 | 590,172 | 148.0 |
| Surface | 8326 | 12 | 00.5 | 165 | 18 | 11.0 | 932,578 | 96.3 |
| Surface | 8327 | 12 | 00.5 | 165 | 18 | 11.0 | 999.568 | 94.9 |
| Surface | 8345 | 12 | 12 | 165 | 10.5 | 39.1 | 371,474 | 215.0 |
| Sea Background | 8322 | En route |  |  |  | 1.2 | 440 | 96.0 |
| Ses Background | 8321 | En route |  |  |  | 1.2 | 388 | 95.7 |
| Tank | 8349 | En route |  |  |  | 52.0 | $1.314 \times 10^{7}$ | 215.7 |
| Tank | 8350 | En route |  |  |  | 52.0 | $1.302 \times 10^{7}$ | 216.0 |
| Tank | 8351 | En route |  |  |  | 52.0 | $1.325 \times 10^{7}$ | 215.4 |
| Tank | 8410 | At Eniwetok |  |  |  | 91.7 | $1.325 \times 10^{7}$ | 216.1 |
| Tank | 8411 | At Eaiwetok |  |  |  | 99.7 | $2.292 \times 10^{7}$ | 216.3 |
| Tank | 8412 | At Eniwetok |  |  |  | 99.7 | $1.314 \times 10^{7}$ | 216.4 |
| Tank | 8413 | At Eniwetok |  |  |  | 99.7 | $1.292 \times 10^{7}$ | 216.5 |
| Tank | 8415 | At Eniwerok |  |  |  | 105.2 | $1.292 \times 10^{\text {\% }}$ | 216.5 |
| Tank | 8414 | At Eniwetok |  |  |  | 105.2 | $1.325 \times 10^{7}$ | 216.5 |
| Tank | 8416 | At Eniwetok |  |  |  | 105.2 | $1.302 \times 10^{7}$ | 216.6 |
| Tank | 8353 | At Eniwetok |  |  |  | 75.5 | $1.314 \times 10^{\prime}$ | 216.7 |
| Tank | 8354 | At Eniwetok |  |  |  | 75.5 | $1.314 \times 10^{7}$ | 216.8 |
| Tank | 8355 | At Eniwetok |  |  |  | 75.5 | $1.302 \times 10^{\prime}$ | 216.8 |
| Tank | 8408 | At Eniwetok |  |  |  | 81.7 | $1.346 \times 10^{7}$ | 216.0, |
| Tank | 8409 | At Eniwetok |  |  |  | 81.7 | $1.314 \times 10^{1}$ | 216.1 |
| Tank Background | 8324 | En route |  |  |  | 1.6 | 5,848 | 95.9 |
| Tank Background | 8323 | En route |  |  |  | 1.6 | 5,802 | 96.0 |
| Depth Background | 8764 | Bikini Lagoon |  |  |  | -110.2 | 29.081 | 96.0 |
| Depth Background | 8763 | Bikini Lagoon |  |  |  | -110.2 | 28,776 | 96.0 |

TABLE b. 32 CONTINUED

| Type | Number | Location |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Collection } \\ & \text { Time } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Dip counts/ $2,000 \mathrm{ml}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | North Latitude |  | East Longitude |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Deg | Min | Deg | Min | $\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{hr}$ | Net counts | in at $\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{hr}$ |
| Shot Tewa, DE 3 bs |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |
| Surface | 8616 | 11 | 57 | 164 | 32.8 | 42.2 | 190.788 | 195.8 |
| Surface | 8618 | 11 | 24.2 | 165 | 24.0 | 51.4 | $\checkmark 4.767$ | 195.7 |
| Surface | 8615 | 11 | 51.4 | 163 | 43.6 | 38.2 | 24,472 | 195.7 |
| Surface | 8627 | 13 | 50.0 | 162 | 41.0 | 104.7 | 511 | 194.2 |
| Surface | 8626 | 13 | 50.0 | 162 | 41.0 | 104.7 | 585 | 193.1 |
| Surface | 8625 | 13 | 35.8 | 163 | 30.0 | 99.0 | 3,682 | 193.0 |
| Surface | 8624 | 12 | 31.2 | 163 | 49.5 | 93.0 | 5,037 | 193.0 |
| Surface | 8623 | 13 | 00.8 | 164 | 05 | 85.3 | 7,303 | 192.9 |
| Surface | 8612 | 11 | 36.0 | 164 | 07.2 | 25.0 | 78,103 | 192.3 |
| Surface | 8610 | 11 | 31.5 | 165 | 06.2 | 14.0 | 7.302 | 192.8 |
| Surface | 8609 | 11 | 31.5 | 165 | 06.2 | 14.0 | 6,848 | 192.7 |
| Surface | 8614 | 11 | 51.4 | 163 | 43.6 | 38.2 | 25,302 | 192.6 |
| Surface | 8613 | 11 | 43.7 | 165 | 05.7 | 33.4 | 5,577 | 192.5 |
| Suriace | 8619 | 13 | 08.7 | 164 | 51.2 | 62.7 | 10.095 | 196.6 |
| Surface | 3621 | 12 | 40.5 | 164 | 53.9 | 69.4 | 142,860 | 196.3 |
| Surface | 8611 | 11 | 35.7 | 164 | 40.0 | 18.7 | 149,040 | 196.3 |
| Surface | 8620 | 12 | 40.5 | 164 | 53.9 | 69.4 | 145.527 | 195.9 |
| Surface | 8622 | 12 | 14.2 | 165 | 01.5 | 74.4 | 333, 798 | 213.8 |
| Surface | 8617 | 12 | 02.5 | 165 | 13.8 | 45.7 | 379,187 | 218.1 |
| Shot Tewa, DE 534 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Surface | 8656 | 13 | 48.8 | 164 | 46.8 | 41.9 | 826 | 195.2 |
| Surface | 8654 | 12 | 57 | 166 | 07 | 25.3 | 6,039 | 195.8 |
| Surface | 8655 | 13 | 41 | 165 | 48 | 34.7 | 3.055 | 195.2 |
| Surface | 8652 | 11 | 46.5 | 165 | 33.7 | 12.6 | 1,510 | 195.0 |
| Surface | 8653 | 12 | 21 | 165 | 41 | 17.7 | 481 | 195.0 |
| Surface | 8651 | 11 | 46.5 | 165 | 33.7 | 12.6 | 1,583 | 195.0 |
| Surface | 8662 | 11 | 58.2 | 164 | 54.5 | 74.2 | 27,365 | 194.9 |
| Surface | 8661 | 11 | 32 | 164 | 00 | 65.1 | 62,472 | 194.8 |
| Surface | 8660 | 12 | 07 | 164 | 29 | 59.3 | 47.863 | * |
| Surface | 8859 | 12 | 32 | 164 | 42 | 54.7 | 69,024 | 194.6 |
| Surface | 8658 | 12 | 49.5 | 164 | 42 | 52.1 | 24.798 | 194.7 |
| Surface | 8657 | 13 | 48.8 | 164 | 46.8 | 41.9 | 1,459 | 194.6 |
| Surface | 8667 | 11 | 40 | 162 | 33.3 | 109.9 | 1,931 | 194.5 |
| Surlace | 8686 | 12 | 20 | 162 | 43.4 | 105.6 | 3.286 | 194.4 |
| Surface | 8865 | 12 | 49.9 | 162 | 55.5 | 95.4 | 1,900 | 194.3 |
| Surface | 8663 | 11 | 58.2 | 164 | 54.5 | 75.2 | 27.828 | 194.1 |
| Surface | 8664 | 11 | 41.2 | 163 | 10.8 | 88.1 | 7,918 | 193.4 |
| Shot Tewa. Horizon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Depth 70 | 8750 | 11 | 53.2 | 165 | 14 | 59.2 | $7.04 \times 10^{4}$ | 192.4 |
| Depth 20 | 8734 | 12 | 30.5 | 164 | 57.1 | 51.2 | $1.54 \times 10^{8}$ | 192.4 |
| Depth 40 | 8736 | 12 | 30.3 | 184 | 57.1 | 51.2 | $7.84 \times 10^{4}$ | 192.4 |
| Depth 50 | 8737 | 12 | 30.5 | 164 | 57.1 | 51.2 | $0.72 \times 10^{4}$ | 192.3 |
| Depth 80 | 8738 | 12 | 30.5 | 164 | 57.1 | 51.2 | $0.67 \times 10^{4}$ | 192.3 |
| Depth 70 | 8739 | 12 | 30.5 | 164 | 57.1 | 51.2 | $0.54 \times 10^{4}$ | 192.2 |
| Depth 80 | 8740 | 12 | 30.5 | 164 | 57.1 | 51.2 | $0.67 \times 10^{4}$ | 192.1 |
| Depth 60 | 8749 | 11 | 53.2 | 165 | 14 | 59.2 | $7.54 \times 10^{4}$ | 192.1 |
| Depth 85 | 8751 | 11 | 53.2 | 185 | 14 | 59.2 | $6.53 \times 10^{4}$ | 192.0 |
| Depth 168 | 8732 | 12 | 11 | 165 | 10.5 | 41.2 | $1.03 \times 10^{4}$ | 192.0 |

TABLE B. 32 CONTINUED

| Type | Number | -Location |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Collection } \\ \text { Time } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Dip counts $/ 2.000 \mathrm{ml}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | North | Latitude | East | ngitude |  |  |  |
|  |  | Deg | Min | Deg | Min | $\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{hr}$ | Net counts/min at $\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{hr}$ |  |
| Depth 82 | 8730 | 12 | 11 | 165 | 10.5 | 41.2 | $3.21 \times 10^{4}$ | 192.0 |
| Depth 125 | 8731 | 12 | 11 | 165 | 10.5 | 41.2 | $0.75 \times 10^{4}$ | 191.7 |
| Depth 64 | 8729 | 12 | 11 | 165 | 10.5 | 41.2 | $1.15 \times 10^{5}$ | 191.7 |
| Depth 10 | 8733 | 12 | 30.5 | 164 | 51.1 | 51.2 | $1.61 \times 10^{5}$ | 191.7 |
| Depth 52 | 8728 | 12 | 11 | 165 | 10.5 | 41.2 | $2.12 \times 10^{8}$ | 190.8 |
| Depth 38 | 8727 | 12 | 11 | 165 | 10.5 | 41.2 | $2.00 \times 10^{6}$ | 190.7 |
| Depth 13 | 8724 | 12 | 11 | 165 | 10.5 | 41.2 | $1.92 \times 10^{6}$ | 190.6 |
| Depth 9 | 8723 | 12 | 11 | 165 | 10.5 | 41.2 | $1.95 \times 10^{5}$ | 190.6 |
| Depth 22 | 8725 | 12 | 11 | 165 | 10.5 | 41.2 | $1.92 \times 10^{6}$ | 190.5 |
| Depth 30 | 8726 | 12 | 11 | 165 | 10.5 | 41.2 | $1.96 \times 10^{5}$ | 190.5 |
| Depth 30 | 8735 | 12 | 30.5 | 164 | 57.1 | 51.2 | $1.53 \times 10^{5}$ | 190.4 |
| Depth 100 | 8752 | 11 | 53.2 | 165 | 14 | 59.2 | $4.08 \times 10^{4}$ | 190.3 |
| Depth 55 | 8748 | 11 | 53.2 | 165 | 14 | 59.2 | $2.07 \times 10^{5}$ | 190.3 |
| Depth 50 | 8747 | 11 | 53.2 | 165 | 14 | 59.2 | $2.07 \times 10^{8}$ | 190.3 |
| Depth 45 | 8746 | 11 | 53.2 | 165 | 14 | 59.2 | $1.66 \times 10^{5}$ | 190.1 |
| Depth 40 | 8745 | 11 | 53.2 | 165 | 14 | 59.2 | $1.23 \times 10^{5}$ | 190.0 |
| Depth 25 | 8744 | 11 | 53.2 | 165 | 14 | 59.2 | $6.15 \times 10^{4}$ | 190.0 |
| Depth 10 | 8743 | 11 | 53.2 | 165 | 14 | 59.2 | $3.90 \times 10^{4}$ | 190.0 |
| Depth 100 | 8742 | 12 | 30.5 | 164 | 57.1 | 51.2 | $0.50 \times 10^{4}$ | 190.0 |
| Depth 90 | 8741 | 12 | 30.5 | 164 | 57.1 | 51.2 | $0.49 \times 10^{4}$ | 189.9 |
| Surface | 8718 | 12 | 11 | 165 | 10.5 | 41.2 | $4.20 \times 10^{6}$ | 215.1 |
| Surface | 8719 | 12 | 11 | 165 | 10.5 | 41.2 | $4.08 \times 10^{6}$ | 215.1 |
| Surface | 8695 | 12 | 05 | 165 | 16 | 21.7 | $3.33 \times 10^{5}$ | 214.2 |
| Surface | 8697 | 12 | 11 | 165 | 10.5 | 41.2 | $4.10 \times 10^{8}$ | 214.2 |
| Surface | 8700 | 12 | 30.5 | 164 | 51.1 | 51.9 | $1.42 \times 10^{5}$ | 196.5 |
| Surface | 8706 | 11 | 58.2 | 164 | 57 | 77.7 | $5.02 \times 10^{4}$ | 196.4 |
| Surface | 8712 | 11 | 36 | 164 | 07.2 | 25.0 | $2.03 \times 10^{6}$ | 196.2 |
| Surface | 8722 | 12 | 30.5 | 164 | 57.1 | 51.9 | $1.35 \times 10^{6}$ | 196.1 |
| Surface | 8721 | 12 | 30.5 | 164 | 57.1 | 51.9 | $1.39 \times 10^{5}$ | 196.1 |
| Surface | 8714 | 12 | 05.2 | 164 | 36.2 | 92.2 | $1.44 \times 10^{5}$ | 196.0 |
| Suriace | 8699 | 12 | 30.5 | 164 | 57.1 | 51.9 | $1.48 \times 10^{5}$ | 195.5 |
| Surface | 8693 | 11 | 53.6 | 165 | 26.2 | 18.4 | $6.36 \times 10^{4}$ | 196.0 |
| Suriace | 8694 | 12 | 05 | 165 | 16 | 21.7 | $3.38 \times 10^{5}$ | 189.8 |
| Surface | 8720 | 12 | 13.2 | 165 | 08.7 | 46.4 | $4.21 \times 10^{8}$ | 214.0 |
| Surface | 8717 | 12 | 11 | 165 | 10.5 | 41.2 | $4.14 \times 10^{6}$ | 214.0 |
| Surface | 8698 | 12 | 06.6 | 165 | 12 | 31.0 | $3.56 \times 10^{8}$ | 213.9 |
| Surface | 8711 | 12 | 10.3 | 165 | 11.2 | 81.2 | $5.67 \times 10^{8}$ | 218.1 |
| Surface | 8705 | 12 | 00 | 164 | 52 | 71.9 | $4.43 \times 10^{4}$ | 195.3 |
| Surface | 8707 | 11 | 53.2 | 165 | 15 | 59.0 | $3.53 \times 10^{4}$ | 195.4 |
| Surface | 8708 | 11 | 53.2 | 165 | 15 | 59.0 | $3.55 \times 10^{4}$ | 195.4 |
| Surface | 8709 | 11 | 52.2 | 165 | 15 | 59.0 | $3.42 \times 10^{4}$ | 195.5 |
| Surface | 8710 | 11 | 53.2 | 165 | 15 | 59.0 | $3.36 \times 10^{4}$ | 195.6 |
| Surface | 8713 | 11 | 59 | 164 | 20.5 | 85.2 | $4.38 \times 10^{4}$ | 195.7 |

* Pending further data reduction.

TABLE B. 33 INTEGRATED ACTIVITIES FROM PROBE PROFILE MEASUREMENTS (SIO)


* Conversion factors ( $\left.\frac{\text { dip counts } / \mathrm{min}}{\mathrm{app} \mathrm{mr} / \mathrm{hr}}\right): \begin{aligned} & 2.29 \pm 0.24 \times 10^{5} \text { (Tewa) } \\ & 1.51 \pm 0.38 \times 10^{5} \text { (Navajo) }\end{aligned}$
$\dagger$ Nansen bottle sampling profile gave $1.82 \times 10^{15}$ fissions $/ \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ for this station.

TABLE B. 34 INDIVIDUAL SOLID-PARTICLE DATA, SHOTS ZUNI AND TEWA

| Particle |  | Mean Cullection Time | Particle Diameter | Activity |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type | Number. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\sim \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{hr}$ | microns | Net counts/min | $\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{hr}$ |
| Shot Zuni, YAG 40-A-1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sphere | 331-7 | 3.84 | 200 | 1,200,000 | 12.0 |
| Sphere | 322-17 | 7.17 | 240 | 607,000 | 12.0 |
| Yellow sphere | 327-59 | 5.58 | 143 | 504.000 | 12.0 |
| Irregular | 327-15 | 5.58 | 200 | 432,000 | 12.0 |
| Irregular | 325-64 | 5.17 | 240 | 320,000 | 12.0 |
| Agglomerated | 327-21 | 5.58 | $260 \times 360$ | 501,000 | 12.0 |
| Agglomerated | 327-66 | 5.17 | 180 | 439,000 | 12.0 |
| Sphere | 331-2 | 3.84 | 220 | 219,000 | 12.0 |
| Sphere | 335-6 | 4.67 | 70 | 129 | 12.0 |
| Yellow sphere | 335-7 | 4.67 | 55 | 32 | 12.0 |
| Yellow agglomerated | 335-10 | 4.67 | 120 | 77,600 | 12.0 |
| Irregular | 335-12 | 4.67 | 83 | 9.830 | 12.0 |
| Irregular | 335-17 | 4.67 | 70 | 244 | 12.0 |
| Irregular | 335-19 | 4.67 | $42 \times 83$ | 4,940 | 12.0 |
| Irregular | 335-22 | 4.67 | 220 | 152,000 | 12.0 |
| Sphere | 335-26 | 4.67 | 83 | 22,600 | 12.0 |
| Irregular | 335-29 | 4.67 | $83 \times 143$ | 18,800 | 12.0 |
| Irregular | 324-1 | 4.67 | 260 | 372,000 | 12.0 |
| Agglomerated | 324-4 | 5.00 | 120 | 31,800 | 12.0 |
| Irregular | 324-6 | 5.00 | 220 | 114,000 | 12.0 |
| Irregular | 324-12 | 5.00 | 220 | 235,000 | 12.0 |
| Yellow irregular | 324-16 | 5.00 | 220 | 732,140 | 12.0 |
| Irregular | 324-19 | 5.00 | 42 | 9.030 | 12.0 |
| Sphere | 324-23 | 5.00 | 180 | 359,000 | 12.0 |
| Irregular | 324-24 | 5.00 | 180 | 104,000 | 12.0 |
| Irregular | 324-26 | 5.00 | 50 | 12,200 | 12.0 |
| Irregular | 324-31 | 5.00 | 180 | 123,000 | 12.0 |
| Agglomerated | 324-34 | 5.00 | 120 | 30,900 | 12.0 |
| Agglomerated | 324-36 | 5.00 | 110 | 50,300 | 12.0 |
| Sphere | 324-37 | 5.00 | 60 | 9,180 | 12.0 |
| Sphere | 324-43 | 5.00 | 120 | 86,400 | 12.0 |
| Irregular | 324-48 | 5.00 | 240 | 27.800 | 12.0 |
| Sphere | 324-51 | 5.00 | 166 | 478.000 | 12.0 |
| Sphere | 324-53 | 5.00 | 143 | 417,000 | 12.0 |
| Sphere | 324-54 | 5.00 | 170 | 555,000 | 12.0 |
| Black sphere | 324-55 | 5.00 | 42 | 77 | 12.0 |
| Yellow sphere | 325-56 | 5.17 | 83 | 112,000 | 12.0 |
| Irregular | 325-57 | 5.17 | 50 | 719 | 12.0 |
| Sphere | 325-60 | 5.17 | 130 | 456,000 | 12.0 |
| Irregular | 325-63 | 5.17 | 240 | 320,000 | 12.0 |
| Agglomerated | 325-67 | 5.17 | 180 to 260 | 167,000 | 12.0 |
| Agglomerated | 325-71 | 5.17 | 166 | 123,000 | 12.0 |
| Agglomerated | 325-75 | 5.17 | 65 | 9,530 | 12.0 |
| Irregular | 325-79 | 5.17 | 83 | 17,700 | 12.0 |
| Irregular | 325-83 | 5.17 | 380 | 167,000 | 12.0 |
| Irregular | 325-85 | 5.17 | 380 | 25,900 | 12.0 |
| Agglomerated | 325-90 | 5.17 | 70 | 8,820 | 12.0 |
| Black irregular | 325-93 | 5.17 | 100 | 1,870 | 12.0 |
| Sphere | 325-97 | 5.17 | 83 | 8,960 | 12.0 |
| Irregular | 325-99 | 5.17 | 166 | 28,000 | 12.0 |
| Irregular | 322-9 | 7.17 | 260 | 111,000 | 12.0 |
| Agglomerated | 322-13 | 7.17 | 360 | 549,000 | 12.0 |
| Irregular | 324-57 | 5.00 | 200 | 68,000 | 12.0 |
| Irregular | 352-2 | 5.17 | 35 | 11,400 | 12.0 |

TABLE B. 34 CONTINUED

| Particle |  | Mean Collection Time | Particle Diameter | Activity |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type | Number |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\sim \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{hr}$ | microns | Net count | at $\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{hr}$ |
| Irregular | 325-5 | 5.17 | 65 | 1,660 | 12.0 |
| Sphere | 325-7 | 5.17 | 166 | 106,000 | 12.0 |
| Sphere | 325-14 | 5.17 | 166 | 42,100 | 12.0 |
| Irregular | 325-16 | 5.17 | 120 | 72,500 | 12.0 |
| Agglomerated | 325-20 | 5.17 | 120 | 51,300 | 12.0 |
| Irregular | 325-23 | 5.17 | 100 | 22,200 | 12.0 |
| Black sphere | 325-26 | 5.17 | 45 | 317 | 12.0 |
| Irregular | 325-27 | 5.17 | 120 | 22,900 | 12.0 |
| Irregular | 325-31 | 5.17 | 285 | 216,000 | 12.0 |
| Irregular | 325-25 | 5.17 | 240 | 38,000 | 12.0 |
| Irregular | 325-39 | 5.17 | 83 | 17,800 | 12.0 |
| Irregular | 325-41 | 5.17 | 120 | 114,000 | 12.0 |
| Agglomerated | 325-43 | 5.17 | 220 | 223,000 | 12.0 |
| Sphere | 325-51 | 5.17 | 100 | 19,900 | 12.0 |
| Irregular | 325-54 | 5.17 | 110 | 657,000 | 12.0 |
| Irregular | 325-55 | 5.17 | 100 | 26,600 | 12.0 |
| Irregular | 322-18 | 7.17 | 240 | 381,000 | 12.0 |
| Irregular | 327-21 | 7.17 | 120 | 853 | 12.0 |
| Irregular | 327-2 | 5.58 | 90 | 39,600 | 12.0 |
| Irregular | 327-5 | 5.58 | 180 | 178,000 | 12.0 |
| Sphere | 327-8 | 5.58 | 120 | 132,000 | 12.0 |
| Irregular | 327-12 | 5.58 | 155 | 90,000 | 12.0 |
| Sphere | 327-17 | 5.58 | 130 | 51,000 | 12.0 |
| Irregular | 327-20 | 5.58 | 240 | 63,900 | 12.0 |
| Irregular | 327-26 | 5.58 | 380 | 141,000 | 12.0 |
| Agglomerated | 327-28 | 5.58 | 380 | 136,000 | 12.0 |
| Agglomerated | 327-31 | 5.58 | 166 | 126,000 | 12.0 |
| Sphere | 327-33 | 5.58 | 60 | 22,500 | 12.0 |
| Irregular | 327-37 | 5.58 | 200 | 3,930 | 12.0 |
| Agglomerated | 327-43 | 5.58 | 166 | 116,000 | 12.0 |
| Irregular | 327-45 | 5.58 | $60 \times 120$ | 13,000 | 12.0 |
| Irregular | 327-47 | 5.58 | 220 | 80,300 | 12.0 |
| Irregular | 327-52 | 5.58 | 120 | 12,700 | 12.0 |
| Sphere | 327-55 | 5.58 | 83 | 50,700 | 12.0 |
| Irregular | 327-58 | 5.58 | 83 | 8,200 | 12.0 |
| Yellow sphere | 327-59 | 5.58 | 143 | 504,000 | 12.0 |
| Sphere | 327-63 | 5.58 | 200 | 123,000 | 12.0 |
| Irregular | 322-4 | 7.17 | 240 | 89.000 | 12.0 |
| Irregular | 322-26 | 7.17 | 166 | 3,750 | 12.0 |
| Yellow irregular | 311-11 | 8.42 | 180 | 126,000 | 12.0 |
| Shot Tewa, YAG 40-A-1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Irregular white | 1839-8 | 5 | $165 \times 330$ | 3,279 | 6.42 |
| irregular white | 1842-3 | 5 | 231 | 1,504,907 | 7.08 |
| Irregular white | 1842-5 | 5 | 231 | 521,227 | 8.25 |
| Flaky white | 1832-5 | 9 | 198 | 478,363 | 15.75 |
| Spherical white | 1837-9 | 8 | 132 | 250,651 | 15.67 |
| Irregular colorless | 1832-1 | 9 | 99 | 97,179 | 15.67 |
| Irregular white | 2131-10 | 10 | 132 | 122,480 | 30.58 |
| Flaky white | 2145-15 | 6 | 528 | 2,465,587 | 33.67 |
| Irregular white | 1839-2 | 5 | 165 | 241 | 5.33 |
| Irregular white | 1839-5 | 5 | $231 \times 330$ | 1,268,782 | 5.92 |
| Irregular white | 1842-3 | 5 | 231 | 1,504,907 | 7.08 |
| Flaky white | 1842-4 | 5 | 264 | 4,326,667 | 7.17 |
| Irregular white | 1842-5 | 6 | 231 | 521,227 | 8.25 |
| Flaky white | 2993-9 | 6 | 198 | 243,712 | 10.33 |
| Irregular white | 2993-11 | 6 | 165 | 679,808 | 10.67 |

TABLE B. 34 CONTINUED

| Particle |  | Mean Collection Time | Particle Diameter | Activity |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type | Number |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\sim \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{hr}$ | microns | Net counts | at $\mathrm{H}+$ |
| Flaky white | 1838-9 | 8 | $165 \times 495$ | 1,451,104 | 22.92 |
| Spherical colorless | 1838-11 | 8 | 33 | 65,762 | 14.67 |
| Irregular white | 1837-2 | 8 | 66 | 752,185 | 21.33 |
| Flaiky white | 1837-5 | 8 | 132 | 240,195 | 16.17 |
| Irregular white | 1837-8 | 8 | 132 | 96,158 | 20.00 |
| Flaky colorless | 1837-11 | 8 | 330 | 1,017,529 | 21.00 |
| Irregular colorless | 1832-3 | 9 | 132 | 661,689 | 20.17 |
| Flaky white | 1832-5 | 9 | 198 | 478,363 | 15.75 |
| Flaky white | 1832-12 | 9 | 297 | 631,311 | 17.42 |
| Flaky white | 1832-15 | 9 | 165 | 634,383 | 17.58 |
| Flaky colorless | 1832-17 | 9 | 165 | 158,659 | 16.08 |
| Flaky white | 1832-21 | 9 | 330 | 505,515 | 24.75 |
| Flaky white | 1855-2 | 10 | 99 | 70,370 | 41.69 |
| Irregular white | 1855-8 | 10 | 198 | 291,910 | 41.18 |
| Flaky white | 1855-10 | 10 | 297 | 787,597 | 41.33 |
| Spherical white | 1842-7 | 6 | 115 | 200,789 | 8.58 |
| Irregular black | 1842-12 | 6 | 33 | 1,762 | 8.83 |
| Irregular white | 2145-10 | 6 | 165 | 460,000 | 33.50 |
| Irregular white | 2145-13 | 6 | 99 | 248,000 | 33.65 |
| Irregular white | 2144-3 | 6 | 198 | 129,860 | 37.58 |
| Irregular white | 2144-7 | 7 | 231 | 274,540 | 34.06 |
| Irregular white | 2144-10 | 7 | 132 | 105,263 | 37.33 |
| Irregular white | 1836-4 | 13 | 198 | 181,295 | 37.50 |
| Flaky white | 1836-8 | 13 | 165 | 292,330 | 34.58 |
| Spherical white | 1841-2 | 13 | 132 | 51,420 | 36.91 |
| Irregular white | 1849-1 | 15 | 165 | 112,033 | 38.75 |
| Spherical colorless | 1840-4 | 15 | 396 | 35,503 | 37.92 |
| Irregular white | 1840-6 | 15 | 99 | 121,820 | 37.92 |
| Flaky white | 1838-1 | 8 | 396 | 2,303,519 | 21.17 |
| Irregular white | 1838-7 | 8 | 198 | 320,153 | 19.83 |
| Colorless | 1855-18 | 10 | 198 | 172 | 25.33 |
| Flaky white | 1855-20 | 10 | 66 | 11,200 | 41.54 |
| Colorless | 1855-29 | 10 | 297 | 122 | 27.08 |
| Flaky white | 1843-2 | 11 | 66 | 82,349 | 27.33 |
| Spherical white | 1843-4 | 11 | 132 | 139,630 | 40.56 |
| Flaky white | 1843-10 | 11 | 99 | 21,440 | 40.01 |
| Irregular white | 1843-13 | 11 | 132 | 101,559 | 27.67 |
| Flaky white | 1843-16 | 11 | 165 | 185,505 | 40.17 |
| Irregular white | 1843-17 | 11 | 99 | 14,650 | 41.13 |
| Irregular white | 1852-2 | 11 | 198 | 47,245 | 41.00 |
| Flaky white | 1852-5 | 11 | 132 | 63,790 | 39.92 |
| Irregular white | 1852-11 | 11 | 132 | 163,917 | 41.58 |
| Flaky white | 1852-12 | 11 | 66 | 691 | 28.17 |
| Irregular white | 1852-14 | 11 | 33 | 5,996 | 41.17 |
| Irregular white | 2125-3 | 7 | 132 | 183,841 | 40.00 |
| Flaky white | 2125-9 | 7 | 330 | 376,736 | 39.50 |
| Irregular white | 2125-11 | 7 | 99 | 31,819 | 37.75 |
| Flaky white | 2125-13 | 7 | 33 | 33,050 | 38.66 |
| Irregular white | 2125-16 | 7 | 66 | 25,615 | 28.58 |
| Irregular white | 2129-4 | 8 | 165 | 45,217 | 39.83 |

TABLE B. 34 CONTINUED

| Particle |  | Mean Collection Time | Particle Diameter | Activity |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type | Number |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\sim \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{hr}$ | microns | Net counts | at $\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{hr}$ |
| Flaky coloriess | 2129-6 | 8 | 99 | 49,295 | 28.50 |
| Spherical white | 2129-9 | 8 | 99 | 125,583 | 28.67 |
| Flaky white | 2129-11 | 8 | 198 | 296,737 | 39.67 |
| Irregular white | 2129-17 | 10 | 66 | 13,090 | 31.83 |
| Irregular white | 2131-1 | 10 | 264 | 596,410 | 39.14 |
| Irregular white | 2131-3 | 10 | 132 | 242,473 | 28.92 |
| Flaky white | 2131-7 | 10 | 330 | 1,366,339 | 29.10 |
| Flaky white | 2131-9 | 10 | 198 | 383, 425 | 29.83 |
| Spherical white | 2131-5 | 10 | 132 | 181,177 | 34.25 |
| Irregular white | 2131-8 | 10 | 99 | 169,257 | 29.08 |
| Irregular white | 2133-1 | 10 | 132 | 125,271 | 31.08 |
| Irregular white | 2133-4 | 10 | 165 | 253,241 | 34.08 |
| Irregular white | 2133-6 | 10 | 132 | 210,497 | 30.00 |
| Irregular white | 2133-11 | 10 | 165 | 189,999 | 29.50 |
| Flaky white | 2136-4 | 12 | 66 | 21,679 | 29.58 |
| Irregular white | 2136-7 | 12 | 165 | 409,519 | 29.75 |
| Irregular white | 2136-10 | 12 | 132 | 272,559 | 29.67 |
| Irregular white | 2136-14 | 12 | 132 | 171,285 | 32.67 |
| Irregular white | 2136-18 | 12 | 165 | 190,020 | 31.78 |
| Irregular white | 2139-2 | 12 | 165 | 228,567 | 32.17 |
| Irregular white | 2139-4 | 12 | 132 | 214,080 | 32.35 |
| Spherical black | 2138-2 | 14 | 198 | 0 | 32.67 |
| Flaky white | 2142-3 | 6 | 198 | 755,093 | 32.83 |
| Flaky white | 2142-7 | 6 | 165 | 346,200 | 37.18 |
| Irregular white | 2142-11 | 6 | 132 | 278,823 | 33.33 |
| Irregular white | 2142-15 | 6 | 165 | 203,303 | 33.25 |
| White | 2145-3 | 6 | 330 | 680,070 | 33.17 |
| Irregular white | 2145-7 | 6 | 165 | 562,400 | 33.41 |
| Irregular white | 2132-1 | 9 | 198 | 4,538 | 9.42 |
| Flaky white | 2132-2 | 9 | 132 | 1,232,123 | 9.58 |
| Flaky white | 2137-1 | 11 | 198 | 902,179 | 13.75 |
| Flaky colorless | 2137-4 | 11 | 165 | 1,024,980 | 12.08 |
| Flaky white | 2137-6 | 11 | 363 | 1,017,891 | 22.83 |
| Irregular white | 2137-10 | 11 | 198 | 644,789 | 23.58 |
| Spherical white | 1856-2 | 6 | 144 | 171,555 | 23.17 |
| Flaky white | 1856-3 | 6 | 144 | 130,923 | 24.33 |
| Irregular colorless | 1856-7 | 6 | 144 | 72 | 21.92 |
| Flaky white | 1834-3 | 7 | 165 | 461,317 | 24.00 |
| Irregular white | 1834-6 | 7 | 132 | 21,396 | 24.42 |
| Irregular white | 1834-10 | 7 | 99 | 63,890 | 14.25 |
| Spherical white. | 1844-3 | 7 | 99. | 243,385 | 21.50 |
| Irregular white | 1844-4 | 7 | 264 | 996,939 | 22.08 |
| Spherical white | 1844-10 | 7 | 165 | 97,524 | 22.25 |

TABLE B. 35 INDIVIDUAL SLURRY-PARTICLE DATA, SHOTS FLATHEAD AND NAVAJO

| Particle Mean Collection <br> Number  | Particle <br> Diame | Chloride <br> Content | Activity |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\sim \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{hr}$ | microns | grams | Net counts $/$ min at $\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{hr}$ |

Shot Flathead, YAG 40-A-1

| $3812-3^{*}$ | 9.8 | - | $1.85 \times 10^{8}$ | 13.2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $3812-6$ | 9.8 | - | 435,200 | 14.0 |

Shot Flathead, YAG 40-B-7

| 3759-1 | 9.0 | 171 | $1.1 \times 10^{-6}$ | $1.1 \times 10^{8}$ | 12.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3758-2 | 9.5 | 164 | $2.10^{-4}$ | 890,000 | 12.0 |
| 3757-1 | 10.0 | 126 | $8.5 \times 10^{-1}$ | 577,500 | 12.0 |
| 3756-3 | 10.5 | 25 | $1.6 \times 10^{-8}$ | 2,200 | 12.0 |
| 3756-1 | 10.5 | - | $5.3 \times 10^{-1}$ | 279,000 | 12.0 |
| 3754-2 | 11.5 | 123 | $7.5 \times 10^{-1}$ | $2.3 \times 10^{4}$ | 12.0 |
| 3752-1 | 12.5 | 77 | $1.0 \times 10^{-7}$ | $1.7 \times 10^{4}$ | 12.0 |
| 3745-1 | 16.0 | 108 | $3.4 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.1 \times 10^{6}$ | 12.0 |
| 3741-1 | 18.0 | - | $2.7 \times 10^{-1}$ | $1.4 \times 10^{6}$ | 12.0 |

Shot Flathead, YAG 39-C-33

| $2959-1$ | 7.25 | 134 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2961-1$ | 8.25 | 160 |
| $3752-1$ | 12.5 | - |
| $2979-1$ | 17.25 | 72 |

Shot Flathead, LST 611-D-37

| $3538-1$ | 7.5 | 136 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $3537-1$ | 7.58 | 107 |
| $3536-2$ | 7.75 | 124 |
| $3535-2$ | 8.00 | 101 |
| $3534-2$ | 8.12 | 108 |
| $3533-3$ | 8.25 | 111 |
| $3532-5$ | 8.5 | 109 |
| $3531-6$ | 8.6 | 103 |
| $3531-3$ | 8.6 | 104 |
| $3530-12$ | 8.8 | 119 |
| $3530-7$ | 8.8 | 122 |
| $3530-4$ | 8.8 | 125 |
| $3530-1$ | 8.8 | 99 |
| $3529-6$ | 9.00 | 114 |
| $3529-1$ | 9.00 | 98 |
| $3525-1$ | 9.6 | 107 |
| $3529-3$ | 9.00 | 99 |
| $3529-2$ | 9.00 | 102 |
| $3528-2$ | 9.1 | 98 |
| $3528-1$ | 9.1 | 119 |

Shot Flathead, YFNB 29-H-78

| $3069-1$ | 1.08 | 67 | $1.5 \times 10^{-1}$ | 58,000 | 12.0 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $3069-2$ | 1.08 | - | $2.3 \times 10^{-4}$ | $39 \times 10^{6}$ | 12.0 |
| $3070-1$ | 1.58 | - | $7.3 \times 10^{-6}$ | $24 \times 10^{8}$ | 12.0 |
| $3070-2$ | 1.58 | - | $5 \times 10^{-8}$ | 86,000 | 12.0 |
| $3070-3$ | 1.58 | - | $3.6 \times 10^{-8}$ | 5,215 | 12.0 |
| $3070-5$ | 1.58 | 55 | $4.5 \times 10^{-8}$ | 15,700 | 12.0 |
| $3070-6$ | 1.58 | 66 | $2.6 \times 10^{-8}$ | 16,500 | 12.0 |
| $3070-7$ | 1.58 | - | $8.2 \times 10^{-8}$ | 4,700 | 12.0 |
| $3070-9$ | 1.58 | - | $1.8 \times 10^{-8}$ | 60,500 | 12.0 |

TABLE B. 35 CONTINUED

| Particle | Mean Collection | Particle <br> Diameter | Chloride <br> Content | Activity |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Time | microns | grams | Net counts $/ \mathrm{min}$ at $\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{hr}$ |

Shot Navajo, YAG 40-A-1

| $1869-5$ | 9 | 165 | 286,737 | 10.6 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $1872-2$ | 9 | 99 | 82,293 | 14.2 |
| $1874-1$ | 14 | 132 | 129,821 | 14.7 |
| $1876-4$ | 16 | - | 32,397 | 16.9 |
| $1869-2 \dagger$ | 9 | - | 369,291 | 10.0 |
| $1867-1$ | 7 | - | 86,560 | 7.68 |
| $1867-2$ | 7 | 165 | 786,051 | 7.75 |
| $1867-5$ | 7 | 149 | 562,080 | 8.16 |
| $1869-1 \dagger$ | 9 | 198 | 242,152 | 9.84 |
| $1869-9$ | 9 | 198 | 599,190 | 12.4 |
| $1869-9 \dagger$ | 9 |  | 599,190 | 12.4 |

Shot Navajo, YAG 40-B-7

| 3303-1 | 8 | 161 | $2.5 \times 10^{-8}$ | 25,059 | 152 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3303-2 | 8 | 126 | $1.1 \times 10^{-8}$ | 17,891 | -152 |
| 3303-3 | 8 | 166 | $2.3 \times 10^{-6}$ | 4,410 | 152 |
| 3303-4 | 8 | 128 | $1.1 \times 10^{-8}$ | 7,794 | 152 |
| 3306-1 | 9 | 130 | $9.6 \times 10^{-1}$ | 18,643 | 147 |
| 3306-2 | 9 | 112 | $6.8 \times 10^{-1}$ | 2,992 | 147 |
| 3306-3 | 9 | - | $6.8 \times 10^{-7}$ | 6,052 | 148 |
| 33-6-4 | 9 | 121 | $6.8 \times 10^{-1}$ | 8,838 | 148 |
| 3306-5 | 9 | 134 | $1.1 \times 10^{-6}$ | 9,682 | 148 |
| 3306-6 | 9 | 121 | $6.8 \times 10^{-1}$ | 11,460 | 148 |
| 3306-7 | 9 | 29 | $3.5 \times 10^{-9}$ | 4,263 | 148 |
| 3308-1 | 10 | 143 | $1.6 \times 10^{-6}$ | 33,082 | 148 |
| 3308-2 | 10 | - | $1.6 \times 10^{-1}$ | 22,098 | 148 |
| 3308-3 | 10 | 139 | $6.8 \times 10^{-7}$ | 32,466 | 148 |
| 3308-4 | 10 | 126 | $1.1 \times 10^{-6}$ | 11,696 | 149 |
| 3308-5 | 10 | 112 | $6.8 \times 10^{-7}$ | 9,076 | 149 |
| 3308-6 | 10 | 107 | $5.8 \times 10^{-7}$ | 11,084 | 149 |
| 3308-7 | 10 | 112 | $5.8 \times 10^{-7}$ | 5,562 | 149 |
| 3308-8 | 10 | 100 | $3.8 \times 10^{-9}$ | 2,720 | 149 |
| 3308-9 | 10 | 97 | $3.8 \times 10^{-7}$ | 938 | 149 |
| 3308-10 | 10 | 109 | $5.8 \times 10^{-8}$ | 10,192 | 149 |
| 3308-11 | 10 | 111 | $3.8 \times 10^{-8}$ | 6,068 | 149 |
| Shot Navajo, YPNB 13-E-57 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3489-3 | 1.4 | 265 | $9.4 \times 10^{-8}$ | 560,000 | 12 |
| 3489-5 | 1.4 | 309 | $1.3 \times 10^{-6}$ | 299,000 | 12 |
| 3490-1 | 4.9 | 234 | $4.4 \times 10^{-6}$ | 199,000 | 12 |
| 3490-5 | 1.9 | 326 | $1.5 \times 10^{-5}$ | 362,000 | 12 |
| 3491-1 | 2.4 | 279 | $6.5 \times 10^{-8}$ | 780,000 | 12 |
| 3491-4 | 2.4 | 288 | $5.5 \times 10^{-6}$ | 151,000 | 12 |
| 3491-6 | 2.4 | 230 | $3.8 \times 10^{-6}$ | 131,000 | 12 |
| 3491-7 | 2.4 | 330 | $1.4 \times 10^{-6}$ | 281,000 | 12 |

[^5]TABLE B. 36 HIGH VOLUME FILTER SAMPLE ACTIVITIES

| Shot | Station | Sampling Head Number | Exposure Interval |  | Ionization Chamber* Activity at $\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{hr}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | From | To |  |  |
| Zuni |  |  | $\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{hr}$ | $\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{hr}$ | $\times 10^{11} \mathrm{ma}$ |  |
|  | YAG 39 | C-25 | 12.2 | 31.1 | 389 | 458 |
|  | YAG 40 | B-8 | 7.8 | 16.3 | 1,543 | 458 |
|  |  | B-9 | 3.4 | 4.8 | 4,440 | 458 |
|  |  | B-10 | 4.8 | 5.3 | 10,270 | 458 |
|  |  | B-11 | 5.3 | 5.8 | 10,380 | 458 |
|  |  | B-12 | 5.8 | 6.3 | 9,540 | 458 |
|  |  | B-13 | 6.3 | 6.8 | 2,800 | 458 |
|  |  | B-14 | 6.8 | 7.3 | 3,040 | 458 |
|  |  | B-15 | 7.3 | 7.8 | 173 | 458 |
| Flathead | YAG 39 | C-25 | 4.4 | 23.7 | 108 t | 340 |
|  | YAG 40 | B-8 | 6.1 | 26.4 | 140 | 340 |
|  | LST 611 | D-42 | 7.0 | 7.6 | 3 | 340 |
|  |  | D-43 | 7.6 | 8.2 | 58 | 340 |
|  |  | D-44 | 8.2 | 10.9 | 14 | 340 |
|  |  | D-45 | 10.9 | 12.2 | 3 | 340 |
|  |  | D-46 | 12.2 | 14.1 | 5 | 340 |
|  |  | D-47 | 14.1 | 15.6 | 3 | 340 |
|  |  | D-48 | 15.6 | 18.6 | 5 | 340 |
|  |  | D-49 | 18.6 | 25.6 | 5 | 340 |
| Navajo | YAG 39 | C-25 | 2.1 | 15.9 | 609 | 244 |
|  | YAG 40 | B-8 | 1.2 | 19.1 | 386 | 244 |
|  | LST 611 | D-42 | 3.2 | 15.4 | 76 | 244 |
| Tewa | YAG 39 | C-25 | 2.0 | 2.7 | 320 | 412 |
|  |  | C-26 | 2.7 | 3.2 | 1,260 | 412 |
|  |  | C-27 | 3.2 | 3.7 | 3,230 | 412 |
|  |  | C-28 | 3.7 | 4.2 | 8,980 | 412 |
|  |  | C-29 | 4.2 | 4.7 | 14,890 | 412 |
|  |  | C-30 | 4.7 | 5.2 | 6,890 | 412 |
|  |  | C-31 | 5.2 | 5.7 | 5,240 | 412 |
|  |  | C-32 | 5.7 | 8.4 | 6,310 | 412 |
|  | YAG 40 | B-8 1 | 4.3 | 5.6 | 3,690 | 412 |
|  |  | B-9 | 5.6 | 6.2 | 4,750 | 412 |
|  |  | B-10 | 6.2 | 6.7 | 3,530 | 412 |
|  |  | B-11 | 6.7 | 7.2 | 2,950 ${ }^{-}$ | 412 |
|  |  | B-12 | 7.2 | 7.7 | 3,280 | 412 |
|  |  | B-13 | 7.7 | 8.2 | 1,930 | 412 |
|  |  | B-14 | 8.2 | 8.7 | 2,920 | 412 |
|  |  | B-15 | 8.7 | 18.4 | 10,590 | 412 |
|  | LST 611 | D-42 | 7.3 | 20.5 | 7,280 | 412 |

* Response to $100 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ of $\mathrm{Ra}=700 \times 10^{-9} \mathrm{ma}$.
$\dagger$ DMT spilled on recovery.

TABLE B. 37 OBSERVED WIND VELOCITIES ABOVE THE STANDARD PLATFORMS
Relative wind direction is measured clockwise from the bow of all vessels, and indicates the direction from which the wind is blowing. No recording anemometers were installed on YFNB 13-E and YFNB 29-H; the LST 611 instrument malfunctioned.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Time } \\ & \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{hr} \end{aligned}$ |  | Relative Wind VelocityDirection $\quad$ Speed |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Time } \\ & \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{hr} \end{aligned}$ |  | Relative Wind Velocity Direction Speed |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From | To | degrees | knots | From | To | degrees | knots |
|  |  | YAG 40 ZU |  |  |  | YAG 39 ZU |  |
| 3.35 | 3.35 | 125 | 11 | 12.7 | 13.0 | 10 | 19 |
| 3.55 | 3.85 | 130 | 12 | 13.0 | 14.0 | 0 | 18 |
| 3.35 | 4.20 | 130 | 11 | 14.0 | 15.0 | 0 | 17 |
| 4.20 | 4.55 | 130 | 10 | 15.0 | 16.0 | 355 | 18 |
| 4.55 | 4.85 | 130 | 13 | 16.0 | 17.0 | 340 | 17 |
| 4.85 | 5.20 | 135 | 10 | 17.0 | 18.0 | 335 | 18 |
| 5.20 | 5.55 | 135 | 11 | 18.0 | 19.0 | 340 | 17 |
| 5.55 | 5.85 | 135 | 10 | 19.0 | 20.0 | 350 | 16 |
| 5.85 | 6.15 | 130 | 14 | 20.0 | 21.0 | 0 | 16 |
| 6.15 | 6.25 | 130 to 350* | 17 | 21.0 | 22.0 | 350 | 17 |
| 6.25 | 6.55 | 350 | 19 | 22.0 | 23.0 | 0 | 18 |
| 6.55 | 6.85 | 355 | 21 | 23.0 | 24.0 | 355 | 18 |
| YAG 40 FL |  |  |  | 24.0 | 25.0 | 355 | 18 |
|  |  |  |  | 25.0 | 26.0 | 5 | 19 |
| 7.30 | 7.55 | 255 | 13 | 26.0 | 27.0 | 25 | 18 |
| 7.55 | 7.65 | 255 to 325* | 18 | 27.0 | 28.0 | 30 | 17 |
| 7.65 | 9.00 | 325 | 15 | 28.0 | 29.0 | 25 | 18 |
| 9.00 | 10.00 | 340 | 15 | 29.0 | 30.0 | 15 | 15 |
| 10.00 | 11.00 | 340 | 15 | YAG 39 FL |  |  |  |
| 11.00 | 12.00 | 335 | 15 |  |  | \%ag |  |
| 12.00 | 13.00 | 335 | 17 | 4.35 | 5.65 | 5 | 17 |
| 13.00 | 14.00 | 345 | 17 | 5.65 | 5.80 | 5 to 85* | 16 |
| 14.00 | 15.00 | 355 | 17 | 5.80 | 6.70 | 85 | 18 |
| 15.00 | 16.00 | 355 | 17 | 6.70 | 6.80 | 85 to $295 \dagger$ | 16 |
| 16.00 | 17.00 | 15 | 15 | 6.80 | 8.30 | 295 | 15 |
| 17.00 | 18.00 | 0 | 16 | 8.30 | 8.45 | 295 to 80* | 16 |
| YAG 40 NA |  |  |  | 8.45 | 10.30 | 80 | 15 |
|  |  |  |  | 10.30 | 10.60 | 80 to $290 \dagger$ | 13 |
| 6.05 | 6.60 | 350 | 18 | 10.60 | 12.25 | 290 | 15 |
| 6.60 | 7.00 | 350 to $235 \dagger$ | 18 | 12.25 | 12.60 | 290 to 75* | 14 |
| 7.00 | 7.05 | 235 | 13 | 12.60 | 13.30 | 75 | 17 |
| 7.05 | 7.50 | 235 to 135* | 18 | 13.30 | 13.35 | 75 to 15 * | 14 |
| 7.50 | 8.35 | 235 to 135 * | 11 | 13.35 | 15.25 | 15 | 15 |
| 8.35 | 9.20 | 135 to $25 \dagger$, t | 16 | YAG 39 NA |  |  |  |
| 9.20 | 9.30 | 25 | 18 |  |  |  |  |
| 9.30 | 9.50 | 25 to 275* | 14 | 2.20 | 2.35 | $265$ | 16 |
| 9.50 | 9.70 | 275 | 15 | 2.35 | 2.50 | 265 to 25* | 18 |
| 9.70 | 10.00 | 275 to $25 \dagger$ | 14 | 2.50 | 2.60 | 25 | 18 |
| 10.00 | 10.30 | 25 | 15 | 2.60 | 2.70 | 25 to $90 *$ | 18 |
| 10.30 | 10.40 | 25 to 315* | 14 | 2.70 | 2.80 | 90 | 18 |
| 10.40 | 10.45 | 315 | 16 | 2.80 | 2.90 | 90 to $10 \dagger$ | 16 |
| 10.45 | 10.90 | 315 to $325 \dagger$ | 12 | 2.90 | 3.10 | 10 | 16 |
| 10.90 | 11.10 | 325 | 16 | 3.10 | 3.30 | 10 to $295 \dagger$ | 17 |
| 11.10 | 11.25 | 375 to 60* | 15 | 3.30 | 4.10 | 295 | 17 |
| 11.25 | 11.60 | 60 | 15 | 4.10 | 4.30 | 295 to 85* | 18 |
| 11.60 | 11.65 | 60 to 45* | 12 | 4.30 | 5.00 | 85 | 18 |
| 11.65 | 11.90 | 45 | 14 | 5.00 | 5.20 | 85 to $305 \dagger$ | 18 |
| 11.90 | 12.40 | 45 to $90 \dagger$ | 12 | 5.20 | 6.10 | 305 | 17 |
| 12.40 | 12.55 | 90 | 11 | 6.10 | 6.30 | 305 to 85* | 17 |
| 12.55 | 12.90 | 90 to 85 * | 13 | 6. 30 | 7.00 | 85 | 17 |

TABLE B. 37 CONTINUED

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Time } \\ & \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{hr} \end{aligned}$ |  | Relative Wind Velocity <br> Direction Speed |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Time } \\ & \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{hr} \end{aligned}$ |  | Relative Wind Direction degrees | Velocity <br> Speed <br> knots |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From | To | degrees | knots | From | To |  |  |
|  |  | YAG 40 NA |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12.90 | 12.95 | 85 | 12 |  |  |  |  |
| 12.95 | 13.40 | 85 to $70 \dagger$ | 12 |  |  |  |  |
| 13.40 | 13.45 | 70 | 13 |  |  |  |  |
| 13.45 | 13.70 | 70 to 25* | 10 |  |  |  |  |
| 13.70 | 13.75 | 25 | 14 |  |  |  |  |
| 13.75 | 14.10 | 25 to 15*. | 12 |  |  |  |  |
| 14.10 | 14.20 | 15 | 15 |  |  |  |  |
| 14.20 | 14.60 | 15 to 325 † | 12 |  |  |  |  |
| 14.60 | 14.65 | 325 | 15 |  |  |  |  |
| 14.65 | 14.90 | 325 to 275* | 12 |  |  |  |  |
| 14.90 | 14.95 | 275 | 13 |  |  |  |  |
| 14.95 | 15.00 | 275 to 335* | 14 |  |  |  |  |
| 15.00 | 15.05 | 335 | 15 |  |  |  |  |
| 15.05 | 15.10 | 335 to 295 † | 16 |  |  |  |  |
| 15.10 | 15.25 | 295 | 16 |  |  |  |  |
| 15.25 | 15.30 | 295 to 275 † | 16 |  |  |  |  |
| 15.30 | 16.00 | 275 | 16 |  |  |  |  |
| 16.00 | 16.30 | 275 to $70 \dagger$ | 15 |  |  |  |  |
| 16.30 | 18.00 | 70 | 15 |  |  | , |  |
|  |  | YAG 40 TE |  |  |  | YAG 39 TE |  |
| 4.35 | 4.65 | 255 | 11 | 2.20 | 4.80 | 355 | 14 |
| 4.65 | 4.70 | 255 to $230 \dagger$ | 12 | 4.80 | 5.00 | 355 to 100* | 14 |
| 4.70 | 4.90 | 230 | 12 |  |  |  |  |
| 4.90 | 5.05 | 230 to 355* | 12 |  |  |  |  |
| 5.05 | 7.30 | 355 | 15 |  |  |  |  |
| 7.30 | 7.35 | 355 to $360{ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | 15 |  |  |  |  |
| 7.35 | 7.40 | 360 to $305 \dagger$ | 15 |  |  |  |  |
| 7.40 | 8.25 | $345 \pm 40$ | 15 |  |  |  |  |
| 8.25 | 9.30 | 305 to 355* | 15 |  |  |  |  |
| 8.30 | 8.55 | 355 to $260 \dagger$, $\ddagger$ | 14 |  |  |  |  |
| 8.55 | 9.15 | 260 | 13 |  |  |  |  |
| 9.15 | 9.50 | 360 to 300 | 14 |  |  |  |  |
| 9.50 | 9.55 | 300 | 14 |  |  |  |  |
| 9.55 | 10.00 | 300 to 330 * f | 14 |  |  |  |  |

How $F$

| How F |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shot | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Time } \\ & \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{hr} \end{aligned}$ |  | True Wind Velocity |  |
|  |  |  | Direction | Speed |
|  | From | To | degrees | knots |
| Zuni | 0 | Cessation | 77 | 17 |
| Flathead | 0 | Cessation | 54 | 17 |
| Navajo | 0 | Cessation | 79 | 12 |
| Tewa | 0 | Cessation | 92 | 3.5 |
|  |  | YFN | 29-G |  |


| Shot | Time | Relative Wind Velocity |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | H + hr |  | Direction | Period | Speed |
|  | From | To | degrees | minutes | knots |
| Zuni | 0 | Cessation | $348 \pm 53$ | 10 | 20 |
| Flathead | 0 | Cessation | $10 \pm 75$ | 10 | 16 |
| Navajo | 0 | Cessation | $5 \pm 50$ | 10 | 18 |
| Tewa | 0 | Cessation | $22 \pm 43$ | 11 | 15 |

[^6]

Figure B. 8 Surface-monitoring-device record, YAG 39, Shot Zuni.


Figure B. 9 Surface-monitoring-device record, YAG 39, Shot Flathead.


Figure B. 10 Surface-monitoring-device record, YAG 40, Shot Flathead.


Figure B. 11 Surface-monitoring-device record, YAG 39, Shot Navajo.


Figure B. 12 Surface-moultoring-device record, YAG 40, Shot Navajo.


Figure B. 13 Surface-monltoring-device record, YaG 40, Shot Tewa.


Figure B. 14 Normalized dip-counter-decay curves.


Figure B. 15 Gamma spectra of slurry-particle insoluble solids, Shot Flathead.


Figure B. 16 Gamma spectra of slurry-particle reaction area, Shot Flathead.


[^0]:    - Estimated value, TIR saturated.

[^1]:    - Private communication from N. H. Farlow.
    $\dagger$ Every reagent film in each IC examined.
    $\ddagger$ Covered with contaminated rain.
    Primarily splashes.

[^2]:    - Funnel and hexcell lost.
    $\dagger$ Hexcell loat.
    t Skiff or collector lost.
    © Collector tilted sllghtly by blast.

[^3]:    - Estimated reliability $\pm 25$ to 50 pet.

[^4]:    * No value avallable.

[^5]:    * Insoluble solids scraped from reagent-film reaction area 3812-6; gamma-energy spectra for both are given in Figures B. 15 and B.16.
    $\dagger$ Dried slurry.

[^6]:    * Clockwise direction.
    $\dagger$ Counterclockwise direction.
    $\ddagger$ Following 360 degrees, rotation in indicated direction.
    § Oscillating relative wind, 12 -minute period.

